

Oral Health Care Performance Measure Discussion

CQM Committee September 18, 2020

- A. Existing Miami-Dade RWP Oral Health Care (OHC) performance indicators: currently reported on CQM Performance Report Cards.
 - N.6 *Percent of OAHS clients* who received *a clinical oral examination through the RWP* during the three-month evaluation period (26% in Cycle 4).
 - D.2 *Percent of OHC clients* receiving *ANY billable OHC service (except emergency services)* during the three-month evaluation period who received *BOTH oral health education and smoking cessation counseling* (5% in Cycle 4).
 - D.3 *Percent of OHC clients* receiving oral examinations during the treatment year who received *EITHER oral health education OR smoking cessation counseling* (37% in Cycle 4).
- B. Alternative OHC performance measures were provided to the OHC providers invited to the most recent Medical Care Subcommittee meeting on August 28, to weigh in on system-wide OHC performance measures that would be more reflective of the RWP goal of engaging clients into OHC to improve their quality of life.
 - Percent of RW clients who receive <u>a clinical oral examination</u> within the treatment year, as defined by any of several D-codes that serve as billing codes within Provide[®]. Should this be based on all clients in care (excluding Medicaid clients)? MCM clients? OAHS clients (see N.6, above)?
 - 2) Percent of RW clients who receive <u>any OHC service</u> within the treatment year, as defined by any of the OHC D-codes that serve as billing codes within Provide[®]. Should this be based on all clients in care (excluding Medicaid clients)? MCM clients? OAHS clients?
 - 3) Percent of OHC clients who are <u>retained in OHC care from one year to the next</u>, as defined by the percent of OHC patients receiving a non-emergency service in one year who ALSO receive another non-emergency OHC service the following year. This reflects the quality role of the OHC provider in establishing an ongoing client relationship with RWP clients. This measure may include any OHC billable service (except emergency services), or it may be limited to annual clinical oral examinations. Based on MCM clients? RWP OHC clients

The OHC providers did not like the "retention in OHC care" measurement (#3). It would take too long to measure (Are OHC clients seen in FY 2017-18 seen at least once for OHC in FY 2018-19?). Some OHC patients do not see the same OHC provider from one visit to the next. The measure places too much responsibility on the OHC provider to ensure follow-up appointments. Since OHC referrals are made through the MCM, the "QI population" should be the clients under MCM care.

Staff: Consider using #1 and #2, measuring the percentages of oral examinations or any care on a quarterly basis. This assumes that an MCM can make a referral to an OHC provider and get a client in for an oral examination in less than 90 days. #3 may be an annual measurement, but should not be on the Report Card.