

2121 PONCE DE LEON BLVD, STE. 240 CORAL GABLES, FL 33134 WWW.AIDSNET.ORG

AUGUST 17, 2023 VERSION

The annual Priority Setting and Resource Allocation needs assessment process is a series of Care and Treatment Committee meetings. The results of the meetings are included in this book.

Disclaimer

Prepared by Behavioral Science Research Corporation for the Miami-Dade County Office of Management and Budget-Grants Coordination and the Miami Dade HIV/AIDS Partnership. This project is supported by the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) under grant number H89HA00005, CFDA #93.914 – HIV Emergency Relief Project Grants, as part of a Fiscal Year 2023 award totaling \$27,558,848 as of March 29, 2023, with 0% financed with non-governmental sources. The contents are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the official views of, nor an endorsement by, HRSA, HHS or the U.S. Government.

HOUSEKEEPING AND RULES

NEEDS ASSESSMENT PREPERATION

EPI DATA

- HIV Epidemiology in Miami-Dade County, 2021

SERVICE DEMOGRAPHICS

- Ryan White Program Co-Occurring Conditions Fiscal Year 2022

SERVICE UTILIZATION

OTHER FUNDING

7

DASHBOARD CARDS

- Tools for Needs Assessment: 2023 Guide to Dashboard Cards
- 2023 Needs Assessment Dashboard Cards Ryan White Program

UNMET NEED

- Ryan White Program 2022 Client Satisfaction Survey and Stigma Findings
- 2023 Unmet Needs in Miami-Dade HIV Community
- 2022-23 Community Input Integrated Plan Development and Town Hall

9

SERVICE CATEGORIES-USING MAI FUNDS

- Ryan White Program: Policy Clarification Notice #16-02 (10/22/18) and FAQS
- Using MAI Funds Effectively: Tailoring Services for Locally Identified Subpopulations

10

PRIORITIES, ALLOCATIONS, AND BUDGETS

- Ryan White Program Part A Priorities, sorted by FY 2023-24
- Rvan White Program MAI Priorities, sorted by FY 2023-24
- Ryan White Program Part A and MALEY 2024-2025 Survey
- Ryan White Program Earmark Allocation and Expenditure Reconciliation Schedule YR 32 (Final Expenditures FY 2022-23)

11

ADDITIONAL MATERIALS

- 2023 HHS Federal Poverty Guidelines
- July 2023 Reminders and Next Steps
- August 2023 Summary and Next Steps

19

AGENDA AND MINUTES

- Mav 4. 2023 agenda
- March 3, 2023 minutes
- June 1, 2023 agenda
- May 4 2023 minutes
- Luly 12 2022 aganda
- June 9, 2022 minutes
- August 17, 2023 agendo
- Iulv 13. 2023 minutes

SCHEDULE OF MEETINGS **NEEDS ASSESSMENT TOPICS**

MAY 4. 2023

10:00AM-NOON

PLANNING COUNCIL RESPONSIBILITIES FOR NEEDS ASSESSMENT 2022 CLIENT SATISFACTION SURVEY RESULTS AND STIGMA STUDY

JUNE 8, 2023

10:00 AM-1:00 PM

SETTING PRIORITIES AND ALLOCATION RESOURCES PROCESS

2020-2021 EPI PROFILE SUMMARY

2022 EIIHA

2022 RYAN WHITE DEMOGRAPHICS

2022 RYAN WHITE HIV CARE CONTINUUM

JULY 13, 2023 10:00 AM-1:00 PM

2022 RYAN WHITE UTILIZATION OTHER FUNDING AND DASHBOARD CARDS NEXT STEPS

AUGUST 17. 2023 10:00 AM-1:00 PM

2022 CO-OCCURRING CONDITIONS UNMET NEEDS SERVICE CATEGORIES COMMUNITY INPUT AND TOWN HALL RESULTS SUMMARY AND NEXT STEPS

SEPTEMBER 14, 2023 10:00 AM-1:00 PM

PROJECTIONS SPECIAL DIRECTIVES PRIORITY SETTING RESOURCE ALLOCATIONS

HOUSEKEEPING AND RULES

SECTION 1





Meeting Housekeeping

Updated April 17, 2023 Miami-Dade County Main Library Version

Disclaimer & Code of Conduct

- ☐ Audio of this meeting is being recorded and will become part of the public record.
- ☐ Members serve the interest of the Miami-Dade HIV/AIDS community as a whole.
- Members do not serve private or personal interests, and shall endeavor to treat all persons, issues and business in a fair and equitable manner.
- ☐ Members shall refrain from side-bar conversations in accordance with Florida Government in the Sunshine laws.

Language Matters!

In today's world, there are many words that can be stigmatizing. Here are a few suggestions for better communication.

Remember **People First** Language . . . **People** with HIV, **People** with substance use disorders, **People** who are homeless, etc.

Please don't say **RISKS** . . . Instead, say **REASONS**.
Please don't say, **INFECTED with HIV** . . . Instead, say **ACQUIRED**HIV, **DIAGNOSED with HIV**, or **CONTRACTED HIV**.

Please **do not** use these terms . . .

Dirty...Clean...Full-blown AIDS...Victim.

General Housekeeping

- ☐ You must sign in to be counted as present.
- □ Place cell phones on mute or vibrate *If you must take a call, please excuse yourself from the meeting.*
- ☐ Have your Cultural Center Parking Garage ticket validated at the Library front desk for a reduced parking rate.
- ☐ Eligible committee members should see staff for a voucher at the end of the meeting

Meeting Participation

- □ Raise your hand if you need clarification about any terminology or acronyms used throughout the meeting.
- Raise your hand to be recognized by the Chair or added to the queue.
- Discussion should be limited to the current Agenda topic or motion.
- □ Speakers should not repeat points previously addressed.
- ☐ Any attendee may be permitted to address the board as time allows and at the discretion of the Chair.

Resources

- ☐ Behavioral Science Research Corp. (BSR) staff are the Resource Persons for this meeting.
- ☐ See staff after the meeting if you are interested in membership or if you have a question that wasn't covered during the meeting.
- ☐ Today's presentation and supporting documents are online at <u>aidsnet.org/meeting-documents/</u>.

MIAMI-DADE HIV/AIDS PARTNERSHIP

2023 NEEDS ASSESSMENT

PROCESS FOR SETTING PRIORITIES AND ALLOCATING RESOURCES

The annual Priority Setting and Resource Allocation (PSRA) needs assessment process is a series of monthly Care and Treatment Committee meetings scheduled from June to August. If business items are not completed by the final meeting, the September 2023, meeting will serve to complete them. The results of the needs assessment process including priorities and allocations will be included in Ryan White Program updates report to HRSA due in the Fall. Representatives of the affected community, community stakeholders, and service providers are urged to attend and participate.

STEP 1. TRAINING ON RESPONSIBILITIES

The committee will be trained in the responsibilities regarding the needs assessment and how to use data.

STEP 2. PROCESS REVIEW

The committee will discuss and agree on the foundation of the process, including:

- Procedures for community input at meetings; and
- Review and, if necessary, revise established principles for setting priorities and allocations (e.g., priority on the poorest, priority on the sickest, etc.).

The committee's decisions at any meeting during this process will be made available to all participants at subsequent meetings through minutes of the meetings which will be posted online.

STEP 3. COMMUNITY INPUT

The Committee may receive input in four ways:

- 1) Written or phone comments from members of the affected community will be accepted and provided to the committee during their last meeting.
- 2) Committee members and non-members in attendance will be encouraged to participate in discussion and consensus-building by offering relevant information and stating their opinions. This input will be given during discussions of service categories, either during the general discussion before a motion is made, or during the discussion of the motion. We will use a queue to ensure orderly discussion. A Partnership Staff Support person may serve as a parliamentarian to ensure that the scheduled business is completed and that all parties are heard from, as time permits.
- 3) Results of the client satisfaction and stigma survey.
- 4) Results of a virtual community town-hall.

STEP 4. DATA REVIEW

Staff Support will provide an overview of HIV/AIDS epidemiology, Ryan White Program client demographics and service utilization, cost of services, unmet need and other data for Miami-Dade County ahead of the meetings, posting the information on the www.aidsnet,org web site, and will provide summaries at the time of the meeting when these data are discussed. Information will include, as available:

- A comparative profile of the 2020 vs 2021 HIV/AIDS Epidemiology for Miami-Dade
- The number of clients and demographic composition of clients receiving services under the Ryan White Program in FY 2022 (March 1, 2022 – February 28, 2023);
- Current cost and funding allocations for existing Ryan White Program services;
- FY 2022 cost and funding allocations for services;
- Other funding streams that cover the same services as the Ryan White Program and the number of HIV-positive recipients;
- HIV Care Continuum data;
- Estimates of unmet need; and
- Other issues relating to specific services.

Procedures for examining services will include:

- Review of information pertaining to definition, cost and utilization of specific services at each meeting when services are discussed.
- Discussion and questions by committee members and others present to clarify and elicit additional information.



ill. The committee will not make motions or take actions related to service priorities and funding allocations until after Step 4 has been completed.

STEP 5. SERVICE CATEGORIES

The committee will review and use needs assessment data as a basis for selecting service categories to be funded for the coming fiscal year. Currently funded service categories and demonstrated need will be reviewed to:

- Eliminate service categories for which no need is identified, focusing attention on the cost of the services and the impact that removing the services may have on the health of the affected community; and
- Identify and introduce new core and/or support service categories and seek to establish the basis of funding for these services, as needed.

Establishment of new categories must be based on data that demonstrate the extent of need and the lack of other funding sources or services to supply the area of need. **Persons seeking to** introduce new services are responsible for providing data on need and potential utilization: it will not be sufficient to assert that a particular service is needed without providing concrete data on the magnitude of that need among persons living with HIV/AIDS and the absence of

non-Ryan White funding to support service provision for that need. Responsibility for providing data in support of proposed new services rests with the proposer. The committee will vote on the proposed new service(s) following presentation and review of the pertinent data.

STEP 6. PRIORITY RANKING

The Committee will review needs assessment data once more. The Committee will follow the below process for establishing priority rankings of service categories.

- Members will complete a survey ranking services in order of importance prior to the final
- Guests will complete a survey ranking services in order of importance prior to the final meeting;
- Staff will tally the surveys and post the compiled services ranking of committee members and guests at the last meeting;
- The committee and others present will review this ranking, and based on discussion, make adjustments if necessary;
- The committee will come to a consensus on the final rank order of priorities and will adopt them by formal motion.

STEP 7. DIRECTIVES

After full consideration of relevant data reviewed during the needs assessment process, the committee may direct the Recipient to address unmet (or under-delivered) service priorities and to address other issues defined during the process. These may, among other things, address access issues to services for special populations or special geographic areas.

STEP 8. ALLOCATION OF FUNDS

The Committee will use the service priorities, established principles, and needs assessment data to allocate funds for Fiscal Year 2024 (March 1, 2024-February 28, 2025), generating a flat funding budget using the current grant award and a prospective resource allocation budget using the grant ceiling total.



Care and Treatment Committee members who work for subrecipients ("providers") currently funded by the Ryan White Program may vote on funding recommendations affecting a service category in which their employers provide services under Ryan White, as long as the member's employer is not the sole subrecipient ("provider") in that service category. Members who are "conflicted" in this way must declare their conflicted status during the meeting prior to discussion and vote of the service category. The conflicted member will then leave the meeting and he or she will be contacted by staff to rejoin the meeting once the conflicted vote is concluded. They will be emailed Form 8B which will be completed and returned to staff within 48 hours after the conclusion of the meeting. Copies of completed Form 8Bs will be included with the minutes of the meeting.

STEP 9. DETERMINATION OF FINAL PRIORITIES AND ALLOCATIONS


The final priorities and allocations for Fiscal Year 2024 (March 1, 2024-February 28, 2025), as determined by the Care and Treatment Committee, will be presented to the full Partnership for approval.

NEEDS ASSESSMENT PREPARATION

SECTION 2

PLANNING COUNCIL RESPONSIBILITIES AND NEEDS ASSESSMENT

PRESENTED MAY 4, 2023



In Miami-Dade County, the CEO is the Mayor and the Recipient is the Office of Management and Budget-Grants Coordination.

PLANNING COUNCIL

LEGISLATIVE RESPONSIBILITY

For the population of individuals living with HIV in the Miami-Dade County eligible metropolitan area (EMA):

Determine their **size** and **demographics** and **needs** particularly for those who know their HIV status and are **not receiving HIV-related serv**ices; and address **disparities in access and services** among affected subpopulations and historically underserved communities.

HRSA EXPECTIONS

The planning council's (**Miami-Dade HIV/AIDS Partnership**) decisions about service priorities, service models, population emphases, and directives for the Recipient will be **data-based**.

Data used for decision making will include:

- Needs assessment and community input
- Service cost and utilization data
- System-wide (not subrecipient-specific) Quality Management data

The planning council will be trained and comfortable in reviewing, assessing, and using data.

COMPONENTS OF A RYAN WHITE NEEDS ASSESSMENT

- 1. **Epidemiological profile** of HIV and AIDS cases and trends in Miami-Dade County.
- 2. A **resource inventory** of existing services.
- 3. A **profile of provider capacity** and capability -availability, accessibility and appropriateness overall and for specific populations.
- 4. **Estimate and assessment of unmet need,** people with HIV who know their status but are not in care and people with HIV who do not know their status.
- 5. **Estimate and assessment of people with HIV** who are unaware of their status.
- 6. **Assessment of service need gaps,** information about service needs of people with HIV and barriers to getting services.

DATA COLLECTION FOR THIS YEAR



Surveillance (from Florida Department of Health in Miami-Dade)

Ryan White Program demographic and utilization data (from the Provide Enterprise Miami system), as available

Input from persons with HIV

and

Other funding information.

NEEDS ASSESMENT DATES 2023*

10:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.

June 1, 2023

July 13, 2023

August 3, 2023

*September 7, 2023 (if needed)



PRIORITY SETTING AND RESOURCE ALLOCATION (PSRA)

STEPS FOR 2023 NEEDS ASSESSMENT

- Training on responsibilities and data elements
- Additional training materials posted online
- Agreement on process
- Data elements provided
- Directives developed
- Priorities set
- Allocations determined

DEVELOPING DIRECTIVES

Provide guidance to the Recipient on desired ways to respond to identified service needs, priorities, and/or shortfalls.

Often specify use or non-use of a particular service model, or address geographic access to services, language issues, or specific populations.

May have cost implications.

Usually only a small number are developed.

Must be followed by Recipient in procurement, contracting, or other service planning.

PRIORITY SETTING

Determining what service categories are most important for people living with HIV in Miami-Dade County and place them in priority order.

Priorities are **not** tied to funding or to service providers.

Planning council must establish a sound, fair process for priority setting and ensure that decisions are data-based and control conflict of interest.

Take into account data such as utilization, epidemiological, and unmet needs.

Priorities tend to change only a little from year to year.

Core Medical Services

- 1.AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP) Treatments
- 2.Local AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance Program (LPAP)
- 3. Early Intervention Services (EIS)
- 4. Health Insurance Premium and Cost Sharing Assistance for
- Low-Income Individuals
- 5. Home and Community-Based Health Services
- 6. Home Health Care
- 7. Hospice Services
- 8. Medical Case Management, including Treatment Adherence
- Services
- 9. Medical Nutrition Therapy
- 10.Mental Health Services
- 11.Oral Health Care
- 12.Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services
- 13. Substance Abuse Outpatient Care

Support Services

- 1. Child Care Services
- 2. Emergency Financial Assistance
- 3. Food Bank/Home Delivered Meals
- 4. Health Education/Risk Reduction
- 5. Housing
- 6. Linguistic Services
- 7. Medical Transportation
- 8. Non-Medical Case Management Services
- 9. Other Professional Services [e.g., Legal Services and Permanency Planning]
- 10. Outreach Services
- 11. Psychosocial Support Services
- 12. Referral for Health Care and Support Services
- 13. Rehabilitation Services
- 14. Respite Care
- 15. Substance Abuse Services (residential)

RESOURCE ALLOCATION

Process of deciding how much money to allocate to each service category.

Resource allocation is **not** tied to p**riorities**; some lower-ranked service categories may receive disproportionate funding because they are expensive to provide.

Other funding streams, cost per client data and anticipated numbers of new clients coming into care should be considered in decision making.

RESOURCE ALLOCATIONS (CONTINUTED)

Core Services

HRSA requires **no less** than **75% of funds** be allocated to core services.

Support Services

HRSA requires support services to be **no more** than **25%** of funds.

Funded support services need to be linked to positive medical outcomes/affecting the HIV-related clinical status of an individual with HIV/AIDS.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Process should be fair, data-based, and free of conflicts of interest.

If a member is the sole provider in a service category and funds are being allocated, the conflicted member must recuse him/herself from voting. The member will follow a formal disclosure process, complete form 8B, and will step outside of the room both during discussion of and voting on the conflicted item. He/she may return to the meeting once the discussion and voting are concluded.

BUDGET DEVELOPMENT OPTIONS

A) Flat

and

B) Increase (up to allowable threshold)


can include or not:

C) Decrease (determine %)

Types of Charts (bars, lines, pies, tables) for Data







EPI DATA

Number of people living with a disease.



EPIDEMIOLOGIC PROFILE

Describes the HIV Epidemic in the Miami-Dade service area

Focuses on the social and demographic groups and behaviors most affected by or that can transmit HIV.

Data are provided by the Florida Department of Health

Estimates the number and characteristics of persons with HIV who know their status but are not in care (unmet need) and those unaware of their status.

TERM-INCIDENCE

INCIDENCE

The number of **new** cases of a disease in a population during a defined period of time – such as the number of new HIV cases in Miami-Dade County as of December 31 of the reference year.

INCIDENCE RATE

The frequency of **new** cases of a disease that occur per unit of population during a defined period of time – such as the rate of new HIV cases per 100,000 in Miami-Dade County as of December 31 of the reference year.

PREVALENCE


The **total** number of people in a defined population with a specific disease or condition at a given time - such as the total number of people diagnosed with HIV in Miami-Dade County as of December 31 of the reference year.

PREVALENCE RATE

The total or cumulative number of cases of a disease per unit of population as of a defined date - such as the rate of HIV cases per 100,000 population diagnosed in Miami-Dade County as of December 31 of the reference year.

EPI DATA SAMPLE USING A BAR GRAPH

Adult HIV and AIDS Diagnoses and Population by Race or Ethnicity, 2021, Miami-Dade County






DEMOGRAPHICS

Statistical data relating to the population and particular groups within it.



DEMOGRAPHICS DATA SAMPLE USING A BAR GRAPH

Race/Ethnicity of Clients in Care, by Gender Ryan White Program, FY 2017 - FY 2021



SERVICE UTILIZATION

A measure of expenditures and units of service across service categories.



UTILIZATION DATA SAMPLE USING A TABLE

Total Clients by Service Category

SERVICE CATEGORIES	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
Medical Case Management, inc. Treatment Adherence (includes Peer Support)	8,656	8,496	8,116	7,378	7,842
Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services	5,021	5,447	5,317	4,281	4,422
Oral Health Care	3,500	3,381	3,170	1,711	2,237
Health Insurance Premium & Cost Sharing Assist	1,415	1,307	1,335	1,125	1,255
Food Bank	709	701	715	735	712
Medical Transportation Services	733	638	720	94	645
AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance (Local)	1,162	697	605	185	183
Mental Health Services	349	327	274	95	121
Outreach Services	965	624	472	130	116
Substance Abuse Services (Residential)	214	169	95	70	66
Other Professional Services - Legal Services	100	76	66	48	44
Substance Abuse Services Outpatient	120	115	55	0	17
Emergency Financial Assistance	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

UTILIZATION DATA SAMPLE USING TEXT

Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services

- Forty one percent of direct service expenditures were spent on O/AHS—over \$7.7 million similar to last fiscal year though less than FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Nearly 53% of all clients (4,422 clients) used O/AHS which is similar to last fiscal year.
- Top six most used services are:
- 1. Office Outpatient Visit 25 Minutes, 24%
- 2. Office Outpatient Visit 15 Minutes, 15%
- 3. Office Outpatient Visit 10 Minutes, 3%
- 4. Blood Collection, 3%
- 5. IADNA HIV-1 Quant & Reverse Transcription, 3%
- 6. IADNA Chlamydia Trachomatis Amplified Probe TQ, 3%

DASHBOARD CARDS

Tool to visualize utilization and other funding data.



DASHBOARD CARD DATA SAMPLE USING TABLES

2022 Needs Assessment Dashboard Cards Ryan White Program

Core Service: AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance

		FY 2022: March 1, 2022-February	28, 2023	
	FY 2022 Ranking	FY 2022 Direct Services Totals	FY 2022 Total as %	RFP Allocation
Total				
Part A	4	\$84,492	0.39%	\$88,255

	Ranking, Allocatio	on, and Expenditur
Fiscal Year	Expenditure	Expense as %
FY 2017	\$23,425,356	1.9%
FY 2018	\$21,934,627	0.4%
FY 2019	\$23,019,718	0.3%
FY 2020	\$17,660,128	0.3%
FY 2021	\$19,018,258	0.2%

Fiscal Year	Part A Ranking	Final Allocation	Final Expenditure	% Spent
FY 2017	4	\$449,500.00	\$425,218.67	94.60%
FY 2018	4	\$137,000.00	\$81,547.78	59.52%
FY 2019	4	\$87,000.00	\$52,697.84	60.57%
FY 2020	3.	\$66,007.00	\$5,993.21	9.08%
FY 2021	9	\$83,595.00	\$4,379.02	5.24%

Fiscal Year	MAI Ranking	MAI Final Allocation	MAI Final Expenditure	% Spent
FY 2017	3	\$17,000.00	\$15,983.13	94.02%
FY 2018	3	\$100,000.00	\$4,661,97	4.66%
FY 2019	7	\$100,000.00	\$5,145.45	5.15%
FY 2020	NA	NA	NA	NA
FY 2021	NA	NA	NA	NA

Service Program

Į	Lunit	ations:	400%	FPI
۰		******	400.0	

Fiscal Year	RW Clients	Clients Served	%	Expenditure	Avg Per Client
FY 2017	9,883	1,162	11.8%	\$441,202	\$379.69
FY 2018	9,578	697	7.3%	\$86,210	\$123.69
FY 2019	9,031	605	6.7%	\$57,843	\$95.61
FY 2020	8,127	185	2.3%	\$5,993	\$32,40
FY 2021	8,420	183	2.2%	\$4,379	\$23.93

OTHER FUNDING DATA (DASHBOARD CARD) SAMPLE USING CHART

Other Funding Streams: AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance (Prescription Drugs)

Other Funding Streams 2021					
	Funder	Expended	Number of Clients	Cost per Client	
1	ADAP	\$32,843,354.00	4,596	\$7,146.07	
2	General Revenue	\$442,771.88	408	\$1,085.23	
3	Medicaid	\$104,595,615.00	5,213	\$20,064.38	
4	Part C	\$32,874.33	N/A	N/A	

Other Funding Streams 2022

	Funder	Expended	Number of Clients	Cost per Client
1	ADAP	\$28,342,383.90	4,587	\$6,178.85
2	General Revenue	\$262,520.31	547	\$479.93
3	Medicaid	\$109,082,427.54	5,435	\$20,070.36
4	Part C	\$25,492.00	N/A	N/A


CARE CONTINUUM

Model that outlines the steps/stages that people with HIV go through whose goal is viral suppression.




HIV CARE CONTINUUM DATA SAMPLE BAR GRAPH

Ryan White Program HIV Care Continuum by Race/Ethnicity



How do we connect the data?



SERVICE UTILIZATION AND CONTINUOUS QUALITY IMPROVEMENT DATA USAGE

In setting service priorities

What service categories have fully used all funding, which had waiting lists, which had unused resources, which needed more funding?

In allocating resources

How can we use cost per client data to determine funding allocations for anticipated new clients?

In preparing directives to the Recipient

What access to care issues have been identified and how can these be addressed?

Think 3 D! Data, Driven, Decisions!

Use data to make informed decisions to improve the service delivery system for people living with HIV in Miami-Dade.



THANK YOU!







WWW.AIDSNET.ORG

2023 Needs Assessment Preparation

Slides in this presentation provided by Planning CHATT. Some local data have been added to provide context.

Understanding the Legislation

Authorizing the Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program (RWHAP)

Module 1 (revised)

Topics

- History and Evolution of the Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program (RWHAP) Legislation
- Overview of RWHAP Parts
- Understanding Part A

History and Evolution of RWHAP Legislation

RWHAP Legislation

- Largest Federal government program specifically designed to provide services for people with HIV – \$2.5 billion in funding in FY 2020 including new funding for Ending the Epidemic
- Third largest Federal program serving people with HIV after Medicaid and Medicare
- First enacted as the Ryan White Comprehensive AIDS Resources
 Emergency (CARE) Act in 1990
- Current legislation is the Ryan White HIV/AIDS Treatment Extension Act of 2009 (Title XXVI of the Public Health Service Act)
- Provides grants awarded to the chief elected officials of the city or county, who designates a lead agency to administer the funds.

Purpose of RWHAP Legislation

 Began as "emergency relief" for overburdened healthcare systems at a time when effective medications were not available

Now:

- "Revise and extend the program for providing life-saving care for those with HIV/AIDS"
- "Address the unmet care and treatment needs of persons with HIV by funding primary health care and support services that enhance access to and retention in care"

Importance of RWHAP: Scope

- More than 1.2 million people in the U.S. age 13 years and older are living with HIV as of 2018.
- About 1 in 7 (nationally) do not know their status
- More than half of million people are receiving at least one medical, health, or related support service through the Ryan White Program provider in 2018, with many clients receiving multiple types of services.

Importance of RWHAP: Client Need

- RWHAP serves people with HIV who are low-income and do not have insurance that covers their HIV care and medications
 – over 60% have incomes below the federal poverty line
- RWHAP is the payer of last resort funds may not be used to pay for items or services that are eligible for coverage by other federal or state programs or private health insurance
- RWHAP is not an "entitlement" program: it must operate using the funds appropriated annually by Congress and awarded to recipients

Importance of RWHAP: Outcomes

- Nationwide, more than 80% of RWHAP clients in 2016 were retained in care – they had at least two outpatient ambulatory health services (OAHS) visits during the year, at least 90 days apart.
 - In Miami-Dade, 75% retained in Ryan White Care in FY 2019
- Nationwide, about 85% of clients receiving outpatient OAHS through RWHAP achieved viral suppression in 2016
 - Up from 69.5% in 2010
 - In Miami-Dade, 86% of OAHS clients virally suppressed in FY 2019
 2019

Factors Affecting HIV Services

- The epidemic continues, especially among traditionally underserved and hard-to-reach populations – but new diagnoses have been declining since 2008
- Because of effective therapies, people with HIV can live nearly normal life spans if they begin treatment early and stay in care
- Treatment is prevention viral suppression prevents HIV transmission
- Changes in health care system and financing have affected how RWHAP funds are used at the state and local levels

Tools for Ending the Epidemic

- National goals to end the epidemic, first developed through the National HIV/AIDS Strategy (NHAS)
- The HIV care continuum, which helps track the estimated number of people living with HIV, percent diagnosed, and percent who are linked to care, retained in care, and achieve viral suppression
- Performance measures developed by HRSA/HAB to assess quality of care and clinical outcomes of RWHAP-funded services
- Ending the Epidemic: A Plan for America

National Goals to End the Epidemic

2020 Goals:

- Reduce new HIV infections
- Increase access to care and improve health outcomes for people with HIV
- Reduce HIV-related disparities and health inequities
- Achieve a more coordinated national response to the HIV epidemic

Performance Measures Portfolio

- Established in 2013
- Focus on critical areas of HIV care and treatment, including processes (like development of treatment plans) and outcomes (like viral suppression rates)
- Alignment with milestones along the HIV care continuum
- Can be used by individual providers or at a system of care level – by all RWHAP-funded providers in a service area

Overview of RWHAP Parts

The Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program

- Provides a comprehensive system of care for people with HIV
- Most funds support primary medical care and other medicalrelated and support services
- Provides ongoing access to HIV medications
- Small amount of funds used for technical assistance, clinical training, and development of innovative models of care

The Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program (cont.)

- Includes five Parts: A, B, C, D, and F
- Administered by the HIV/AIDS Bureau (HAB), within the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA)
- RWHAP Parts designed to work together to ensure a comprehensive system of care in urban, suburban, and rural communities throughout the U.S.

RWHAP Part A

- Funding for areas hardest hit by the HIV epidemic
- Funding for two categories of metropolitan areas:
 - Eligible Metropolitan Areas (EMAs), with at least 2,000 new cases of AIDS reported in the past 5 years and at least 3,000 people living with HIV
 - Transitional Grant Areas (TGAs), with 1,000 1,999 new cases of AIDS reported in the past 5 years and at least 1,500 people living with HIV
- Funds are used to develop or enhance access to a comprehensive system of high quality community-based care for low-income people with HIV

RWHAP Part B

- Funding to all 50 States, DC, Puerto Rico, U.S. territories and jurisdictions to improve the quality, availability, and organization of HIV health care and support services
- Provides funds for medical and support services
- Includes the AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP), which provides access to HIV-related medications, through direct purchase and purchase of health insurance
- Also provides funds to emerging communities with a growing epidemic, reporting 500-999 new cases in the past 5 years

RWHAP Part C

- Funding to support "early intervention services": comprehensive primary health care and support services for PLWH in an outpatient setting
- Competitive grants to local community-based organizations, community health centers, health departments, and hospitals
- Priority on services in rural areas and for traditionally underserved populations
- Capacity development grants provided to help public and nonprofit entities deliver HIV services more effectively

RWHAP Part D

- Funding to support family-centered HIV primary medical and support services for women, infants, children, and youth living with HIV
- Competitive grants to local public and private health care entities, including hospitals, and public agencies
- Includes services designed to engage youth with HIV and retain them in care
- Recipients must coordinate with HIV education and prevention programs designed to reduce the risk of HIV infection among youth

RWHAP Part F: Dental Services

Two types of dental programs:

- Dental Reimbursement Programs run by dental schools and other dental programs
- Community Based Dental Partnership Program, to provide dental services for PLWH while providing education and clinical training for dental care providers

RWHAP Part F: Minority AIDS Initiative (MAI)

- Funds used to improve access to HIV care and health outcomes for disproportionately affected racial and ethnic minorities
- Part A programs apply for MAI funds as part of the annual application and receive funds on a formula basis
- Formula is based on the number of racial and ethnic minority individuals with HIV/AIDS in the jurisdiction

RWHAP Part F: Special Project of National Significance (SPNS)

- Supports the development of innovative models of care to better serve people with HIV, and to address emerging client needs
- Competitive funding
- Projects include a strong evaluation component
- Promising models are disseminated

RWHAP Part F: AIDS Education and Training Centers (AETCs)

- Supports a network of 8 regional centers that provide targeted, multidisciplinary education and training programs for health care providers serving PLWH
- Intended to increase the number of providers prepared and motivated to counsel, diagnose, treat, and medically manage PLWH
- AETC's National Clinician Consultation Center responds to questions from clinicians

Importance of Collaboration Across RWHAP Parts

- Representatives of all RWHAP Parts as members of Part A planning councils/planning bodies (PC/PBs).
 - In Miami-Dade, this is the Miami-Dade County HIV/AIDS Partnership
- Collaboration in development of the HRSA/CDC Integrated HIV Prevention and Care Plans, submitted by RWHAP Parts A & B
- Coordination in targeting and use of resources

Coordination of Care Across Parts

A single RWHAP client living in an EMA or TGA might:

- Receive medications through RWHAP Part B ADAP
- Get oral health care from a RWHAP Part F-funded dental program or Part A-funded Oral Health Care subrecipients
- Obtain other services funded through RWHAP Part A, Part C, and/or Part D
- Participate in a RWHAP Part F demonstration SPNS project

Understanding Part A

Ryan White HIV/AIDS Programs: Part A

- Funding for Eligible Metropolitan Areas (EMAs) and Transitional Grant Areas (TGAs) that are severely & disproportionately affected by the HIV epidemic
- In 2018, 24 EMAs and 28 TGAs
- Service areas can include a single county or a multi-county area
- 11 programs have service areas that cross state boundaries

RWHAP Part A

- Funds go to the Chief Elected Official (CEO) of "the city or urban county that administers the public health agency that provides outpatient and ambulatory services to the greatest number of individuals with AIDS" [§2602(a)(1)]
- Recipient must establish an Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) with any jurisdiction with at least 10% of the total number of reported cases of AIDS to establish a mechanism for allocating resources to address their service needs [§2602(a)(2)]

RWHAP Part A (cont. 1)

Legislative requirement for extensive community planning, including participation of consumers of RWHAP Part A services

- EMAs required to have planning councils that decide how program funds will be used
- TGAs strongly encouraged by HRSA/HAB to maintain planning councils
- TGAs that choose not to have planning councils encouraged to have planning bodies with roles, responsibilities and membership that are as much like planning councils as possible

RWHAP Part A (cont. 2)

RWHAP Part A programs receive both "formula" and "supplemental" funding:

- Part A formula funding is based on the number of living cases of HIV and AIDS in the EMA or TGA
- Minority AIDS Initiative (MAI) formula funding is based on the number of minorities living with HIV and AIDS
- Supplemental funding is competitive, based on demonstration of additional need in the annual application

Services Fundable under RWHAP Part A

• Core medical services identified in legislation as being essential (no less than 75%) Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services, Health Insurance Premium and Cost-Sharing Assistance for Low-Income Individuals, Oral Health Care, Mental Health Services, AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance, Substance Abuse Outpatient Care, Medical Case Management, including Treatment Adherence Services, Early Intervention Services, Home Health Care, Home and Community-Based Health Services, Hospice Services and Medical

Nutrition Therapy


Services Fundable (cont. 2)

- Support services needed so that people with HIV can reach their medical outcomes (no more than 25% of total funding) Emergency Financial Assistance, Food Bank/Home-Delivered Meals, Other Professional Services (Legal Services and Permanency Planning), Medical Transportation, Outreach Services, Substance Abuse Services (residential), Non-Medical Case Management, Child Care Services, Health Education/Risk Reduction, Housing, Linguistic Services, Psychosocial Support Services, Rehabilitation Services and Respite Care
- HRSA/HAB provides service definitions and descriptions
 Refinements to service categories and definitions in 2016 and 2018 [Policy
 Clarification Notice (PCN) #16-02]

Collaboration between Recipient and Planning Council/Planning Body

- Recipient (Miami-Dade County) receives and administers funds and is responsible for contracting with providers (subrecipients) who provide care and treatment
- Planning council/planning body (the Partnership) decides how best to use available funds to help support a communitybased system of care for people with HIV
- Recipient and Partnership work closely together, sharing responsibility for tasks like needs assessment and integrated/comprehensive planning

Flow of RWHAP Part A Decision Making & Funds



EPIDEMIOLOGICAL "EPI" DATA

SECTION 3

HIV Epidemiology In Miami-Dade County, 2021

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

Kira Villamizar

HIV/AIDS Program Coordinator

Florida Department of Health

Acronyms

- **X HIV:** Human Immunodeficiency Virus
- **X AIDS:** Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome
- **XIDU:** Injection Drug Use
- **X MMSC:** Male-to-Male Sexual Contact
- **X MSM:** Men Who Have Sex with Men

Acronyms, continued

- **PWH**: Persons with HIV
- **Representation of the Persons Who Inject Drugs**
- **STI**: Sexually Transmitted Infection
- **X VL**: Viral Load



Technical Notes

- Data for 2020 and 2021 should be interpreted with caution due to the impact of COVID-19 on HIV testing, care-related services, and case surveillance activities in state and local jurisdictions.
- X Unless otherwise noted, all data in this presentation are as of 6/30/2022.

- Reach year, the HIV data for the previous calendar year and all prior years back to 1979 are finalized and frozen for reporting purposes on June 30. The frozen data are used in all data reports until the following June 30, when the continuously deduplicated HIV/AIDS data set will be finalized and frozen again.
- Unless otherwise noted, population-related data (such as rates) are provided by FLHealthCHARTS as of 6/30/2022.



- HIV-Related deaths represent persons with an HIV diagnosis in the CDC's electronic HIV/AIDS Reporting System (eHARS) who resided in Florida at death and whose underlying cause of death was HIV, regardless of whether their HIV status was reported in Florida.
- STI data are derived from the Surveillance Tools and Reporting System (STARS) and provided by the STD Prevention and Control Section as of 7/01/2022.



- X HIV diagnoses by year represent persons whose HIV was diagnosed in that year, regardless of AIDS status at time of diagnosis.
- AIDS and HIV diagnoses by year are not mutually exclusive and cannot be added together.

- X HIV prevalence data represent PWH living in Florida through the end of the calendar year, regardless of where they were diagnosed.
- Representation of the Representation of the

- Adult diagnoses represent people ages 13 years and older; pediatric diagnoses represent people under the age of 13 years.
 - For data by year of diagnosis, age is by age at diagnosis.
 - For prevalence data, age is by current age at the end of the most recent calendar year, regardless of age at diagnosis.

- Unless noted, White and Black people are non- Hispanic/Latino, and Other (which may be omitted in some graphs due to small numbers) represents American Indian/Alaska Native, Asian/Pacific Islander, or multi-racial.
- X Transgender people include:
 - Transgender women (assigned male at birth).
 - Transgender men (assigned female at birth).



Definitions of Mode of Exposure Categories

- X MMSC: Male-to-male sexual contact; these data exclude transgender persons.
- **XIDU:** Injection drug use.
- X MMSC/IDU: Male-to-male sexual contact and injection drug use; these data exclude transgender persons.
- X Transgender Sexual Contact: Sexual contact resulting in a transgender person acquiring HIV.

Definitions of Mode of Exposure Categories, continued

- K Heterosexual: Heterosexual contact with person(s) who received an HIV diagnosis or had a known HIV risk; these data exclude transgender persons.
- Other Risk: Includes recipients of clotting factor for hemophilia or other coagulation disorders, recipients of HIV-infected blood or blood components other than clotting factor or of HIV-infected tissue, perinatal and other pediatric risks, or other confirmed risks.

Florida's Four Key Components Plan To Eliminate HIV Transmission and Reduce HIV-Related Deaths

Implement routine HIV and STI screening in health care settings and priority testing in non-health care settings.


Provide rapid access to treatment and ensure retention in care (Test and Treat).



Florida's Four Key Components Plan To Eliminate HIV Transmission and Reduce HIV-Related Deaths, Continued


Improve and promote access to antiretroviral PrEP and nPEP.

Increase HIV awareness and community response through outreach, engagement, and messaging.




Demographics of People Diagnosed with HIV

Diagnoses of HIV, 2012–2021, Miami-Dade County






Diagnoses of AIDS, 2012–2021, Miami-Dade County







Adult HIV Diagnosis Rates by Sex at Birth, 2012–2021, Miami-Dade County




Adult AIDS Diagnosis Rates by Sex at Birth, 2012–2021, Miami-Dade County



Adult HIV and AIDS Diagnoses By Sex at Birth, 2021, Miami-Dade County




Adult HIV Diagnosis Rates By Race or Ethnicity, 2012–2021, Miami-Dade County






Adult Male HIV Diagnosis Rates By Race or Ethnicity, 2012–2021, Miami-Dade County






Adult Female HIV Diagnosis Rates By Race or Ethnicity, 2012–2021, Miami-Dade County







Adult HIV Diagnoses by Age At Diagnosis, 2012–2021, Miami-Dade County






Adult HIV Diagnoses by ZIP Code of Residence At Diagnosis, 2019–2021, Miami-Dade County






Adult HIV and AIDS Diagnoses and Population by Race or Ethnicity, 2021, Miami-Dade County






Adult HIV Diagnosis Rates by Sex And Race or Ethnicity, 2021, Miami-Dade County






Adult HIV Diagnoses By Sex and Age at Diagnosis, 2021, Miami-Dade County






Adult Male HIV Diagnoses by Mode of Exposure, 2012–2021, Miami-Dade County






Adult Female HIV Diagnoses by Mode of Exposure, 2012–2021, Miami-Dade County








HIV Co-morbidity Data



PWH with a Co-occurring Diagnosis of an STI by Type and Year of STI Report, 2017–2021, Miami-Dade County

Year of STI Report	HIV/ Early Syphilis ¹	HIV/ Chlamydia	HIV/ Gonorrhea
2017	724	611	595
2018	928	803	806
2019	1,000	955	1,034
2020	1,096	837	953
2021	1,248	1,202	1,188
Percentage Change	72%	97%	100%







HIV Prevalence in Miami-Dade County

Florida Department of Health




Adult PWH by ZIP Code of Residence,¹ 2021 Living in Miami-Dade County









MSM¹ with HIV by ZIP Code of Residence,² 2021 Living in Miami-Dade County





PWID¹ with HIV by ZIP Code of Residence,² 2021 Living in Miami-Dade County




1–7


8–25


>=26

N=1,929



Persons with Heterosexual Contact with HIV by ZIP Code of Residence, 2021, Living in Miami-Dade County








Adults with HIV, 2021, Living in Miami-Dade County

		Male #	%	Female #	%	Total #	%
Race/ Ethnicity	White	2,481	11.7%	275	4.2%	2,756	9.9%
	Black	6,453	30.4%	4,443	67.9%	10,896	39.2%
	Hispanic/Latino	12,016	56.6%	1,745	26.7%	13,761	49.6%
	Other	267	1.3%	82	1.3%	349	1.3%
Age Group	13-19	36	0.2%	15	0.2%	51	0.2%
	20-29	1,414	6.7%	325	5.0%	1,739	6.3%
	30-39	3,811	18.0%	880	13.4%	4,691	16.9%
	40-49	3,995	18.8%	1,338	20.4%	5,333	19.2%
	50+	11,961	56.4%	3,987	60.9%	15,948	57.4%
Mode of Exposure	MMSC	15,870	74.8%	0	0.0%	15,870	57.2%
	IDU	811	3.8%	532	8.1%	1,343	4.8%
	MMSC/IDU	637	3.0%	0	0.0%	637	2.3%
	Heterosexual Contact	3,663	17.3%	5,838	89.2%	9,501	34.2%
	Transgender Sexual Contact	96	0.5%	3	0.0%	99	0.4%
	Other risk	141	0.7%	172	2.6%	313	1.1%





HIV Care Continuum in Miami-Dade County


HIV Care Continuum Definitions

- **PWH:** Persons with HIV living in Florida at the end of 2021.
- In Care: PWH with at least one documented VL or CD4 lab, medical visit, or prescription from 1/1/2021 through 3/31/2022.
- Retained in Care: PWH with two or more documented VL or CD4 labs, medical visits or prescriptions at least three months apart from 1/1/2021 through 6/30/2022.

HIV Care Continuum Definitions, continued

- Suppressed Viral Load: PWH with a suppressed VL (<200 copies/mL) on their last VL lab from 1/1/2020 through 3/31/2022.</p>
- Not in Care: PWH with no documented VL or CD4 lab, medical visit or prescription from 1/1/2021 through 3/31/2022.
- X Linked to Care: PWH with at least one documented VL or CD4 lab, medical visit, or prescription following their first HIV diagnosis date.

Persons Who Received an HIV Diagnosis Along the HIV Care Continuum in 2021, Miami-Dade County




HIV Diagnoses Linked to Care in Retained in Care Suppressed Viral

So Days

Load




PWH Along the HIV Care Continuum in 2021, Living in Miami-Dade County






PWH Along the HIV Care Continuum, 2019–2021 Living in Miami-Dade County






PWH by Race or Ethnicity Along the HIV Care Continuum In 2021, Living in Miami-Dade County

■ White N=2,756 ■ Black N=10,909 ■ Hispanic/Latino N=13,767



PWH Along the HIV Care Continuum in 2021 Living in Florida Compared to Miami-Dade County



Percentage of PWH Who Were Retained in Care by ZIP Code of Residence¹ in 2021, Living in Miami-Dade County



<50%


50%-59%

60%–69%

70%–79%


80%-100%


Overall 68%






Percentage of PWH Who Had a Suppressed VL by ZIP Code of Residence, 2021, Living in Miami-Dade County









Percentage of PWH Who Were Not in Care by ZIP Code of Residence¹ in 2021, Living in Miami-Dade County











HIV-Related Deaths in Miami-Dade County

Rate of HIV-Related Deaths 2012–2021, Miami-Dade County








HIV Prevention for Miami-Dade County

Priority Populations for Primary HIV Prevention

- X These data were calculated from HIV diagnoses 2019–2021 and represent the proportion of each race or mode of exposure group to the total diagnoses.
- X These data are used to identify and prioritize testing, PrEP and other HIV prevention services to those at greatest risk for acquiring HIV in Florida.

Priority Populations for Primary HIV Prevention in 2021, Miami-Dade County






Priority Populations for Prevention for PWH

- X These data were calculated from PWH living in Florida at year-end 2021 and represent the proportion of each race or mode of exposure group to the total PWH.
- These data are used to prevent further transmission of HIV for those already diagnosed with HIV by providing linkage to care and other services to improve health outcomes and viral suppression to those who need it.

Priority Prevention Populations for PWH In 2021, Living in Miami-Dade County





HIV Testing

Everyone between the ages of 13 and 64 should get tested for HIV at least once. Persons at <u>increased risk</u> for HIV should get tested at least annually. Visit <u>knowyourhivstatus.com</u> for testing options in your area or to order a free at-home testing kit.

Florida law (section 384.31, Florida Statutes) requires all pregnant women to be tested for HIV and other STIs at their initial prenatal care visit, again at 28–32 weeks and at labor and delivery if their HIV status is unknown.

PrEP

PrEP medication, taken as directed, can reduce the risk of acquiring HIV through sexual contact by over 90% and through injection drug use by 70%. Condoms are still important during sex to prevent other STIs and unwanted pregnancy. STIs are increasing in Florida and can increase HIV risk. To find a PrEP provider who can help you decide if PrEP is right for you, visit <u>preplocator.org</u>.

Antiretroviral Therapy (ART)

For PWH, starting ART as soon as possible improves health outcomes and quality of life by reducing viral load and the risk of disease progression. People living with HIV who take antiretroviral medication as prescribed and achieve and maintain an undetectable viral load cannot transmit HIV to their sexual partners. ART is recommended for all PWH, regardless of how long they have had HIV or how well they feel. To find a care provider or to learn more about the resources available to PWH, visit floridaaids.org.

Florida HIV/AIDS Hotline

1-800-352-2437 English

1-800-545-7432 Spanish

1-800-243-7101 Haitian Creole

1-888-503-7118 Hearing/Speech Impaired

211bigbend.org/flhivaidshotline

Text 'FLHIV' or 'flhiv' to 898211

For more information, email

<u>DiseaseControl@flhealth.gov</u>



Some Useful Links

Department of Health HIV/AIDS Section floridaaids.org

CDC HIV Surveillance Reports (State and Metro Data) cdc.gov/hiv/library/reports/hiv-surveillance.html

CDC's Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (Special Articles on Diseases, including HIV) cdc.gov/mmwr

U.S. Census Data (Available by State and County) census.gov



Florida HIV/AIDS Surveillance Data Miami-Dade County Contact

Anthoni Llau

Florida Department of Health in Miami-Dade County Phone: 305-470-6984

Email: Anthoni.Llau@flhealth.gov


HIV/AIDS surveillance data are frozen on June 30 for the previous calendar year. These are the same data used for FLHealth CHARTS and all grant-related data. flhealthcharts.com/charts/CommunicableDiseases/default.aspx





Thank you!

Persons Living With HIV (PLWH) By Zip Code of Residence Miami-Dade County, 2021



SUMMARY OF HIV EPIDEMIOLOGY PROFILE DATA 2020-2021

PRESENTED JUNE 8, 2023

DISCLAIMER

Most slides used in this presentation were generated using the Epi Data provided by the Florida Department of Health as of June 30, 2022.



DEFINITION


INCIDENCE


Number of new cases of a disease in a population during a defined period of time – such as the number of new HIV cases in Miami-Dade County


HIV/AIDS INCIDENCE SNAPSHOT IN MIAMI-DADE FOR 2021

- HIV cases increased 48% in 2021 from 2020
- AIDS cases increased 28% in 2021 from 2020
- Men made up 84% of new diagnosis, among these the primary exposure was from male-to-male sexual contact.
- Transgender cases have increased significantly from 2017 to 2021
- Gonorrhea cases (co-infected with HIV) have increased by 100% since 2017.
- Chlamydia cases (co-infected with HIV) have increased by 97% since 2017.
- Early syphilis cases (co-infected with HIV) have increased by 72% since 2017.

HIV DIAGNOSIS BY GENDER IDENTITY 2020 AND 2021








AIDS DIAGNOSIS BY GENDER IDENTITY 2020 AND 2021

Cisgender Man

72%





Cisgender Man

74%

ADULT HIV BY AGE AT DIAGNOSIS COMPARISON 2020 AND 2021







HIV DIAGNOSIS BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND GENDER IDENTITY 2020 AND 2021

HIV and Co-Occurring Conditions

CO-OCCURRING CONDITIONS: HEPATITIS B, HEPATITIS C, AND TUBERCULOSIS WITH HIV, 2021

Co-occurring Condition with HIV	2019	2020	2021	% change 2019 - 2021
Hepatitis B	44	56	56	27%
Hepatitis C	61	82	138	126%
Tuberculosis	15	7	12	-20%

HIV WITH CO-OCCURRING DIAGNOSIS OF AN STI BY YEAR OF STI REPORT, 2017-2021

Year of STI Report	HIV/ Early Syphilis ¹	HIV/ Chlamydia	HIV/ Gonorrhea	
2017	724	611	595	
2018	928	803	806	
2019	1,000	955	1,034	
2020	1,096	837	953	
2021	1,248	1,202	1,188	
Percentage Change 2017-2021	72%	97%	100%	

¹Primary, secondary and early non-primary, non-secondary syphilis.

PREVALENCE

Total number of people in a population who have a disease or health condition at a specific period of time, usually expressed as a percentage of the population – such as the total number of people diagnosed with HIV in Miami-Dade County (EMA) as of December 31 of the reference year.

HIV/AIDS PREVALENCE SNAPSHOT IN MIAMI-DADE FOR 2021

- 2021 (27,782) had a 2% increase over the 2020 (27,329) prevalence figure
- Hispanics comprise 50% of those living with HIV
- Hispanics have increased 10% from 2017-2021
- HIV transgender prevalence has increased
- The largest age group of those living with HIV are 50+ years old (57%)
- The largest exposure group is male to male sexual contact (MMSC) (57%)

PEOPLE
WITH HIV
2020 AND
2021 IN
MIAMIDADE
COUNTY

Demographic Group /		The same of
Exposure Category	2020	2021
Race/Ethnicity	n=27,329	n=27,782
White	10%	10%
Black	40%	40%
Hispanic	49%	50%
Asian/Pacific Islander	e1%	<1%
American Indian/Alaska Native	~1%	<1%
Multi-race	1%	1%
Total	100%	100%
Current Gender ID		
Cisgender Man	75%	76%
Cisgender Woman	24%	24%
Transgender Man	<1%	<1%
Transgender Woman	-1%	=1%
Total	100%	100%
Age	10078	10076
Age 0-12	~1%	<1%
Age 0-12 Age 13-19	~1% ~1%	<1%
Age 20-29	7%	6%
Age 30-39	16%	17%
Age 40-49	20%	19%
Age 50-59	30%	29%
Age 60+	27%	28%
Total	100%	100%
Cisgender Man Adult/Adolescent 13+ ye	ACCRECATE VALUE OF THE PARTY OF	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN
MSM	74%	75%
DU	4%	4%
MSM/IDU	3%	3%
Heterosexual	18%	17%
Other risk	1%	1%
Total Males	100%	100%
Cisgender Woman Adult/Adolescent 13	vears old	
DU	8%	8%
Heterosemual	89%	89%
Risk not diagnosed/Other	3%	3%
Total Female:	100%	100%
Transgender Adult/Adolescent 13+ year		mission
DU	6%	6%
Sexual contact	94%	94%
Other	0%	0%
Total Transgender		100%
Pediatric Exposure Category (ages 0-12		
Perinatal Exp	96%	100%
Non-Perinatal Exp	4%	0%
Total Peds	100%	100%
Iotal Peds	10079	10070

TRANSGENDER TRANSMISSION, 2017-2021

HIV Incidence

HIV Prevalence


	Total	Transgender	Transgenders as % of Total
2017	1,167	1	0.1%
2018	1,194	8	0.7%
2019	1,164	5	0.4%
2020	814	5	0.6%
2021	1,204	21	1.7%

	Total		Transgenders as % of Total
2017	27,307	81	0.3%
2018	27,389	81	0.3%
2019	27,380	85	0.3%
2020	27,329	87	0.3%
2021	27,782	105	0.4%

PERSONS WITH HIV, IDU TRANSMISSION, 2020-2021

		20 7,329	2021 N=27,782		
Cisgender Man	800	2.9%	805	2.9%	
Cisgender Woman	551	2%	532	1.9%	
Transgender	5	.01%	6	.02%	

Persons Living With HIV (PLWH) By Zip Code of Residence Miami-Dade County, 2021



2021 Map of Prevalence

THANK YOU!







WWW.AIDSNET.ORG

EARLY IDENTIFICATION OF INDIVIDUALS WITH HIV/AIDS (EIIHA)

TRENDS IN HIV+ DIAGNOSIS AND LINKAGE TO CARE CALENDAR YEARS (CY) 2021 AND 2022

Presented June 8, 2023

SUMMARY

Data are derived from the Florida Department of Health.

Miami-Dade accounted for 22% of State of Florida publicly funded test events in CY 2022.

In CY 2022, an additional 14% more test events were held (48,115 vs 54,857). Black females accounted for 14% of these tests. Black Maleto-Male Sexual Contact (MMSC) accounted for 2%. Hispanic/Latinx MMSC accounted for 13%.

The 54,857 tests yielded 277 newly-diagnosed HIV+ persons (1% of the total tests), of which 208 (75%) were linked to care, up from the 69% were linked to care in CY 2021. These tests yielded 777 previously diagnosed, of which 532 (68%) were re-linked to care in CY 2022.

Hispanic/Latinx MMSC showed a marked increase in linkage to care in 2021 vs 2022, from 83% to 97% for newly diagnosed and 95% to 97% in previously-diagnosed.

FDOH EIIHA DATA HIV TEST EVENTS, MIAMI-DADE, NEWLY-DIAGNOSED, CY 2021 AND CY 2022

	All	Black Female	Black MMSC	Hispanic/Latinx MMSC
Total publicly funded test events in Miami, 2021	48,115	7,655	914	6,636
Total publicly funded test events in Miami, 2022	54,857	7,894	1,202	6,890
Newly-diagnosed HIV+ test event, 2021	452	41	31	110
Newly-diagnosed HIV+ test event, 2022	277	28	47	122
New positives linked to care, 2021	314 (69%)	39 (95%)	31 (100%)	91 (83%)
New positives linked to care, 2022	208 (75%)	28 (100%)	47 (100%)	118 (97%)

FDOH EIIHA DATA HIV TEST EVENTS, MIAMI-DADE, PREVIOUSLY-DIAGNOSED, CY 2021 AND CY 2022

	All	Black Female	Black MMSC	Hispanic /Latinx MMSC
Previously-diagnosed with new HIV+ test results, 2021	484	25	31	186
Previously-diagnosed with new HIV+ test results, 2022	777	33	38	267
Previously-diagnosed HIV+ linked to care, 2021	367	22 (88%)	30 (97%)	176 (95%)
Previously-diagnosed HIV+ linked to care, 2022	532	32 (97%)	37 (97%)	259 (97%)

THANK YOU!







WWW.AIDSNET.ORG

RYAN WHITE PROGRAM HIV CARE CONTINUUM FISCAL YEAR 2022 (3/1/2022-2/28/2023)

Presented June 8, 2023

HEALTH RESOURCES AND SERVICES ADMINISTRATION (HRSA) HIV CARE CONTINUUM



RYAN WHITE PROGRAM HIV CARE CONTINUUM DEFINITIONS

RWP Client = Ryan White Program clients who received at least **one** Ryan White Part A or MAI-funded service in the fiscal year (FY 2022: 03/01/2022-02/28/2023).


Linked to Care = Newly-diagnosed persons with HIV, who were linked to HIV medical care anywhere in Miami-Dade County. Data from Florida Department of Health (FDOH) Early Identification of Individuals with HIV/AIDS (EIIHA), CY 2022.

In Medical Care = Active Ryan White Program clients receiving one or more medical visits with any Ryan White Program provider with prescribing privileges, Viral Load test, or medical visit copay, during the 12-month reporting period (FY 2022).


Retained In Medical Care = Active Ryan White Program clients receiving two or more billed medical visits with a Ryan White Program provider, or Viral Load test, or medical visit copay, at least 90 days apart, during the 12-month reporting period (FY 2022).

Suppressed VL = Active Ryan White Program clients with a documented suppressed Viral Load (<200 copies /mL) in the most recently reported lab test.


RYAN WHITE PROGRAM HIV CARE CONTINUUM FY 2021 VS FY 2022




RYAN WHITE PROGRAM HIV CARE CONTINUUM BY RACE/ETHNICITY, FY 2022



RYAN WHITE PROGRAM HIV CARE CONTINUUM PERCENT BY GENDER, FY 2022



RYAN WHITE PROGRAM HIV CARE CONTINUUM BY INITIAL EXPOSURE, FY 2022



THANK YOU!







WWW.AIDSNET.ORG


SERVICE DEMOGRAPHICS

SECTION 4


RYAN WHITE PROGRAM DEMOGRAPHIC DATA FY 2022 (3/1/22-2/28/22)

Presented June 8, 2023

TOTAL NUMBER OF CLIENTS SERVED RYAN WHITE PROGRAM FY 2018-2022





NUMBER OF NEW CLIENTS SERVED RYAN WHITE PROGRAM FY 2018-2022




AGE

AGE OF NEW AND TOTAL CLIENTS IN CARE RYAN WHITE PROGRAM, FY 2022







CLIENTS BY AGE GROUP RYAN WHITE PROGRAM, FY 2018-2022




GENDER

GENDER OF NEW AND TOTAL CLIENTS IN CARE RYAN WHITE PROGRAM, FY 2022







GENDER OF CLIENTS IN CARE RYAN WHITE PROGRAM, FY 2018-2022




RACE/ETHNICITY

RACE/ETHNICITY OF NEW AND TOTAL CLIENTS IN CARE RYAN WHITE PROGRAM, FY 2022







RACE/ETHNICITY OF CLIENTS IN CARE RYAN WHITE PROGRAM, FY 2018-2022



RACE/ETHNICITY AND GENDER

RACE/ETHNICITY BY GENDER OF NEW AND TOTAL CLIENTS IN CARE RYAN WHITE PROGRAM, FY 2022






RACE/ETHNICITY OF TRANSGENDER CLIENTS RYAN WHITE PROGRAM, FY 2022

Established N=8,599	Black/African American	Haitian	Hispanic	White
Transgender MtF	26	1	71	4
Transgender FtM	0	0	1	0
Total	26	1	72	4


New N=1,492	Black/African American	Haitian	Hispanic	White
Transgender MtF	4	0	25	1
Transgender FtM	0	0	0	0
Total	4	0	25	1


RACE/ETHNICITY OF CLIENTS IN CARE, BY GENDER RYAN WHITE PROGRAM, FY 2018-2022



PRIMARY MODE OF EXPOSURE


PRIMARY MODE OF EXPOSURE OF NEW AND TOTAL CLIENTS IN CARE RYAN WHITE PROGRAM, FY 2022







MALE PRIMARY EXPOSURE MODES

PRIMARY MODE OF EXPOSURE NEW AND TOTAL MALES IN CARE RYAN WHITE PROGRAM, FY 2022






PRIMARY MODE OF EXPOSURE BY RACE/ETHNICITY MALES IN CARE RYAN WHITE PROGRAM, FY 2022





PRIMARY MODE OF EXPOSURE BY RACE/ETHNICITY MALE NEW TO CARE RYAN WHITE PROGRAM, FY 2022




FEMALE PRIMARY EXPOSURE MODES

PRIMARY MODE OF EXPOSURE NEW AND TOTAL FEMALES IN CARE RYAN WHITE PROGRAM, FY 2022






PRIMARY MODE OF EXPOSURE BY RACE/ETHNICITY FEMALES IN CARE RYAN WHITE PROGRAM, FY 2022





PRIMARY MODE OF EXPOSURE BY RACE/ETHNICITY FEMALE NEW TO CARE RYAN WHITE PROGRAM, FY 2022




PRIMARY LANGUAGE

PRIMARY LANGUAGE OF NEW AND TOTAL CLIENTS IN CARE RYAN WHITE PROGRAM, FY 2022






PRIMARY LANGUAGE OF CLIENTS IN CARE RYAN WHITE PROGRAM, FY 2018-2022




INCOME LEVEL

INCOME LEVEL OF NEW AND TOTAL CLIENTS IN CARE RYAN WHITE PROGRAM, FY 2022




INCOME LEVEL OF CLIENTS IN CARE RYAN WHITE PROGRAM, FY 2018-2022




INSURANCE COVERAGE

INSURANCE COVERAGE OF NEW AND TOTAL CLIENTS IN CARE RYAN WHITE PROGRAM, FY 2022



INSURANCE COVERAGE OF CLIENTS IN CARE RYAN WHITE PROGRAM, FY 2018-2022



THANK YOU!







WWW.AIDSNET.ORG

RYAN WHITE PROGRAM CO-OCCURRING CONDITIONS FY 2022

PRESENTED AUGUST 17, 2023

DEFINITIONS

<136% FPL: Ryan White Program (RWP) clients with an income of up to 136% of the federal poverty level (FPL).

ACA: Affordable Care Act

AIDS Dx: RWP clients with an AIDS diagnosis.

COC: Co-Occurring Conditions

Hepatitis B or C: RWP clients who have had a positive Hepatitis B or Hepatitis C lab test result within the last three (3) fiscal years.

Homeless/Unstably Housed: RWP clients who reported having non-permanent housing (homeless, transient, or transition) and/or answered the question "With whom are you living?" with either "I am Homeless" or "I live in a group home/shelter" during the fiscal year.

Mental Illness: RWP clients who received mental health counseling and/or psychiatric services in the current fiscal year.

MMSC: Male-to-Male Sexual Contact

No Health Insurance: RWP clients with no other forms of health insurance including Medicare, Medicaid, VA benefits, private health insurance (including ACA), or employer-paid insurance.

Subs. Use: RWP clients who have used drugs or alcohol in the past 12 months, currently use injection drugs, have received substance abuse counseling in the fiscal year thru the RWP, or currently attend AA/NA meetings.

STI: RWP clients who had a positive lab test result for either Syphilis, Gonorrhea, or Chlamydia during the fiscal year.

VL: Viral load.

WoCA: Women of child-bearing age; RWP female clients between the ages of 15 and 44.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The are **seven** (7) **Special Need Group**s(SNG) that the Miami-Dade County RWP looks at:

- Substance Users
- Black/African-American (BAA) males with heterosexual HIV acquisition
- Black/African-American (BAA) males with MMSC HIV acquisition
- Black/African-American (BAA) females
- Women of Childbearing Age (WoCA)
- Haitian males and females
- Hispanic males with MMSC HIV acquisition.

The are **eight** (8) **co-occurring conditions** (COC) of interest to the Miami Dade County RWP:

- Poverty (<136% of FPL)
- No other forms of health insurance/coverage
- AIDS diagnosis
- STI (chlamydia, gonorrhea, and/or syphilis) infection
- Hepatitis B or C infection
- Substance use
- Mental illness
- Being homeless or unstably housed.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS CONT.


Special Need Groups (SNG):

- Hispanic MMSC (VL suppression 84.8%) was the SNG with the highest VL suppression rate.
 - -Accounted for 48.0% of the total RWP population.
- Black MMSC had the lowest VL suppression rate (74%).


Co-Occurring Conditions (COC):

- Clients with Mental Illness showed the highest VL suppression rate (91%).
- Clients with Hepatitis B or C and clients with Sexually Transmitted Infections (STI) had average VL suppression rates higher than the RWP average.
- Clients who were homeless had the lowest VL suppression rate (75%).
- Clients with mental illness and homeless clients showed the two highest annual costs per client, \$4,720 and \$4,195 respectively.

VL Suppression % by Co-occuring Condition, FY 2022



Client Ns by Co-Occcurring Condition, FY 2022



Incidence of Co-Occurring Conditions Among Special Need Populations, FY 2022

SPECIAL NEEDS GROUPS	Total N	<136% FPL	No Health Ins.	Any AIDS Dx	STI	Hep B or C	Subs. Use	Mental Illness	Homeless/ Unstably Housed	VL Supp % of Special Needs Groups
Total RWP	8,599	4,228	4,092	2,267	2,013	1,892	1,747	662	489	82.0%
Clients	100%	49.2%	47.6%	26.4%	23.4%	22.0%	20.3%	7.7%	5.7%	
Hispanic	4,129	1,731	1,764	713	1,347	1,045	939	289	122	84.8%
MMSC	48.0%	41.9%	42.7%	17.3%	32.6%	25.3%	22.7%	7.0%	3.0%	
Black	531	273	270	113	195	160	163	43	52	74.0%
MMSC	6.2%	51.4%	50.8%	21.3%	36.7%	30.1%	30.7%	8.1%	9.8%	
Black Male Hetero	476 5.5%	304 63.9%	277 58.2%	194 40.8%	62 13.0%	84 17.6%	106 22.3%	38 8.0%	74 15.5%	79.0%
Black	531	322	241	221	21	70	53	44	39	74.8%
Female	6.2%	60.6%	45.4%	41.6%	4.0%	13.2%	10.0%	8.3%	7.3%	
Haitian Males + Females	772 9.0%	419 54.3%	354 45.9%	363 47.0%	50 6.5%	116 15.0%	32 4.1%	59 7.6%	30 3.9%	82.9%
WoCA,	412	250	243	105	28	70	66	62	40	74.8%
Age 15-44	4.8%	60.7%	59.0%	25.5%	6.8%	17.0%	16.0%	15.0%	9.7%	
Substance	1,747	848	897	324	597	561	1,747	194	209	86.4%
Users	20.3%	48.5%	51.3%	18.5%	34.2%	32.1%	100%	11.1%	12.0%	
VL Supp % of Clients	82.0%	78.6%	77.0%	79.8%	88.7%	85.7%	86.4%	90.5%	75.0%	

Treatment Costs by Co-Occurring Conditions, FY 2022

	FY 2022 RW Co-Occurring		Total Tx Cost	A T
Co-Occurring Condition	# of RW clients with COC	% of RW clients	(from PE Billed Service Detail Data)	Avg. Tx Cost per Client
All RWP Clients	8,599	100%	\$22,649,227.14	\$2,636.39
<136% FPL	4,228	49.2%	\$12,825,907.64	\$3,037.16
Only RWP	4,092	47.6%	\$13,264,236.41	\$3,244.68
AIDS diagnosis	2,267	26.4%	\$5,973,057.97	\$2,651.16
STI	2,013	23.4%	\$6,628,735.37	\$3,292.96
Hepatitis B or C	1,892	22.0%	\$6,042,098.65	\$3,193.50
Substance Use	1,747	20.3%	\$5,517,359.93	\$3,158.19
Mental Illness	662	7.7%	\$3,120,294.70	\$4,720.57
Homeless/UH	489	5.7%	\$2,273,713.58	\$4,195.04

THANK YOU!







WWW.AIDSNET.ORG

SERVICE UTILIZATION

SECTION 5

RYAN WHITE PROGRAM SERVICE UTILIZATION DATA FY 2022 (3/1/2022-2/28/23)


July 13, 2023 version

SUMMARY

TOTALS AND GRAPHS

Ryan White Program Services Expenditures and Clients Served


	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Total Expenditures	\$21,934,627	\$22,984,845	\$17,660,128	\$19,018,258	\$22,372,898
Total Unduplicated Clients	9,578	9,031	8,127	8,420	8,590
Average Cost/Client	\$2,290	\$2,545	\$2,173	\$2,258	\$2,604



Total Expenditures Between FY 2018 and FY 2022

Total Number of Unduplicated Clients Between FY 2018 and FY 2022

Average Cost Per Client Between FY 2018 and FY 2022



TABLES

RYAN WHITE PROGRAM (RWP) CLIENTS AND EXPENDITURES SORTS

RWP Clients Served Sorted Alphabetically

SERVICE CATEGORIES	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
RWP TOTAL	9,578	9,031	8,127	8,411	8,590
AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance (Local)	697	605	185	183	157
Emergency Financial Assistance	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Food Bank	701	715	735	712	1,130
Health Insurance Premium & Cost Sharing Assist	1,307	1,335	1,125	1,255	1,440
Medical Case Management, inc. Treatment Adherence (includes Peer Support)	8,496	8,116	7,378	7,842	8,085
Medical Transportation Services	638	720	94	645	743
Mental Health Services	327	274	95	121	107
Oral Health Care	3,381	3,170	1,711	2,237	2,577
Other Professional Services - Legal Services	76	66	48	44	103
Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services	5,447	5,317	4,281	4,422	4,540
Outreach Services	624	472	130	116	158
Substance Abuse Services Outpatient	115	55	0	17	22
Substance Abuse Services (Residential)	169	95	70	66	72

RWP Clients Served Sorted by Highest Usage

SERVICE CATEGORIES	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Medical Case Management, inc. Treatment Adherence (includes Peer Support)	8,496	8,116	7,378	7,842	8,085
Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services	5,447	5,317	4,281	4,422	4,540
Oral Health Care	3,381	3,170	1,711	2,237	2,577
Health Insurance Premium & Cost Sharing Assist	1,307	1,335	1,125	1,255	1,440
Food Bank	701	715	735	712	1,130
Medical Transportation Services	638	720	94	645	743
Outreach Services	624	472	130	116	158
AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance (Local)	697	605	185	183	157
Mental Health Services	327	274	95	121	107
Other Professional Services - Legal Services	76	66	48	44	103
Substance Abuse Services (Residential)	169	95	70	66	72
Substance Abuse Services Outpatient	115	55	0	17	22
Emergency Financial Assistance	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

RWP Expenditure

Sorted Alphabetically

SERVICE CATEGORIES	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
CORE SERVICES					
AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance (Local)	\$86,210	\$57,843	\$5,993	\$4,379	\$3,954
Health Insurance Premium & Cost Sharing Assistance	\$502,536	\$372,895	\$289,193	\$298,950	\$297,152
Medical Case Management, inc. Treatment Adherence (includes Peer Support)	\$5,308,840	\$5,776,806	\$5,283,942	\$5,744,512	\$6,031,337
Mental Health Services	\$133,790	\$135,505	\$90,019	\$60,239	\$64,577
Oral Health Care	\$2,841,838	\$3,547,495	\$1,645,879	\$2,533,062	\$3,273,644
Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services	\$9,112,521	\$9,391,615	\$7,397,592	\$7,729,584	\$8,724,251
Substance Abuse Services Outpatient	\$55,390	\$23,970	\$23,556	\$1,356	\$4,971
SUPPORT SERVICES					
Emergency Financial Assistance	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Food Bank	\$1,451,528	\$1,851,369	\$1,303,702	\$1,338,778	\$2,540,864
Medical Transportation	\$139,855	\$140,937	\$5,642	\$100,956	\$159,552
Other Professional Services - Legal Services	\$140,599	\$115,976	\$146,336	\$97,371	\$67,581
Outreach Services	\$307,380	\$332,602	\$148,155	\$140,761	\$151,423
Substance Abuse Services (Residential)	\$1,854,140	\$1,237,830	\$1,320,120	\$968,310	\$1,053,590

RWP Expenditures Sorted by Highest Cost

SERVICES CATEGORIES	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
CORE SERVICES					
Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services	\$9,112,521	\$9,391,615	\$7,397,592	\$7,729,584	\$8,724,251
Medical Case Management, inc. Treatment Adherence (includes Peer Support)	\$5,308,840	\$5,776,806	\$5,283,942	\$5,744,512	\$6,031,337
Oral Health Care	\$2,841,838	\$3,547,495	\$1,645,879	\$2,533,062	\$3,273,644
Health Insurance Premium & Cost Sharing Assistance	\$502,536	\$372,895	\$289,193	\$298,950	\$297,152
Mental Health Services	\$133,790	\$135,505	\$90,019	\$60,239	\$64,577
AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance (Local)	\$86,210	\$57,843	\$5,993	\$4,379	\$3,954
Substance Abuse Services Outpatient	\$55,390	\$23,970	\$23,556	\$1,356	\$4,971
SUPPORT SERVICES					
Food Bank	\$1,451,528	\$1,851,369	\$1,303,702	\$1,338,778	\$2,540,864
Substance Abuse Services (Residential)	\$1,854,140	\$1,237,830	\$1,320,120	\$968,310	\$1,053,590
Outreach Services	\$307,380	\$332,602	\$148,155	\$140,761	\$151,423
Medical Transportation	\$139,855	\$140,937	\$5,642	\$100,956	\$159,552
Other Professional Services - Legal Services Emergency Financial Assistance	\$140,599 N/A	\$115,976 N/A	\$146,336 N/A	\$97,371 N/A	\$67,581 N/A

SERVICE UTILIZATION

SORTED ALPHABETICALLY

AIDS PHARMACEUTICAL ASSISTANCE




AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance (APA)-the local pharmaceutical assistance program

- Since the expansion of the AIDS
 Drug Assistance Program (ADAP)
 formulary to include non antiretroviral medications in 2017
 and the continued expansion of the ADAP formulary, the utilization of the Ryan White Program AIDS
 Pharmaceutical Assistance program continues to be reduced.
- 156 clients received pharmaceuticals from Part A in FY 2022, the lowest usage in the last five years.

- Expenditures decreased to \$3,954 (~10% less than FY 2021).
- Top medications dispensed:
- Antibiotics, 45%
- Psychiatric, 30%
- Topicals, 15%
- Colonoscopy Prep, 4%

Percent of Clients Served and Percent Spent on AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance (APA)



AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance (APA) by Gender and Ethnicity

Gender and Ethnicity	% of Active Clients in Each Group Receiving APA	Percent of All RWP Clients in Each Group
Hispanic Male	2.4%	58.6%
Hispanic Female	1.8%	5.9%
Black Male	1.4%	12.6%
Black Female	0.6%	6.2%
Haitian Male	0.3%	4.5%
Haitian Female	0.5%	4.5%
White Male	0.8%	6.0%
White Female	0.0%	0.5%
Transgender	1.9%	1.2%
Total RWP	1.9%	100%

Emergency Financial Assistance

No billing data were provided for this fiscal year.

FOOD BANK




Food Bank (FB)

The dollars spent on the service has increased 90%, from \$1.3 million in FY 2021 to over \$2.5 million in FY 2022.

A total of 1,130 clients used the service in FY 2022, a 59% increase from FY 2021.

Percent of Clients Served and Percent Spent on Food Bank (FB)



Food Bank (FB) by Gender and Ethnicity

Gender and Ethnicity	% of Active Clients in Each Group Receiving Food Bank Services	Percent of All RWP Clients in Each Group
Hispanic Male	14.8%	58.6%
Hispanic Female	13.8%	5.9%
Black Male	10.5%	12.6%
Black Female	15.4%	6.2%
Haitian Male	6.5%	4.5%
Haitian Female	9.8%	4.5%
White Male	8.0%	6.0%
White Female	2.3%	0.5%
Transgender	17.5%	1.2%
Total RWP	13.2%	100%


HEALTH INSURANCE PREMIUM AND COST SHARING ASSISTANCE FOR LOW-INCOME INDIVIDUALS



Health Insurance Premium and Cost Sharing Assistance for Low-Income Individuals (HIPCSA)

- In 2018-19, AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP) began assuming responsibility for Ryan White Program client's Affordable Care Act (ACA) marketplace insurance premium payments. This resulted in a drastic drop in the dollars spent in this service category. The Ryan White Program now covers wrap around services for ACA clients. This downward trend continued in FY 2022, with spending declining to \$297,152.
- The number of Ryan White Program clients served remained relatively stable at over 1,000 (1,454) over the last five years. This was because the program continued to cover co-payments and deductibles for medication, office visits and lab/diagnostic tests.
- The most used service were ACA related co-payments, 78% of all services.

Percent of Clients Served and Percent Spent on Health Insurance (HIPCSA)



Health Insurance (HIPCSA) by Gender and Ethnicity

Gender and Ethnicity	% of Active Clients in Each Group Receiving HIPCSA	Percent of All RWP Clients in Each Group
Hispanic Male	20.6%	58.6%
Hispanic Female	13.4%	5.9%
Black Male	7.4%	12.6%
Black Female	9.4%	6.2%
Haitian Male	7.0%	4.5%
Haitian Female	12.9%	4.5%
White Male	23.5%	6.0%
White Female	4.7%	0.5%
Transgender	8.7%	1.2%
Total RWP	16.8%	100%

MEDICAL CASE MANAGMENT INCLUDING TREATMENT ADHERENCE SERVICES [INCLUDES PEER EDUCATION AND SUPPORT NETWORK]




Medical Case Management (MCM) Including Treatment Adherence Services [includes Peer Support Network Services (PESN)]

- Of the 8,590 clients in RWP care in FY 2022, 94% received MCM services in FY 2022. This represents a 2% increase over the number served in FY 2021.
- In FY 2022, 27% of all direct services expenditures (~\$6 million) were spent on MCM.
- The most frequently billed services:

MCM	PESN
28% Documentation	38% Documentation
21% Plan of Care	10% Collateral
19% Adherence	19% Telephone Encounter
15% Telephone	22% Adherence
5% ACA Consult	10% Face to Face Encounter

Percent of Clients Served and Percent Spent on Medical Case Management (MCM)



Medical Case Management (MCM) by Gender and Ethnicity

Gender and Ethnicity	% of Active Clients in Each Ethnic/Gender Group Receiving MCM	Percent of All RWP Clients in Each Group
Hispanic Male	95.2%	58.6%
Hispanic Female	92.4%	5.9%
Black Male	91.3%	12.6%
Black Female	87.6%	6.2%
Haitian Male	96.1%	4.5%
Haitian Female	94.8%	4.5%
White Male	95.5%	6.0%
White Female	90.7%	0.5%
Transgender	93.2%	1.2%
Total RWP	94.1%	100%


MEDICAL TRANSPORTATION SERVICES



Medical Transportation Services (MTS)

- Utilization of Ryan White Program dollars for this service category has been increasing since FY 2021. FY 2022 expenditures are 56% higher than FY 2021 and are the highest in five years.
- EASY monthly pass accounted for 23% of the service, and ride shares (Uber/Lyft) accounted for 73%.

Percent of Clients Served and Percent Spent on Medical Transportation Services (MTS)



Medical Transportation Services (MTS) by Gender and Ethnicity

Gender and Ethnicity	% of Active Clients in Each Group Receiving Medical Transport	Percent of All RWF Clients in Each Group
Hispanic Male	8.8%	58.6%
Hispanic Female	9.8%	5.9%
Black Male	9.1%	12.6%
Black Female	7.3%	6.2%
Haitian Male	7.5%	4.5%
Haitian Female	9.6%	4.5%
White Male	6.7%	6.0%
White Female	4.7%	0.5%
Transgender	10.7%	1.2%
Total RWP	8.7%	100%


MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES



Mental Health Services (MHS)

- The number of clients receiving MHS continued its decline since FY 2016.
 - 101 MHS clients were served in FY 2022, a 69% decline from FY 2018 (327 clients)
- MHS expenditures as a percentage of all RWP expenditures slightly dropped to 0.3% (lowest levels in over 5 years).
- Service provided breakdown:
 - Tele-Mental Health Individual Level II 54%
 - Mental Health Individual Level II 36%
 - Tele-Mental Health Individual Level I − 7%
 - Mental Health Individual Level I— 3%

Percent of Clients Served and Percent Spent on Mental Health Services (MHS)



Mental Health Services (MHS) by Gender and Ethnicity

Gender and Ethnicity	% of Active Clients in Each Group Receiving Mental Health Serv.	Percent of All RWP Clients in Each Group
Hispanic Male	1.2%	58.6%
Hispanic Female	1.6%	5.9%
Black Male	1.4%	12.6%
Black Female	1.7%	6.2%
Haitian Male	1.0%	4.5%
Haitian Female	0.5%	4.5%
White Male	1.0%	6.0%
White Female	2.3%	0.5%
Transgender	1.0%	1.2%
Total RWP	1.2%	100%


ORAL HEALTH CARE



Oral Health Care (OHC)

- Utilization of OHC services dropped dramatically in FY 2020, but has been steadily climbing. FY 2022 expenditures are a 29% increase from FY 2021 and mark the highest expenditure in last five years.
- Expenditures rose to over \$3.2 million, which accounted for ~15% of all Ryan White Program expenditures and 30% of all clients in Ryan White.
- Top dental services used were:
 - Panoramic Film, 7%
 - Prophylaxis Adult Age 12+, 6%
 - Periodontal Scaling and Root Planing Four or More Per Quadrant, 6%
 - Resin-Based Composite One Surface, Posterior, 6%
 - Oral Hygiene Instructions, 6%

Percent of Clients Served and Percent Spent on Oral Health Care (OHC)



Oral Health Care (OHC) by Gender and Ethnicity

Gender and Ethnicity	% of Active Clients in Each Ethnic/Gender Group Receiving OHC	Percent of All RWP Clients in Each Group
Hispanic Male	32.8%	58.6%
Hispanic Female	33.8%	5.9%
Black Male	22.7%	12.6%
Black Female	25.4%	6.2%
Haitian Male	25.7%	4.5%
Haitian Female	23.8%	4.5%
White Male	30.0%	6.0%
White Female	27.9%	0.5%
Transgender	23.3%	1.2%
Total RWP	30.1%	100%

OUTPATIENT AMBULATORY HEALTH CARE




Outpatient Ambulatory Health Services (O/AHS)

- Thirty-nine percent of direct service expenditures were spent on O/AHS

 over \$8.7 million 12.8 % more than FY 2021 but still less than FY
 YR 2018 and FY 2019.
- Nearly 53% of all clients (4,506 clients) used O/AHS which is similar to last fiscal year.
- Top six most used services are:
 - Office Outpatient Visit 25 Minutes, 6%
 - Chlamydia Trachomatis Amplified Probe TQ, 6%
 - Neisseria Gonorrhoeae Amplified Probe TQ, 5%
 - HIV-1 Quant & Reverse Transcription, 5%
 - Comprehensive Metabolic Panel, 5%
 - Syphilis Test Non-Treponemal Antibody Qual, 4%

Percent of Clients Served and Percent Spent on Outpatient Ambulatory Health Care (O/AHS)



Outpatient Ambulatory Health Service (O/AHS) by Gender and Ethnicity

Gender and Ethnicity	% of Active Clients in Each Ethnic/Gender Group Receiving O/AHS	Percent of All RWP Clients in Each Group
Hispanic Male	51.9%	58.6%
Hispanic Female	62.0%	5.9%
Black Male	59.2%	12.6%
Black Female	43.3%	6.2%
Haitian Male	53.5%	4.5%
Haitian Female	50.4%	4.5%
White Male	45.9%	6.0%
White Female	46.5%	0.5%
Transgender	75.7%	1.2%
Total RWP	52.8%	100%


OTHER PROFESSIONAL SERVICES-PERMANENCY PLANNING AND LEGAL SERVICES



Other Professional Services-Permanency Planning and Legal Services (LS)

- The number of clients utilizing legal services has risen in FY 2022 (78), a 77% increase from FY 2021 (44).
- The total expenditures are the lowest in the past five years \$67,581 (30.5% decrease) from FY 2021.
- The service is most used to access government benefits, 94%.

Percent of Clients Served and Percent Spent on Other Professional-Legal Services (LS)



Other Professional-Legal Services (LS) by Gender and Ethnicity

Gender and Ethnicity	% of Active Clients in Each Group Receiving Other Prof. Services	Percent of All RWF Clients in Each Group
Hispanic Male	1.1%	58.6%
Hispanic Female	0.6%	5.9%
Black Male	1.9%	12.6%
Black Female	0.9%	6.2%
Haitian Male	0.8%	4.5%
Haitian Female	0.3%	4.5%
White Male	1.6%	6.0%
White Female	2.3%	0.5%
Transgender	6.8%	1.2%
Total RWP	1.2%	100%


OUTREACH



Outreach Services (OS)

- The number of clients reached by outreach continues to be less than 200 clients (155), with FY 2022 serving 33.6% more than FY 2021 (116). This is a 75 % decline from the 624 clients served in FY 2018.
- The service billed mostly documentation encounters, 40%, followed by telephone encounters, 32%.

Percent of Clients Served and Percent Spent on Outreach Services (OS)



Outreach Services (OS) by Gender and Ethnicity

Gender and Ethnicity	% of Active Clients in Each Group Receiving Outreach Services	Percent of All RWP Clients in Each Group
Hispanic Male	1.6%	58.6%
Hispanic Female	1.8%	5.9%
Black Male	2.5%	12.6%
Black Female	1.7%	6.2%
Haitian Male	0.8%	4.5%
Haitian Female	2.3%	4.5%
White Male	1.6%	6.0%
White Female	4.7%	0.5%
Transgender	3.9%	1.2%
Total RWP	1.8%	100%


SUBSTANCE ABUSE SERVICES-OUTPATIENT



Substance Abuse Services-Outpatient (SAS-O)

- Utilization of this service continues to decline with continued low expenditures (\$4,401) and number of clients (22) in FY 2022.
- From FY 2018, expenditures have decreased 92% and clients served have decreased 80.8%.

Percent of Clients Served and Percent Spent on Substance Abuse Services-Oupatient (SAS-O)



Substance Abuse Services-Outpatient (SAS-O) by Gender and Ethnicity

Gender and Ethnicity	% of Active Clients in Each Group Receiving SAS (Outpatient)	Percent of All RWI Clients in Each Group
Hispanic Male	0.3%	58.6%
Hispanic Female	0.0%	5.9%
Black Male	0.1%	12.6%
Black Female	0.0%	6.2%
Haitian Male	0.0%	4.5%
Haitian Female	0.0%	4.5%
White Male	0.6%	6.0%
White Female	0.0%	0.5%
Transgender	0.0%	1.2%
Total RWP	0.3%	100%


SUBSTANCE ABUSE SERVICES-RESIDENTIAL



Substance Abuse Services - Residential (SAS-R)

- The number of clients receiving SAS-R continues to steadily decline since FY 2017, dropping 57% between FY 2018 (169 clients) and FY 2022 (72 clients).
- The dollars spent in FY 2022 are a little over \$1 million, 8.8% increase from FY 2021.

Percent of Clients Served and Percent Spent on Substance Abuse Services-Residential (SAS-R)



Sustance Abuse Services - Residential (SAS-R) by Gender and Ethnicity

Gender and Ethnicity	% of Active Clients in Each Group Receiving SAS (Residential)	Percent of All RWP Clients in Each Group
Hispanic Male	0.5%	58.6%
Hispanic Female	0.4%	5.9%
Black Male	2.3%	12.6%
Black Female	1.1%	6.2%
Haitian Male	0.8%	4.5%
Haitian Female	0.0%	4.5%
White Male	1.4%	6.0%
White Female	0.0%	0.5%
Transgender	2.9%	1.2%
Total RWP	0.8%	100%

THANK YOU!







WWW.AIDSNET.ORG

OTHER FUNDING AND SERVICES

SECTION 6

OTHER HIV-SPECIFIC FUNDING SOURCES

Presented July 13, 2023

HOWS AND WHYS?

Every year, BSR disseminates a survey to explore the different funding sources that support care to persons with HIV in Miami-Dade County.

These sources include Ryan White Program Parts B, C, and D; other providers who have additional resources directed toward people with HIV; and the Medicaid program.

The survey quantifies the number of HIV+ clients provided specific services during the recently completed fiscal year, as well as the expenditures for these services.

The data used for this analysis are derived from this survey. Please note clients maybe duplicated across funding sources and services provided.

REPRESENTED BY FIVE RYAN WHITE PROGRAM PARTS (A-F):

- Part A Core and support services provided through the Eligible Metropolitan Area (EMA)
- Part B Services provided through states/territories and AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP)
- Part C Community-Based Early Intervention Services
- Part D Women, Infants, Children, and Youth (WICY)
- Part F Dental Programs, AIDS Education and Training Centers (AETC), Special Projects of National Significance (SPNS) projects

202 cities for interest Assessment Funding and County for interest A

HOW DATA IS PRESENTED?

The summary table is one doublesided page and list the services, totals expended and age/gender of clients. The age/gender categories are derived from the breakdown of the women, infants, children and youth report data. See slide that follows for reference.

These data are also included at the bottom of the dashboard cards, and are sorted by service categories.

MEDICAID

The following two slides and two tables included in your materials provide details on the Medicaid program's total number of HIV/AIDS clients served, including expenditures, and demographics.

Demographics include race/ethnicity, gender, and age.

Due to changes in Medicaid reporting, a new label of "other" is being used for categories with items less than 15.

Data from the Medicaid program is also included at the bottom of the dashboard cards.

MEDICAID HIV/AIDS CLIENTS FY 2019-20 THROUGH FY 2021-22

Total Medicaid HIV/AIDS Clients

			FY 201	9-2020					FY 20	20-2021					FY 20	21-2022		
	Male	%	Female	%	Total	%	Male	%	Female	%	Total	%	Male	%	Female	%	Total	%
Black/African American	1,581	36.98%	2,067	59.04%	3,648	46.87%	1,666	36.38%	2,163	56.65%	3,829	45.55%	1,778	38.83%	2,405	62.99%	4,183	44.30%
Hispanic	1,478	34.57%	645	18.42%	2,123	27.28%	1,520	33.20%	769	20.14%	2,289	27.23%	1,793	39.16%	959	25.12%	2,752	29.14%
Not Determined	870	20.35%	600	17.14%	1,470	18.89%	1,044	22.80%	662	17.34%	1,706	20.29%	1,125	24.57%	718	18.81%	1,843	19.52%
Other	50	1.17%	51	1.46%	101	1.30%	53	1.16%	67	1.75%	120	1.43%	99	2.16%	84	2.20%	183	1.94%
Other (*less than 15 count)		0.00%		0.00%	7	0.09%		0.00%		0.00%	10	0.12%		0.00%		0.00%	11	0.12%
White	296	6.92%	138	3.94%	434	5.58%	296	6.46%	157	4.11%	453	5.39%	309	6.75%	162	4.24%	471	4.99%
TOTAL	4,275	54.93%	3,501	44.98%	7,783	100.00%	4,579	54.47%	3,818	45.41%	8,407	100.00%	5,104	54.05%	4,328	45.83%	9,443	100.00%

Medicaid HIV/AIDS Clients 18-64 years older

Jac Care III	4 111 (o catato.	_	THE RESERVE THE PERSON NAMED IN					-	-					-	-		
			FY 201	9-2020					FY 20	20-2021					FY 20	21-2022		
	Male	%	Female	%	Total	%	Male	%	Female	%	Total	%	Male	%	Female	%	Total	%
Black/African American	1,464	35.79%	1,951	59.09%	3,415	46.15%	1,542	35.21%	2,044	56.57%	3,586	44.81%	1,644	37.54%	2,269	62.80%	3,913	43.44%
Hispanic	1,449	35.43%	603	18.26%	2,052	27.73%	1,486	33.93%	725	20.07%	2,211	27.63%	1,755	40.08%	913	25.27%	2,668	29.62%
Not Determined	841	20.56%	577	17.47%	1,418	19.16%	1,015	23.18%	637	17.63%	1.652	20.64%	1.097	25.05%	695	19.24%	1,792	19.90%
Other	46	1.12%	42	1.27%	88	1.19%	46	1.05%	56	1.55%	102	1.27%	93	2.12%	72	1.99%	165	1.83%
Other * (counts less than 15)		0.00%		0.00%	7	0.09%		0.00%		0.00%	10	0.12%		0.00%		0.00%	11	0.12%
White	290	7.09%	129	3.91%	419	5.66%	290	6.62%	151	4.18%	441	5.51%	303	6.92%	155	4.29%	458	5.08%
TOTAL	4,090	55.28%	3,302	44.63%	7,399	100.00%	4,379	54.72%	3,613	45.15%	8,002	100.00%	4,892	54.31%	4,104	45.56%	9,007	100.00%

Medicaid HIV/AIDS Clients less than 18 year old


			FY 201	9-2020					FY 20	20-2021					FY 20	21-2022		
	Male	%	Female	%	Total	%	Male	%	Female	%	Total	%	Male	%	Female	%	Total	%
Black/African American	117	66.86%	116	70.30%	233	60.68%	124	66.31%	119	59.80%	243	60.00%	134	71.66%	136	68.34%	270	61.93%
Hispanic	29	16.57%	42	25.45%	71	18.49%	34	18.18%	44	22.11%	78	19.26%	38	20.32%	46	23.12%	84	19.27%
Not Determined	29	16.57%	23	13.94%	52	13.54%	29	15.51%	25	12.56%	54	13.33%	28	14.97%	23	11.56%	51	11.70%
Other		0.00%		0.00%	0	0.00%		0.00%	11	5.53%	11	2.72%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%
Other (*less than 15 count)		0.00%		0.00%	28	7.29%		0.00%		0.00%	19	4.69%		0.00%		0.00%	31	7.11%
White		0.00%		0.00%	0	0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%
TOTAL	175	45.57%	181	47.14%	384	100.00%	187	46.17%	199	49.14%	405	100.00%	200	45.87%	205	47.02%	436	100.00%

MEDICAID HIV/AIDS CLIENTS BY GENDER FY 2019-20 THROUGH FY 2021-22



Note: There are slightly more men (54.05%) in the Medicaid program.

MEDICAID HIV/AIDS CLIENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS FY 2019-20 THROUGH FY 2021-22



Note: The program serves more Black/African Americans (44.30%) than any other race.

MEDICAID DATA

Miami-Dade HIV/AIDS Medicaid Expenditures and Clients, FY 2021-2022

Bucket	Service	Recipients	Amount
01	HOSPITAL INPATIENT SERV	1,096	\$12,661,882.55
02	HOSPITAL INSURANCE BENE	340	\$599,172.66
03	HOSPITAL OUTPATIENT SER	3,537	\$4,720,221.39
04	HOSPITAL OUTPATIENT XOV	1,100	\$609,971.01
06	SKILLED NURSING CARE	118	\$4,694,361.55
07	INTERMEDIATE CARE	43	\$1,994,082.48
12	PHYSICIAN SERVICES	5,255	\$6,599,060.75
13	PHYSICIAN XOVER	1,310	\$182,648.95
14	PRESCRIBED MEDICINE	6,121	\$112,742,679.80
15	OTHER LAB AND X-RAY	3,559	\$1,053,525.27
16	LAB AND X-RAY XOVER	604	\$8,392.52
17	TRANSPORTATION	2,368	\$1,533,593.04
18	TRANSPORTATION XOVER	249	\$43,736.48
19	FAMILY PLANNING SERVICE	42	\$7,018.43
20	HOME HEALTH SERVICES	1,342	\$2,859,519.86
21	HOME HEALTH XOVER	323	\$83,504.04
22	EPSDT SCREENING	228	\$19,520.19
24	CHILD VISUAL SERVICES	32	53,126,44
27	ADULT VISUAL SERVICES	302	\$28,998 32
29	CASE MANAGEMENT-CMS	150	\$274,382,33
31	NURSE PRACTITIONER SERV	123	\$29,645,36
32	OTHER XOVER PRACTITIONE	390	\$9,741.46
33	HOSPICE	52	\$679,384.03
34	COMMUNITY MENTAL HLTH S	1,773	\$3,065,692,86
35	HCB-AGING	444	\$1,107,031,62
36	HCB-DEVELOPMENTAL SERVI	96	\$2,760,157,36
37	HCB-AIDS	339	\$610,109.77
39	PREPAID HEALTH PLAN	10,674	\$234,419,460.75
43	PRIVATE DUTY NURSING SE	19	\$578,693.17
44	PHYSICAL THERAPY SERVIC	174	\$145,879.91
46	OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY SE	19	\$39,396,51
49	PEDERALLY QUALIFIED CEN	883	\$123,302.16
53	CLINIC SERVICES	183	\$18,027.74
56	CASE MANAGEMENT-ADULT M	265	5421,267,20
59	TSFC-COMMUNITY MENTAL H	132	\$129,517.42
62	PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT SER	691	\$56,525.20
64	SCHOOL BASED SERVICES	18	\$3,329.94
65	DIALYSIS CENTER	61	5932,475.88
67	BRAIN & SPINAL CORD INJU	80	\$430,256 96
71	ASSISTIVE CARE SERVICES	120	\$450,457.55
72	HEALTHY START WAIVER	79	\$26,301.00
79	ALZHEIMERS WAIVER	31	\$6,677.30
81	ADULT DAY CARE	21	\$136,075.75
94	PREPAID LTC	767	\$22,125,077.23
95	APPLIED BEHAVIORAL ANALYSIS	30	5693,747.19
99	UNKNOWN	19	5612 25
	OTHER	204	\$2,864,705.22
	Total:	-	\$422.582.947.28

MEDICAID HIV/AIDS EXPENSES AND CLIENTS FY 2019-20 THROUGH FY 2021-22

	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22
Expenses	\$328,303,705	\$379,527,639.90	\$422,582,947.28
Clients Served	7,783	8,407	9,443
Average annual cost per client	\$42,182.15	\$45,144.24	\$44,750.92

Relative to FY 2020-2021, FY 2021-22 shows an increase in the number of clients served (+12%) and total expenditures (+10.19%),



INCREASES IN MEDICAID EXPENDITURES FY 2021-22

Due to increased:

medication usage insurance usage transportation

THANK YOU!







WWW.AIDSNET.ORG

2023 (WICY) Needs Assessment Funding and Clients Served Survey

Services	# of clients 5,042 67 359 192 260 2,566 214 3,231	Funding Source Part C General Revenue Part B Other Part D Part C Part D ADAP-Pt B	Amount \$ Expended on Infants (0-23 months old) \$761.17	# of Infants (0-23 months old)	Amount \$ Expended on Children (2-12 years old) \$304.47	# of Children (2-12 years old)	Amount \$ Expended on Youth (13-24 years old) \$10,839.70 \$22,466.08 \$39,100.85 \$0.00 \$1,466.35	# of Youth (13-24 years old) 40 9 19 0 59	Amount \$ Expended on Adult Females (25+ years old) \$128,876.07 \$21,149.11 \$66,080.91 \$7,675.22 \$3,591.53	# of Adult Females (25+ years old) 460 10 101 39 159	Amount \$ Expended on Adult Males (25+ years old) \$236,913.50 \$103,743.24 \$415,009.55	# of Adult Males (25+ years old) 1,003 48 239
Emergency Financial Assistance \$147,358 \$520,191	67 359 192 260 2,566 214 3,231	General Revenue Part B Other Part D Part C Part D	\$6,523.12			12	\$22,466.08 \$39,100.85 \$0.00 \$1,466.35	9 19	\$21,149.11 \$66,080.91 \$7,675.22	10 101 39	\$103,743.24 \$415,009.55	48 239
Emergency Financial Assistance \$520,191	359 192 260 2,566 214 3,231	Part B Other Part D Part C Part D	\$6,523.12			12	\$39,100.85 \$0.00 \$1,466.35	0	\$66,080.91 \$7,675.22	39	\$415,009.55	239
Emergency Financial Assistance \$520,191	359 192 260 2,566 214 3,231	Part B Other Part D Part C Part D	\$6,523.12			12	\$39,100.85 \$0.00 \$1,466.35	0	\$66,080.91 \$7,675.22	39	\$415,009.55	239
Food Bank Food Bank \$33,786 \$6,124 Health Education \$357,706 \$23,982 Health Insurance Premium and Cost-Sharing Assistance for Low Income Individuals Home and Community-Based Health Services \$245	192 260 2,566 214 3,231	Other Part D Part C Part D	\$6,523.12			12	\$0.00 \$1,466.35	0	\$7,675.22	39		
Health Education Health Education S357,706 \$23,982 Health Insurance Premium and Cost-Sharing Assistance for Low Income Individuals Home and Community-Based Health Services \$245	2,566 214 3,231	Part D Part C Part D	\$6,523.12			12	\$1,466.35				\$30,110.48	153
Health Education Health Insurance Premium and Cost-Sharing Assistance for Low Income Individuals Home and Community-Based Health Services \$245	2,566 214 3,231	Part C Part D	\$6,523.12			12		59	\$3,591.53	159		
Health Education \$23,982 Health Insurance Premium and Cost-Sharing Assistance for Low Income Individuals Home and Community-Based Health Services \$245	3,231	Part D		65	61 102 01							
Health Education \$23,982 Health Insurance Premium and Cost-Sharing Assistance for Low Income Individuals Home and Community-Based Health Services \$245	3,231	Part D		65	61 102 01		60.710.60	50	6112 622 01	9.00	#225.260.06	1.620
Health Insurance Premium and Cost-Sharing Assistance for Low Income Individuals Home and Community-Based Health Services \$245	3,231			0.5		11	\$8,712.62 \$9,992.14	59 96	\$113,632.01 \$6,362.86	869 42	\$235,360.96	1,638
Assistance for Low Income Individuals Home and Community-Based Health Services \$245		ADAP-Pt B			\$1,105.91	- 11	\$9,992.14	90	\$0,302.80	42		
	1		\$0.00	0	\$5,666.94	1	\$233,450.70	21	\$5,369,366.10	483	\$30,304,124.19	2,726
W W W A		General Revenue									\$245.00	1
Home Health Care \$44,102	12	General Revenue					\$3,045.00	1	\$11,110.00	3	\$29,946.50	8
Housing (TBRA, STRMU, and Project) \$10,421,280	912	HOPWA										
Linguistic Services \$7,098	105	Part D	\$2,966.74	32	\$370.84	4	\$475.12	6	\$3,284.86	63		
Emgusuc Services 47,070	103	TattD	\$2,700.74	32	\$370.84		\$473.12	0	\$3,264.80	0.5		
Linkage Specialist \$1,023	9	Part C					\$113.66	1	\$909.32	8	\$0.00	0
\$1,470,920	1,773	General Revenue					\$42,310,72	51	\$406,514,76	490	\$1.022.094.24	1,232
\$0	377	Other					\$0.00	5	\$0.00	56	\$0.00	316
Medical Case Management, including Treatment	579	Part B					\$2,898.00	13	\$20,389.50	144	\$65,291.25	422
Adherence \$67,122	64	Part C					\$162.50	1	\$5,850.00	36	\$4,387.50	27
\$139,275	286	Part D	\$18,845.22	29	\$9,097.69	14	\$71,395.09	118	\$39,936.62	125		
\$8,999	41	General Revenue							\$2,179.22	9	\$6,819.43	32
Medical Nutrition Therapy \$0	36	Other					\$0.00	0	\$0.00	11	\$0.00	25
\$60,746	N/A	Part C										
\$68,815	298	General Revenue					\$562.50	4	\$22,519.55	99	\$45,733.05	195
Medical Transportation \$11,974	39	Part C					\$921.08	3	\$5,219.44	17	\$5,833.49	19
\$7,797	277	Part D	\$1,127.36	40	\$394.58	14	\$2,620.38	93	\$3,654.33	130		
#02 000	100	G 10					£1.027.05	-	#2C COO 40	45	¢52.551.52	120
\$82,088 \$729,367	180	General Revenue					\$1,927.05 \$5,443.04	5	\$26,609.48	45 19	\$53,551.53	130 114
Mental Health Services \$13,894	118	Other Part B					\$5,443.04 \$422.50	2	\$103,417.77 \$1,950.00	13	\$620,506.61 \$11,521.25	103
\$13,654 \$183,643	445	Part B Part C					\$3,375.69	5	\$1,950.00	167	\$11,521.25	273
\$107,996	138	Part D	\$0.00	0	\$17,507.23	16	\$81,962.34	79	\$8,526.09	43	ψ07,740.23	213

2023 WICY 2023 Needs Assessment

2023 (WICY) Needs Assessment Funding and Clients Served Survey

	To	tals		Infants (0-23	months old)	Children (2 -	12 years old)	Youth (13-2	4 years old)	Adult Females	(25+ years old)	Adult Males (25+ years old)
Services	Amount \$ Expended	# of clients	Funding Source	Amount \$ Expended on Infants (0-23 months old)	# of Infants (0-23 months old)	Amount \$ Expended on Children (2-12 years old)	# of Children (2-12 years old)	Amount \$ Expended on Youth (13-24 years old)	# of Youth (13-24 years old)	Amount \$ Expended on Adult Females (25+ years old)	# of Adult Females (25+ years old)	Amount \$ Expended on Adult Males (25+ years old)	# of Adult Males (25+ years old)
7	\$547,953	2,371	General Revenue					\$15,021.92	65	\$135,659.51	587	\$397,272.06	1,719
Non-Medical Case Management Services	\$147,961	283	Part B					\$11,502.27	22	\$22,481.71	43	\$113,977.05	218
-	\$120,593	327	Part C					\$5,800.27	13	\$20,484.46	70	\$94,307.81	244
	\$71,955	356	Part D	\$27,868.37	65	\$1,286.23	3	\$29,744.81	83	\$13,055.25	205		
			ı							1			
Non-Medical Case Management Services (Housing Specialist)	\$1,606,455	820	HOPWA										
	\$263,157	149	0.1					62 522 20	2	001 015 21	25	#107.000.00	112
Oral Health Care			Other					\$3,532.30	2	\$61,815.31	35	\$197,808.98	112
	\$209,902	398	Part C					\$878.00	3	\$21,667.00	74	\$93,988.00	321
	\$1,131,997	1,861	General Revenue					\$18,592.48	49	\$366,326.34	492	\$747,078.39	1,320
7	\$1,389,789	2,152	Other					\$14,207.88	22	\$167,911.25	260	\$1,207,669.39	1,870
Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services	\$1,029,407	4,058	Part C					\$18,682.20	85	\$278,232.14	1317	\$524,994.70	2,656
7	\$766,471	708	Part D	\$136,387.86	101	\$21,606.00	16	\$159,828.53	123	\$448,648.92	468	\$324,774.70	2,050
	φ,σσ,τ,τ	700	Tartb	\$150,507.00	101	Ψ21,000.00	10	\$157,020.55	123	\$440,040.72	400	<u> </u>	
0. 10.	\$41,469	1,229	Part C					\$1,012.00	30	\$11,169.00	331	\$29,288.00	868
Outreach Services	\$40,090	381	Part D	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	0	\$38,556.09	356	\$1,533.81	25		
Prescription Drugs (AIDS Pharmaceutical	\$26,005,586	4,589	ADAP-Pt B	\$5,666.94	1	\$0.00	0	\$719,701.34	127	\$4,692,226.03	828	\$20,587,991.75	3,633
Assistance)	\$351,172	446	General Revenue					\$9,145.89	6	\$149,766.77	130	\$192,259.03	310
	\$30,873	N/A	Part C										
D 1 110	\$53,204	152	D . D	01501515		62 444 02		00004140	70	#0.005.00	21		l
Psychosocial Support	\$53,204	152	Part D	\$15,845.16	46	\$2,411.22	7	\$26,941.43	78	\$8,005.83	21		
	\$359,344	575	General Revenue					\$9,374.19	15	\$68,119.08	109	\$281,850.51	451
Referral for Health Care and Supportive Services	\$0	1,351	Other					\$0.00	8	\$0.00	193	\$0.00	1,150
Risk reduction	\$48,001	689	Part D	\$6,523.12	65	\$1,103.91	11	\$10,191.73	110	\$30,181.74	503		
										I			I
Specialty patient navigation	\$54,553	452	Part C					\$482.77	4	\$54,069.76	448	\$0.00	0
	\$0	18	Other					\$0.00	0	\$0.00	1	\$0.00	17
Substance Abuse Outpatient Care	\$3,467	12	Part C					\$0.00	0	\$1,733.28	6	\$1,733.28	6
	\$3,407	12	ranc					\$0.00	U	\$1,733.20	U	\$1,733.20	U
Substance Abuse Services (residential)	\$462,172	43	General Revenue							\$336,654.50	16	\$125,517.00	27

2023 WICY 2023 Needs Assessment

Medicaid HIV/AIDS Demographic Information FY 2019-2022

Total Medicaid HIV/AIDS Clients

			FY 201	9-2020					FY 20	20-2021					FY 20	21-2022		
	Male	%	Female	%	Total	%	Male	%	Female	%	Total	%	Male	%	Female	%	Total	%
Black/African American	1,581	36.98%	2,067	59.04%	3,648	46.87%	1,666	36.38%	2,163	56.65%	3,829	45.55%	1,778	38.83%	2,405	62.99%	4,183	44.30%
Hispanic	1,478	34.57%	645	18.42%	2,123	27.28%	1,520	33.20%	769	20.14%	2,289	27.23%	1,793	39.16%	959	25.12%	2,752	29.14%
Not Determined	870	20.35%	600	17.14%	1,470	18.89%	1,044	22.80%	662	17.34%	1,706	20.29%	1,125	24.57%	718	18.81%	1,843	19.52%
Other	50	1.17%	51	1.46%	101	1.30%	53	1.16%	67	1.75%	120	1.43%	99	2.16%	84	2.20%	183	1.94%
Other (*less than 15 count)		0.00%		0.00%	7	0.09%		0.00%		0.00%	10	0.12%		0.00%		0.00%	11	0.12%
White	296	6.92%	138	3.94%	434	5.58%	296	6.46%	157	4.11%	453	5.39%	309	6.75%	162	4.24%	471	4.99%
TOTAL	4,275	54.93%	3,501	44.98%	7,783	100.00%	4,579	54.47%	3,818	45.41%	8,407	100.00%	5,104	54.05%	4,328	45.83%	9,443	100.00%

Medicaid HIV/AIDS Clients 18-64 years older

			FY 201	9-2020					FY 20	20-2021					FY 20	21-2022		
	Male	%	Female	%	Total	%	Male	%	Female	%	Total	%	Male	%	Female	%	Total	%
Black/African American	1,464	35.79%	1,951	59.09%	3,415	46.15%	1,542	35.21%	2,044	56.57%	3,586	44.81%	1,644	37.54%	2,269	62.80%	3,913	43.44%
Hispanic	1,449	35.43%	603	18.26%	2,052	27.73%	1,486	33.93%	725	20.07%	2,211	27.63%	1,755	40.08%	913	25.27%	2,668	29.62%
Not Determined	841	20.56%	577	17.47%	1,418	19.16%	1,015	23.18%	637	17.63%	1,652	20.64%	1,097	25.05%	695	19.24%	1,792	19.90%
Other	46	1.12%	42	1.27%	88	1.19%	46	1.05%	56	1.55%	102	1.27%	93	2.12%	72	1.99%	165	1.83%
Other * (counts less than 15)		0.00%		0.00%	7	0.09%		0.00%		0.00%	10	0.12%		0.00%		0.00%	11	0.12%
White	290	7.09%	129	3.91%	419	5.66%	290	6.62%	151	4.18%	441	5.51%	303	6.92%	155	4.29%	458	5.08%
TOTAL	4,090	55.28%	3,302	44.63%	7,399	100.00%	4,379	54.72%	3,613	45.15%	8,002	100.00%	4,892	54.31%	4,104	45.56%	9,007	100.00%

Medicaid HIV/AIDS Clients less than 18 year old

			FY 201	9-2020					FY 20	20-2021					FY 20	21-2022		
	Male	%	Female	%	Total	%	Male	%	Female	%	Total	%	Male	%	Female	%	Total	%
Black/African American	117	66.86%	116	70.30%	233	60.68%	124	66.31%	119	59.80%	243	60.00%	134	71.66%	136	68.34%	270	61.93%
Hispanic	29	16.57%	42	25.45%	71	18.49%	34	18.18%	44	22.11%	78	19.26%	38	20.32%	46	23.12%	84	19.27%
Not Determined	29	16.57%	23	13.94%	52	13.54%	29	15.51%	25	12.56%	54	13.33%	28	14.97%	23	11.56%	51	11.70%
Other		0.00%		0.00%	0	0.00%		0.00%	11	5.53%	11	2.72%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%
Other (*less than 15 count)		0.00%		0.00%	28	7.29%		0.00%		0.00%	19	4.69%		0.00%		0.00%	31	7.11%
White		0.00%		0.00%	0	0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%
TOTAL	175	45.57%	181	47.14%	384	100.00%	187	46.17%	199	49.14%	405	100.00%	200	45.87%	205	47.02%	436	100.00%

TOTAL MEDICAID CLIENTS 7,783 8,407 9,443

Past 3 Fiscal Years Medicaid Demographics

Miami-Dade HIV/AIDS Medicaid Expenditures and Clients, FY 2021-2022

Bucket	Service	Recipients	Amount
01	HOSPITAL INPATIENT SERV	1,096	\$12,661,882.55
02	HOSPITAL INSURANCE BENE	340	\$599,172.66
03	HOSPITAL OUTPATIENT SER	3,537	\$4,720,221.59
04	HOSPITAL OUTPATIENT XOV	1,100	\$609,971.01
06	SKILLED NURSING CARE	118	\$4,694,361.55
07	INTERMEDIATE CARE	43	\$1,994,082.48
12	PHYSICIAN SERVICES	5,255	\$6,599,060.75
13	PHYSICIAN XOVER	1,310	\$182,648.95
14	PRESCRIBED MEDICINE	6,121	\$112,742,679.80
15	OTHER LAB AND X-RAY	3,559	\$1,053,525.27
16	LAB AND X-RAY XOVER	604	\$8,392.52
17	TRANSPORTATION	2,368	\$1,533,593.04
18	TRANSPORTATION XOVER	249	\$43,736.48
19	FAMILY PLANNING SERVICE	42	\$7,018.43
20	HOME HEALTH SERVICES	1,342	\$2,859,519.86
21	HOME HEALTH XOVER	323	\$83,504.04
22	EPSDT SCREENING	228	\$19,520.19
24	CHILD VISUAL SERVICES	32	\$3,126.44
27	ADULT VISUAL SERVICES	302	\$28,998.32
29	CASE MANAGEMENT-CMS	150	\$274,382.33
31	NURSE PRACTITIONER SERV	123	\$29,645.36
32	OTHER XOVER PRACTITIONE	390	\$9,741.46
33	HOSPICE	52	\$679,384.03
34	COMMUNITY MENTAL HLTH S	1,773	\$3,065,692.86
35	HCB-AGING	444	\$1,107,031.62
36	HCB-DEVELOPMENTAL SERVI	96	\$2,760,157.56
37	HCB-AIDS	339	\$610,109.77
39	PREPAID HEALTH PLAN	10,674	\$234,419,460.75
43	PRIVATE DUTY NURSING SE	19	\$578,693.17
44	PHYSICAL THERAPY SERVIC	174	\$145,879.91
46	OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY SE	19	\$39,396.52
49	FEDERALLY QUALIFIED CEN	883	\$123,302.16
53	CLINIC SERVICES	183	\$18,027.74
56	CASE MANAGEMENT-ADULT M	265	\$421,267.20
59	TSFC-COMMUNITY MENTAL H	132	\$129,517.42
62	PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT SER	691	\$56,525.20
64	SCHOOL BASED SERVICES	18	\$3,329.94
65	DIALYSIS CENTER	61	\$932,475.88
67	BRAIN & SPINAL CORD INJU	80	\$430,256.98
71	ASSISTIVE CARE SERVICES	120	\$450,457.55
72	HEALTHY START WAIVER	79	\$26,301.00
79	ALZHEIMERS WAIVER	31	\$6,677.30
81	ADULT DAY CARE	21	\$136,075.75
94	PREPAID LTC	767	\$22,125,077.23
95	APPLIED BEHAVIORAL ANALYSIS	30	\$693,747.19
99	UNKNOWN	19	\$612.25
	OTHER	204	\$2,864,705.22
	Total:		\$422,582,947.28

DASHBOARD CARDS

SECTION 7

TOOLS FOR NEEDS ASSESSMENT: 2023 GUIDE TO DASHBOARD CARDS

July 13, 2023 version

The Why?

The need assessment process must be datadriven.

During the needs assessment, a lot of data are presented regarding specific service categories. By the time we get to the prioritization and allocation discussions, it can be very confusing.

The dashboard cards provide information by service category, summarize a lot of the information presented, provide information on other funders, and is intended to facilitate your decision-making process.

CORE SERVICE: AIDS PHARMACEUTICAL ASSISTANCE

Ranking, Allocation, and Direct Services Expenditure History

Floral Year	Final Expenditure	Catagory Expense as %
FY 2018	\$21,934,627.17	0.30%
FY 2019	\$22,984,844.87	0.25%
FY 2020	\$17,660,128.37	0.03%
FY 2021	\$19,018,258.46	0.02%
FY 2022	\$22,372,897.85	0.02%



Fheat Year	Final Allocation	Final Expenditure	% Spent
FY 2018	\$237,000.00	\$86,209.75	36,38%
FY 2019	\$187,000.00	\$37,843.29	30.93%
FY 2020	\$56,007.00	\$5,993.21	9.09%
FY 2021	\$83,595.00	\$4,379.02	5.24%
FY 2022	\$84,492.00	\$3,954.10	4.68%

Fiscal Year		Focal Year Part A Final Allocation		% Spent
FY 2018	4	\$137,000.00	\$81,547.76	59.52%
FY 2019	4	\$87,000.00	\$52,697.84	60.57%
FY 2020	3	\$66,007.00	\$5,993.21	9.08%
FY 2021	9	\$83,595.00	\$4,379.02	5.24%
FY 2022	4	\$84,492.00	\$3,954.10	4.69%

Pheal Year		MAI Final Allocation	MAI Pinul	% Spent
FY 2018	3	\$100,000.00	\$4,661.97	4.66%
FY 2019	7	\$100,000.00	\$5,145.45	5.19%
FY 2020	N/A	N/A	NA	N/A
FY 2021	N/A	N/A	NA	N/A
FY 2022	N/A	N/A	N/A	NA

Service Program

Limitations: 400% FP1

Fixed Year	RW Clients	Clients Served	Served as % RW Clients	Expenditure	Avg Per Climt
FY 2018	9,578	697	73%	\$86,210.00	\$123.69
FY 2019	9,031	605	6.7%	\$57,843.29	395.61
FY 2020	8,127	193	2.3%	\$5,993.21	\$32.40
FY 2021	8,420	193	2.2%	\$4,379.02	\$23.93
FY 2022	8,590	156	1.8%	\$3,954.10	\$25.35

Other Funding Streams 2022

	Penter	Espended	Number of Clients	Cost per Chant
1	ADAP	\$28,342,384	4,587	36,179
2	General Revenue	\$262,520	547	\$480
3	Medicaid	\$109,082,428	5,435	\$20,070
4	Part C	\$25,492	BIJA.	N/A

Other Pendine Steams 2012

	Funder	Other Funding Stream	Number of Clients	Cost per Chini
1	ADAP	\$26,005,586	4,589	\$5,667
2	General Revenue	\$351,172	446	\$787
3	Medicaid	\$112,742,680	6,121	\$18,419
4	Part C	\$30,873	NA	N/A

Notes:

Expenditures continue on a downward trend because most clients access the ADAP program for this service.

Ryan White Dashboard Cards

We will break down each item located on the cards and explain the data points. We will start at the top of the form and move down.

The data in this presentation are for illustration only.

This top section indicates if a service is a **core** or **support** service. The **fiscal year** year range is **FY 2018** (3/1/2018-2/28/2019) to **FY 2022** (3/1/22-2/28/23). The table details the **final expenditure** for the all direct services for the Ryan White Program. The **Category Expense as %** indicates what percent the reference service category expenditures is in relation to the entire fiscal year expenditure.

NEW: A trend box with an arrow or circle (no activity) is on the right side. Green trending up, yellow is trending down, and red has no activity.

CORE SERVICE: AIDS PHARMACEUTICAL ASSISTANCE

Ranking, Allocation, and Direct Services Expenditure History

Fiscal Year	Final Expenditure	Category Expense as %
FY 2018	\$21,934,627.17	0.39%
FY 2019	\$22,984,844.87	0.25%
FY 2020	\$17,660,128.37	0.03%
FY 2021	\$19,018,258.46	0.02%
FY 2022	\$22,372,897.85	0.02%



This table provides historical information for the last five years. The top table is for both Part A and MAI data, where applicable. Part A data is on the second table and the third table is for MAI data. Each individual table lists the fiscal year (Fiscal Year), the priority ranking (Ranking), final allocation (Final Allocation), final expenditure (Final Expenditure), and percent spent (% Spent) which indicates the percent of the allocation the expenditure represents for that year. If the service is no longer applicable, this will be designated with N/A.

Fiscal	Year	Final Allocation	Final Expenditure	% Spent	
FY 2018		\$237,000.00	\$86,209.75	36.38%	
FY 2	2019	\$187,000.00	\$57,843.29	30.93%	
FY 2	2020	\$66,007.00	\$5,993.21	9.08%	
FY 2	2021	\$83,595.00	\$4,379.02	5.24%	
FY 2	2022	\$84,492.00	\$3,954.10	4.68%	
Fiscal	Year	Part A Final Allocation	Part A Final	% Spent	
FY 2018	4	\$137,000.00	\$81,547.76	59.52%	
FY 2019	4	\$87,000.00	\$52,697.84	60.57%	
FY 2020	3	\$237,000.00	\$86,209.75	36.38%	
FY 2021	9	9 \$83,595.00	\$4,379.02	5.24% 4.68%	
FY 2022	4	\$84,492.00	\$3,954.10		
Fiscal	Year	MAI Final Allocation	MAI Final	% Spent	
FY 2018	3	\$100,000.00	\$4,661.97	4.66%	
FY 2019	7	\$100,000.00	\$5,145.45	5.15%	
FY 2020	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
FY 2021	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
FY 2022	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Service Program information provides the **limitations** for each service category, most often the federal poverty **(FPL)** or usage limits.

The table that follows provides historical data for five years back, including the total number of clients (RW Clients), number of clients served by the service category (Clients Served), what percent of clients this represent (Served as % RW Clients), the total expenditures for the service category (Expenditure), and the average cost per client (Avg Per Client).

Service Program

Limitations: 400% FPL

		1	Served as % RW		
Fiscal Year	RW Clients	Clients Served	Clients	Expenditure	Avg Per Client
FY 2018	9,578	697	7.3%	\$86,210.00	\$123.69
FY 2019	9,031	605	6.7%	\$57,843.29	\$95.61
FY 2020	8.127	185	2.3%	\$5,993.21	\$32.40
FY 2021	8,420	183	2.2%	\$4,379.02	\$23.93
FY 2022	8,590	156	1.8%	\$3,954.10	\$25.35

The final table on the form indicates information on the other funding streams. This year information for 2022 and 2023 are included. It list the funding source (Funder), the amount spend by the funder (Expended), number of clients serviced (Number of Clients), and the average cost per client (Cost per Client).

The numbers on the left-hand side only indicate the number of funding sources that responded. The final data element are notes (**Notes**) which indicate things that are important to take note of regarding the service category.

Other Funding Streams 2022

	Funder	Expended	Number of Clients	Cost per Client
1	ADAP	\$28,342,384	4,587	\$6,179
2	General Revenue	\$262,520	547	\$480
3	Medicaid	\$109,082,428	5,435	\$20,070
4	Part C	\$25,492	N/A	N/A

Other Funding Streams 2023

	Funder	Expended	Number of Clients	Cost per Client
1	ADAP	\$26,005,586	4,589	\$5,667
2	General Revenue	\$351,172	446	\$787
3	Medicaid	\$112,742,680	6,121	\$18,419
4	Part C	\$30,873	N/A	N/A

Notes:

Expenditures continue on a downward trend because most clients access the ADAP program for this service.

How can the Dashboard help?

Different data points can be used to allocate funds, assess if other funding streams pay for the service, or to estimate needs.

For example, if **500** clients are expected to access the AIDS Pharmaceutical service category, at a yearly cost of **\$25**. Accordingly, the service category estimated allocation would be **\$12,500**.

For example, if the estimated number of Ryan White clients that will be served next year is 9,020, with a yearly cost of \$2,605 per client, the program would spend \$23,497,100.

THANK YOU!







WWW.AIDSNET.ORG

CORE SERVICE: AIDS PHARMACEUTICAL ASSISTANCE

Ranking, Allocation, and Direct Services Expenditure History

	Final	Category	
Fiscal Year	Expenditure	Expense as %	
FY 2018	\$21,934,627.17	0.39%	
FY 2019	\$22,984,844.87	0.25%	
FY 2020	\$17,660,128.37	0.03%	
FY 2021	\$19,018,258.46	0.02%	
FY 2022	\$22,372,897.85	0.02%	



Fiscal Year	Final Allocation	Final Expenditure	% Spent
FY 2018	\$237,000.00	\$86,209.75	36.38%
FY 2019	\$187,000.00	\$57,843.29	30.93%
FY 2020	\$66,007.00	\$5,993.21	9.08%
FY 2021	\$83,595.00	\$4,379.02	5.24%
FY 2022	\$84,492.00	\$3,954.10	4.68%

Fisc	al Year	Part A Final Allocation	Part A Final	% Spent
FY 2018	4	\$137,000.00	\$81,547.76	59.52%
FY 2019	4	\$87,000.00	\$52,697.84	60.57%
FY 2020	3	\$66,007.00	\$5,993.21	9.08%
FY 2021	9	\$83,595.00	\$4,379.02	5.24%
FY 2022	4	\$84,492.00	\$3,954.10	4.68%

Fisc	al Year	MAI Final Allocation	nal Allocation MAI Final % S _l	
FY 2018	3	\$100,000.00	\$4,661.97	4.66%
FY 2019	7	\$100,000.00	\$5,145.45	5.15%
FY 2020	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2021	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2022	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Service Program

Limitations: 400% FPL

	Served as % RW				
Fiscal Year	RW Clients	Clients Served	Clients	Expenditure	Avg Per Client
FY 2018	9,578	697	7.3%	\$86,210.00	\$123.69
FY 2019	9,031	605	6.7%	\$57,843.29	\$95.61
FY 2020	8,127	185	2.3%	\$5,993.21	\$32.40
FY 2021	8,420	183	2.2%	\$4,379.02	\$23.93
FY 2022	8,590	156	1.8%	\$3,954.10	\$25.35

Other Funding Streams 2022

	Funder	Expended	Number of Clients	Cost per Client
1	ADAP	\$28,342,384	4,587	\$6,179
2	General Revenue	\$262,520	547	\$480
3	Medicaid	\$109,082,428	5,435	\$20,070
4	Part C	\$25,492	N/A	N/A

Other Funding Streams 2023

	Funder	Expended	Number of Clients	Cost per Client	
1	ADAP	\$26,005,586	4,589	\$5,667	
2	General Revenue	\$351,172	446	\$787	
3	Medicaid	\$112,742,680	6,121	\$18,419	
4	Part C	\$30,873	N/A	N/A	

Notes:

Expenditures continue on a downward trend because most clients access the ADAP program for this service.

1

SUPPORT SERVICE: EMERGENCY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

Ranking, Allocation, and Direct Services Expenditure History

	Final	Category Expense
Fiscal Year	Expenditure	as %
FY 2018	\$21,934,627.17	0.0%
FY 2019	\$22,984,844.87	0.0%
FY 2020	\$17,660,128.37	0.0%
FY 2021	\$19,018,258.46	0.0%
FY 2022	\$22,372,897.85	0.0%



Fiscal Year	Final Allocation	Final Expenditure	% Spent
FY 2018	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2019	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2020	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2021	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2022	N/A	N/A	N/A

Fisc	al Year	Final Allocation	Final Expenditure	% Spent
FY 2018	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2019	12	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2020	12	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2021	12	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2022	11	N/A	N/A	N/A

Fisc	al Year	MAI Final Allocation	Expenditure	% Spent
FY 2018	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2019	6	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2020	7	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2021	7	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2022	7	N/A	N/A	N/A

Service Program

Limitations: 400% FPL; limited to prescriptions drugs if TTRA funds are depleted

			Served as % RW		
Fiscal Year	RW Clients	Clients Served	Clients	Expenditure	Avg Per Client
FY 2018	9,578	NA	NA	NA	NA
FY 2019	9,031	NA	NA	NA	NA
FY 2020	8,127	NA	NA	NA	NA
FY 2021	8,420	NA	NA	NA	NA
FY 2022	8,590	NA	NA	NA	NA

Other Funding Streams 2022

	Funder	Expended	Number of Clients	Cost Per Client
1	General Revenue	\$112,883	\$57	\$1,980
2	Part B	\$593,090	\$244	\$2,431

Other Funding Streams 2023

	Funder	Expended	Number of Clients	Cost Per Client
1	General Revenue	\$147,358	\$67	\$2,199
2	Part B	\$520,191	\$359	\$1,449

Notes:

No expenditures have been made in this category since Test and Treat Rapid Access (TTRA) funds have not been exhausted by the Department of Health.

SUPPORT SERVICE: FOOD BANK

Ranking, Allocation, and Direct Services Expenditure History

	Final	Category Expense
Fiscal Year	Expenditure	as %
FY 2018	\$21,934,627.17	6.6%
FY 2019	\$22,984,844.87	8.1%
FY 2020	\$17,660,128.37	7.4%
FY 2021	\$19,018,258.46	7.0%
FY 2022	\$22,372,897.85	11.4%



Fiscal Year	Ranking	Final Allocation	Final Expenditure	% Spent
FY 2018	9	\$1,451,588.00	\$1,451,528.00	100.00%
FY 2019	7	\$1,851,588.00	\$1,851,369.00	99.99%
FY 2020	8	\$1,303,799.00	\$1,303,702.40	99.99%
FY 2021	5	\$1,385,995.00	\$1,338,778.40	96.59%
FY 2022	8	\$2,660,108.00	\$2,540,864.00	95.52%

Service Program

Limitations: 400% FPL

			Served as % RW		
Fiscal Year	RW Clients	Clients Served	Clients	Expenditure	Avg Per Client
FY 2018	9,578	701	7.3%	\$1,451,528.00	\$2,070.65
FY 2019	9,031	715	7.9%	\$1,851,369.00	\$2,589.33
FY 2020	8,127	735	9.0%	\$1,303,702.40	\$1,773.74
FY 2021	8,420	712	8.5%	\$1,338,778.40	\$1,880.31
FY 2022	8,590	1,130	13.2%	\$2,540,864.00	\$2,248.55

Other Funding Streams 2022

	Funder	Expended	Number of Clients	Cost per Client
1	Other	\$46,987	387	\$121
2	Part D	\$13,331	313	\$43

Other Funding Streams 2023

	Funder	Expended	Number of Clients	Cost per Client
1	Other	\$37,786	192	\$197
2	Part D	\$6,124	260	\$24

Notes:

Expenditures and clients are the highest in the five year period. With the current financial burdens, expenditures are likely to continue to increase.

CORE SERVICE: HEALTH INSURANCE

Ranking, Allocation, and Direct Services Expenditure History

	Final	Category Expense
Fiscal Year	Expenditure	as %
FY 2018	\$21,934,627.17	2.3%
FY 2019	\$22,984,844.87	1.6%
FY 2020	\$17,660,128.37	1.6%
FY 2021	\$19,018,258.46	1.6%
FY 2022	\$22,372,897.85	1.3%



Fiscal Year	Ranking	Final Allocation	Final Expenditure	% Spent
FY 2018	3	\$787,974.00	\$502,536.41	63.78%
FY 2019	5	\$372,974.00	\$372,895.13	99.98%
FY 2020	5	\$459,450.00	\$289,193.00	62.94%
FY 2021	6	\$442,447.00	\$298,950.41	67.57%
FY 2021	6	\$595,700.00	\$297,151.61	49.88%

Service Program

Limitations: 400% FPL

			Served as % RW		
Fiscal Year	RW Clients	Clients Served	Clients	Expenditure	Avg Per Client
FY 2018	9,578	1,307	13.6%	\$502,536.00	\$384.50
FY 2019	9,031	1,335	14.8%	\$372,895.13	\$279.32
FY 2020	8,127	1,125	13.8%	\$289,193.00	\$257.06
FY 2021	8,420	1,225	14.5%	\$298,950.10	\$244.04
FY 2022	8,590	1,454	16.9%	\$297,151.61	\$204.37

Other Funding Streams 2022

	Funder	Expended	Number of Clients	Cost Per Client
1	ADAP	\$29,915,353	3,144	\$9,515
2	Medicaid	\$192,843,127	9,506	\$20,286

Other Funding Streams 2023

	Funder	Expended	Number of Clients	Cost Per Client
1	ADAP	\$35,912,608	3,231	\$11,115
2	Medicaid	\$234,419,461	10,674	\$21,962

Notes:

With the ADAP program paying for ADAP eligible clients, cost are on a downward trend since only wraparound services are being paid.

CORE SERVICE: MEDICAL CASE MANAGEMENT

Ranking, Allocation, and Direct Services Expenditure History

	Final	Category Expense
Fiscal Year	Expenditure	as %
FY 2018	\$21,934,627.17	24.2%
FY 2019	\$22,984,844.87	25.1%
FY 2020	\$17,660,128.37	29.9%
FY 2021	\$19,018,258.46	30.2%
FY 2022	\$22,372,897.85	27.0%



Fiscal Year	Total Final Allocation	Final Expenditure	% Spent
FY 2018	\$5,709,857.00	\$5,308,840.20	92.98%
FY 2019	\$5,952,739.00	\$5,776,805.90	97.04%
FY 2020	\$6,901,831.00	\$5,283,941.69	76.56%
FY 2021	\$6,825,797.00	\$5,744,512.45	84.16%
FY 2022	\$7,130,657.00	\$6,031,337.35	84.58%

Fisc	al Year	Part A Final Allocation	Final Expenditure	% Spent
FY 2018	2	\$4,929,857.00	\$4,683,761.00	95.01%
FY 2019	1	\$5,172,739.00	\$5,131,667.10	99.21%
FY 2020	1	\$5,745,493.00	\$4,932,874.00	85.86%
FY 2021	1	\$5,921,877.00	\$5,094,347.45	86.03%
FY 2022	1	\$6,226,737.00	\$5,415,024.15	86.96%

Fisc	al Year	MAI Final Allocation	Expenditure	% Spent
FY 2018	2	\$780,000.00	\$625,079.20	80.14%
FY 2019	1	\$780,000.00	\$645,138.80	82.71%
FY 2020	1	\$1,156,338.00	\$351,067.69	30.36%
FY 2021	1	\$903,920.00	\$650,165.00	71.93%
FY 2022	1	\$903,920.00	\$616,313.20	68.18%

Service Program

Limitations: 400% FPL

			Served as % RW		
Fiscal Year	RW Clients	Clients Served	Clients	Expenditure	Avg Per Client
FY 2018	9,578	8,496	88.7%	\$5,308,840.00	\$624.86
FY 2019	9,031	8,116	89.9%	\$5,776,805.90	\$711.78
FY 2020	8,127	7,378	90.8%	\$5,283,941.69	\$716.18
FY 2021	8,420	7,842	93.1%	\$5,744,512.00	\$732.53
FY 2022	8,590	8,085	94.1%	\$6,031,337.35	\$745.99

Other Funding Streams 2022

	Funder	Expended	Number of Clients	Cost Per Client
1	General Revenue	\$1,527,951	1,897	\$805
2	Medicaid	\$876,330	348	\$2,518
3	Part B	\$122,567	297	\$413
4	Part C	\$170,453	793	\$215
5	Part D	\$174,501	879	\$199

Other Funding Streams 2023

	Funder	Expended	Number of Clients	Cost Per Client
1	General Revenue	\$1,470,920	1,773	\$830
2	Medicaid	\$695,650	415	\$1,676
3	Part B	\$88,579	579	\$153
4	Part C	\$67,121	64	\$1,049
5	Part D	\$139,275	286	\$487

Notes

Clients and expenditures are up; expenditures highest of five years and clients are almost at pre-pandemic levels. Utilization may change with clients only required to access the service every 366 days.

SUPPORT SERVICE: MEDICAL TRANSPORTATION

Ranking, Allocation, and Direct Services Expenditure History

	Final	Category Expense			
Fiscal Year	Expenditure	as %			
FY 2018	\$21,934,627.17	0.6%			
FY 2019	\$22,984,844.87	0.6%			
FY 2020	\$17,660,128.37	0.0%			
FY 2021	\$19,018,258.46	0.5%			
FY 2022	\$22,372,897.85	0.7%			



Fiscal Year	Final Allocation	Final Expenditure	% Spent
FY 2018	\$168,832.00	\$139,854.83	82.84%
FY 2019	\$151,873.00	\$140,937.32	92.80%
FY 2020	\$158,277.00	\$5,641.90	3.56%
FY 2021	\$158,316.00	\$100,955.62	63.77%
FY 2022	\$217,540.00	\$159,552.49	73.34%

Fiscal Year		Part A Final Allocation	Final Expenditure	% Spent
FY 2018	7	\$168,832.00	\$139,854.63	82.84%
FY 2019	10	\$151,873.00	\$140,937.32	92.80%
FY 2020	10	\$150,649.00	\$5,641.90	3.75%
FY 2021	10	\$150,688.00	\$98,584.06	65.42%
FY 2022	10	\$209,912.00	\$153,904.90	73.32%

Fisc	al Year	MAI Final Allocation	MAI Final	% Spent
FY 2018	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2019	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2020	4	\$7,628.00	\$0.00	0.00%
FY 2021	4	\$7,628.00	\$2,371.56	31.09%
FY 2022	4	\$7,628.00	\$5,647.59	74.04%

Service Program

Limitations: 400% FPL; passes are monthly

			Served as % RW		
Fiscal Year	RW Clients	Clients Served	Clients	Expenditure	Avg Per Client
FY 2018	9,578	638	6.7%	\$139,855.00	\$219.21
FY 2019	9,031	720	8.0%	\$140,937.32	\$195.75
FY 2020	8,127	94	1.2%	\$5,641.90	\$60.02
FY 2021	8,420	645	7.7%	\$100,955.62	\$156.52
FY 2022	8,590	727	8.5%	\$159,552.49	\$219.47

Other Funding Streams 2022

	Funder	Expended	Number of Clients	Cost Per Client
1	General Revenue	\$2,189	32	\$68
2	Medicaid	\$1,355,658	2,466	\$550
3	Part C	\$800	36	\$22
4	Part D	\$7,095	320	\$22

Other Funding Streams 2023

	Funder	Expended	Number of Clients	Cost Per Client	
1	General Revenue	\$68,815	298	\$231	
2	Medicaid	\$1,577,330	2,617	\$603	
3	Part C	\$11,974	39	\$307	
4	Part D	\$7,797	277	\$28	

Notes: Clients and expenditures have increased and are highest in five years.

CORE SERVICE: MENTAL HEATLH

Ranking, Allocation, and Direct Services Expenditure History

	Final	Category Expense
Fiscal Year	Expenditure	as %
FY 2018	\$21,934,627.17	0.61%
FY 2019	\$22,984,844.87	0.59%
FY 2020	\$17,660,128.37	0.51%
FY 2021	\$19,018,258.46	0.32%
FY 2022	\$22,372,897.85	0.29%



Fiscal Year	Final Allocation	Final Expenditure	% Spent
FY 2018	\$225,190.00	\$133,790.00	59.41%
FY 2019	\$172,190.00	\$135,505.00	78.70%
FY 2020	\$142,217.00	\$90,019.31	63.30%
FY 2021	\$169,464.00	\$60,238.75	35.55%
FY 2022	\$161,654.00	\$64,577.50	39.95%

Fiscal Year		Part A Final Allocation	Part A Final Expenditure	% Spent
FY 2018	6	\$225,190.00	\$133,790.00	59.41%
FY 2019	6	\$172,190.00	\$135,505.00	78.70%
FY 2020	4	\$123,257.00	\$82,435.31	66.88%
FY 2021	3	\$150,504.00	\$56,566.25	37.58%
FY 2022	3	\$142,694.00	\$63,570.00	44.55%

Fiscal Year		MAI Final Allocation	MAI Final Expenditure	% Spent
FY 2018	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2019	NA	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2020	3	\$18,960.00	\$7,584.00	40.00%
FY 2021	3	\$18,960.00	\$3,672.50	19.37%
FY 2022	3	\$18,960.00	\$1,007.50	5.31%

Service Program

Limitations: 400% FPL

			Served as % RW		
Fiscal Year	RW Clients	Clients Served	Clients	Expenditure	Avg Per Client
FY 2018	9,578	327	3.4%	\$133,790.00	\$409.14
FY 2019	9,031	274	3.0%	\$135,505.00	\$494.54
FY 2020	8,127	95	1.2%	\$90,019.31	\$947.57
FY 2021	8,420	121	1.4%	\$60,238.75	\$497.84
FY 2022	8,590	101	1.2%	\$64,577.50	\$639.38

Other Funding Streams 2022

Other I thinking but came 2022					
	Funder	Expended	Number of Clients	Cost Per Client	
1	General Revenue	\$62,818	188	\$334	
2	Medicaid	\$6,111,638	2,405	\$2,541	
3	Other	\$612,554	141	\$4,344	
4	Part B	\$22,054	171	\$129	
5	Part C	\$138,517	457	\$303	
6	Part D	\$174,713	280	\$624	

Other Funding Streams 2023

		Other Funding Stream	1113 2023	
	Funder	Expended	Number of Clients	Cost Per Client
1	General Revenue	\$82,088	180	\$456
2	Medicaid	\$3,195,210	1,905	\$1,677
3	Other	\$729,367	134	\$5,443
4	Part B	\$13,894	118	\$118
5	Part C	\$183,643	445	\$413
6	Part D	\$107,996	138	\$783

Notes: Clients continue to decline and expenditues are still below pre-pandemic levels.

CORE SERVICE: ORAL HEALTH CARE

Ranking, Allocation, and Direct Services Expenditure History

Fiscal Year	Final Expenditure	Category Expense as %
FY 2018	\$21,934,627.17	13.0%
FY 2019	\$22,984,844.87	15.4%
FY 2020	\$17,660,128.37	9.3%
FY 2021	\$19,018,258.46	13.3%
FY 2022	\$22,372,897.85	14.6%



Fiscal Year	Ranking	Final Allocation	Final Expenditure	% Spent
FY 2018	5	\$3,009,423.00	\$2,841,838.00	94.43%
FY 2019	2	\$3,666,830.00	\$3,547,495.00	96.75%
FY 2020	6	\$2,888,975.00	\$1,645,878.57	56.97%
FY 2021	4	\$3,108,975.00	\$2,533,061.80	81.48%
FY 2022	5	\$3,864,445.00	\$3,273,644.50	84.71%

Service Program

Limitations: 400% FPL

			Served as %		
Fiscal Year	RW Clients	Clients Served	RW Clients	Expenditure	Avg Per Client
FY 2018	9,578	3,381	35.3%	\$2,841,838.00	\$840.53
FY 2019	9,031	3,170	35.1%	\$3,547,495.00	\$1,119.08
FY 2020	8,127	1,711	21.1%	\$1,645,878.57	\$961.94
FY 2021	8,420	2,237	26.6%	\$2,533,061.80	\$1,132.35
FY 2022	8,590	2,575	30.0%	\$3,273,644.50	\$1,271.32

Other Funding Streams 2022

	Funder	Expended	Number of Clients	Cost Per Client
1	Part C	\$158,813	399	\$398

Other Funding Streams 2023

	Funder	Expended	Number of Clients	Cost Per Client
1	Other	\$263,157	149	\$1,766
2	Part C	\$209,902	398	\$527

Notes:

Expenditures have increased and client levels are above pre-pandemic levels. Additions to oral healthcare formulary and removal of annual cap increase expenditures.

SUPPORT SERVICE: OTHER PROFESSIONAL SERVICES-LEGAL

Ranking, Allocation, and Direct Services Expenditure History

Fiscal Year	Final Expenditure	Category Expense as %
FY 2018	\$21,934,627.17	0.6%
FY 2019	\$22,984,844.87	0.5%
FY 2020	\$17,660,128.37	0.8%
FY 2021	\$19,018,258.46	0.5%
FY 2022	\$22,372,897.85	0.3%



Fiscal Year	Ranking	Final Allocation	Final Expenditure	% Spent
FY 2018	12	\$194,000.00	\$140,599.00	72.47%
FY 2019	13	\$189,000.00	\$115,976.42	61.36%
FY 2020	13	\$154,449.00	\$146,335.50	94.75%
FY 2021	13	\$154,449.00	\$97,371.00	63.04%
FY 2022	13	\$154,449.00	\$67,581.00	43.76%

Service Program

Limitations: 400 % FPL

			Served as % RW		
Fiscal Year	RW Clients	Clients Served	Clients	Expenditure	Avg Per Client
FY 2018	9,578	76	0.8%	\$140,599.00	\$1,849.99
FY 2019	9,031	66	0.7%	\$115,976.00	\$1,757.21
FY 2020	8,127	48	0.6%	\$146,336.00	\$3,048.67
FY 2021	8,420	44	0.5%	\$97,371.00	\$2,212.98
FY 2022	8,590	78	0.9%	\$67,581.00	\$866.42

Notes:

Lowest expenditure in last five years but highest number of clients.

CORE SERVICE: OUTPATIENT/AMBULATORY HEALTH SERVICES

Ranking, Allocation, and Direct Services Expenditure History

	Final	Category Expense		
Fiscal Year	Expenditure	as %		
FY 2018	\$21,934,627.17	41.5%		
FY 2019	\$22,984,844.87	40.9%		
FY 2020	\$17,660,128.37	41.9%		
FY 2021	\$19,018,258.46	40.6%		
FY 2022	\$22,372,897.85	39.0%		



Fiscal Year	Final Allocation	Final Expenditure	% Spent
FY 2018	\$9,224,722.00	\$9,112,521.26	98.78%
FY 2019	\$9,916,009.00	\$9,391,615.42	94.71%
FY 2020	\$10,153,862.00	\$7,397,591.74	72.85%
FY 2021	\$10,010,471.00	\$7,729,583.99	77.21%
FY 2022	\$10,652,424.00	\$8,724,251.44	81.90%

Fisc	al Year	Part A Final Allocation	Expenditure	% Spent
FY 2018	1	\$8,138,920.00	\$8,040,509.80	98.79%
FY 2019	3	\$8,848,373.00	\$8,438,714.13	95.37%
FY 2020	2	\$8,661,870.00	\$6,911,704.73	79.79%
FY 2021	2	\$8,647,718.00	\$7,268,815.93	84.05%
FY 2022	2	\$9,295,763.00	\$8,063,884.64	86.75%

Fisc	al Year	MAI Final Allocation	MAI Final Expenditure	% Spent
FY 2018	1	\$1,085,802.00	\$1,072,011.46	98.73%
FY 2019	3	\$1,067,636.00	\$952,901.29	89.25%
FY 2020	2	\$1,491,992.00	\$485,887.01	32.57%
FY 2021	2	\$1,362,753.00	\$460,768.06	33.81%
FY 2022	2	\$1,356,661.00	\$660,366.80	48.68%

Service Program

Limitations: 400% FPL

			Served as % RW		
Fiscal Year	RW Clients	Clients Served	Clients	Expenditure	Avg Per Client
FY 2018	9,578	5,447	56.9%	\$9,112,521.00	\$1,672.94
FY 2019	9,031	5,317	58.9%	\$9,391,615.42	\$1,766.34
FY 2020	8,127	4,281	52.7%	\$7,397,591.74	\$1,728.01
FY 2021	8,420	4,422	52.5%	\$7,729,583.99	\$1,747.98
FY 2022	8,590	4,506	52.5%	\$8,724,251.44	\$1,936.14

Other Funding Streams 2022

	Funder	Expended	Number of Clients	Cost per client
1	General Revenue	\$1,492,544	1,702	\$877
2	Medicaid	\$12,917,775	15,438	\$837
3	Other	\$733,179	2,049	\$358
4	Part C	\$1,056,071	3,119	\$339
5	Part D	\$809,464	871	\$929

Other Funding Streams 2023

	Funder	Expended	Number of Clients	Cost per client
1	General Revenue	\$1,131,997	1,861	\$608
2	Medicaid	\$13,411,062	17,635	\$760
3	Other	\$1,389,789	2,152	\$646
4	Part C	\$1,029,407	4,058	\$254
5	Part D	\$766,471	708	\$1,083

Notes: Increased expenditures and clients closer to FY 2019 figures. Highest avgerage cost per client in last five years.

SUPPORT SERVICE: OUTREACH SERVICES

Ranking, Allocation, and Direct Services Expenditure History

a a ,				
	Final	Category Expense		
Fiscal Year	Expenditure	as %		
FY 2018	\$21,934,627.17	1.4%		
FY 2019	\$22,984,844.87	1.4%		
FY 2020	\$17,660,128.37	0.8%		
FY 2021	\$19,018,258.46	0.7%		
FY 2022	\$22,372,897.85	0.7%		



Fiscal Year	Final Allocation	Final Expenditure	% Spent
FY 2018	\$410,003.00	\$307,379.72	74.97%
FY 2019	\$401,643.00	\$332,602.39	82.81%
FY 2020	\$304,512.00	\$148,154.86	48.65%
FY 2021	\$212,096.00	\$140,761.02	66.37%
FY 2022	\$217,902.00	\$151,422.86	69.49%

Fiscal Year FY 2018 10		Part A Final Allocation	Part A Final Expenditure	% Spent
		\$290,003.00	\$221,434.56	76.36%
FY 2019	9	\$281,643.00	\$236,599.58	84.01%
FY 2020	11	\$264,696.00	\$118,293.86	44.69%
FY 2021	11	\$172,280.00	\$104,263.02	60.52%
FY 2022	12	\$178,086.00	\$114,924.86	64.53%

Fiscal Year		MAI Final Allocation	MAI Final Expenditure	% Spent
FY 2018 4		\$120,000.00	\$85,945.16	71.62%
FY 2019	2	\$120,000.00	\$96,002.81	80.00%
FY 2020	5	\$39,816.00	\$29,861.00	75.00%
FY 2021	FY 2021 5 \$3		\$36,498.00	91.67%
FY 2022	6	\$39,816.00	\$36,498.00	91.67%

Service Program

Limitations: NA

Fiscal Year	RW Clients	Clients Served	Served as % RW Clients	Expenditure	Avg Per Client
FY 2018	9,578	624	6.5%	\$307,380.00	\$492.60
FY 2019	9,031	472	5.2%	\$332,602.39	\$704.67
FY 2020	8,127	130	1.6%	\$148,154.86	\$1,139.65
FY 2021	8,420	116	1.4%	\$140,761.02	\$1,213.46
FY 2022	8,590	155	1.8%	\$151,422.86	\$976.92

Other Funding Streams 2022

	Funder	Expended	Number of Clients	Cost per client
1	Part C	\$126,192	1,335	\$95
2	Part D	\$27,725	351	\$79

Other Funding Streams 2023

Funder		Funder	Expended	ded Number of Clients Cos	
	1	Part C	\$41,469	1,229	\$34
	2	Part D	\$40,090	381	\$105

Notes:

Expenditures been dropping since FY 2020, with small increase in FY 2022. Client are slightly up.

CORE SERVICE: SUBSTANCE ABUSE OUTPATIENT

Ranking, Allocation, and Direct Services Expenditure History

Fiscal Year	Final Expenditure	Category Expense as %
FY 2018	\$21,934,627.17	0.25%
FY 2019	\$22,984,844.87	0.10%
FY 2020	\$17,660,128.37	0.13%
FY 2021	\$19,018,258.46	0.01%
FY 2022	\$22,372,897.85	0.02%



Fiscal Year Final Allocation		Final Expenditure	% Spent
FY 2018	\$106,000.00	\$55,390.00	52.25%
FY 2019	\$37,166.00	\$23,970.00	64.49%
FY 2020	FY 2020 \$52,186.00		45.14%
FY 2021	\$52,186.00	\$1,356.00	2.60%
FY 2022	\$53,526.00	\$4,971.00	9.29%

Fiscal Year FY 2018 8		Final Allocation	Final Expenditure	% Spent
		\$106,000.00	\$55,390.00	52.25%
FY 2019	8	\$37,166.00	\$23,970.00	64.49%
FY 2020	FY 2020 7 \$44,128.00		\$19,527.19	44.25%
FY 2021	7	\$44,128.00	\$1,146.00	2.60%
FY 2022	9	\$45,468.00	\$4,401.00	9.68%

Fiscal Year		MAI Final Allocation	MAI Final Expenditure	% Spent
FY 2018 N/A N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2019	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2020 4 \$8,058.00		\$8,058.00	\$4,029.00	50.00%
FY 2021	4	\$8,058.00	\$210.00	2.61%
FY 2022	4	\$8,058.00	\$570.00	7.07%

Service Program

Limitations: 400% FPL

			Served as % RW		
Fiscal Year	RW Clients	Clients Served	Clients	Expenditure	Avg Per Client
FY 2018	9,578	115	1.2%	\$55,390.00	\$481.65
FY 2019	9,031	55	0.6%	\$23,970.00	\$435.82
FY 2020	8,127	N/A	0.0%	\$23,556.19	N/A
FY 2021	8,420	17	0.2%	\$1,356.00	\$79.76
FY 2022	8,590	22	0.3%	\$4,971.00	\$225.95

Other Funding Streams 2022

Funder		Expended	Expended Number of Clients	
	1 Part C	\$12,528	13	\$964

Other Funding Streams 2023

	9 1-1-1 - 1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-				
Funder		nder Expend	led Number of (Clients Cost Per Client	
	1 Pa	rt C \$3,46	7 12	\$289	

Notes:

Expenditures have steadily declined with FY 2021 having the lowest expenditure in over 5 years.

SUPPORT SERVICE: SUBSTANCE ABUSE RESIDENTIAL

Ranking, Allocation, and Direct Services Expenditure History

Final		Category Expense
Fiscal Year	Expenditure	as %
FY 2018	\$21,934,627.17	8.5%
FY 2019	\$22,984,844.87	5.4%
FY 2020	\$17,660,128.37	7.5%
FY 2021	\$19,018,258.46	5.1%
FY 2022	\$22,372,897.85	4.7%



Fiscal Year	Final Allocation	Final Expenditure	% Spent
FY 2018	\$2,065,200.00	\$1,854,140.00	89.78%
FY 2019	\$1,398,180.00	\$1,237,830.00	88.53%
FY 2020	\$1,773,744.00	\$1,320,120.00	74.43%
FY 2021	\$1,289,469.00	\$968,310.00	75.09%
FY 2022	\$1,538,406.00	\$1,053,590.00	68.49%

Fisca	l Year	Part A Final Allocation	Part A Final	% Spent
FY 2018	11	\$1,828,000.00	\$1,617,080.00	88.46%
FY 2019	11	\$895,280.00	\$805,560.00	89.98%
FY 2020	9	\$1,773,744.00	\$1,320,120.00	74.43%
FY 2021	8	\$1,289,469.00	\$968,310.00	75.09%
FY 2022	7	\$1,538,406.00	\$1,053,590.00	68.49%

Fiscal Year		MAI Final Allocation	MAI Final Expenditure	% Spent
FY 2018 5		\$237,200.00	\$237,060.00	99.94%
FY 2019 8		\$502,900.00	\$432,270.00	85.96%
FY 2020 N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2021 N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A
FY 2022	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Service Program

Limitations: 400% FPL: 180 day within 12-month period max

Served as % RW					
Fiscal Year	RW Clients	Clients Served	Clients	Expenditure	Avg Per Client
FY 2018	9,578	169	1.8%	\$1,854,140.00	\$10,971.24
FY 2019	9,031	95	1.1%	\$1,237,830.00	\$13,029.79
FY 2020	8,127	70	0.9%	\$1,320,120.00	\$18,858.86
FY 2021	8,420	66	0.8%	\$968,310.00	\$14,671.36
FY 2022	8,590	72	0.8%	\$1,053,590.00	\$14,633.19

Other Funding Streams 2022

	Funder	Expended	Number of Clients	Cost Per Client
1	General Revenue	\$166,098	18	\$9,228

Other Funding Streams 2023

	Funder	Expended	Number of Clients	Cost Per Client
1	General Revenue	\$462,172	43	\$10,748

Notes:

Expenditures have been on downward trend as have clients. Slight increase in both clients and expenditures in FY 22 vs FY

UNMET NEED

SECTION 8

Ryan White Program 2022 Client Satisfaction Survey Summary of Findings

Presented on May 4, 2023

Prepared by
Behavioral Science Research Corporation







2022 Ryan White Program Client Satisfaction Survey

- FY 2022 was the 14th consecutive Ryan White Client Satisfaction Survey (CSS) administered by Behavioral Science Research (BSR). This survey has been conducted annually since 2008.
- Provides BSR and the Miami-Dade County RWP with an annual opportunity to take the pulse of program clients.
- 589 client interviews were completed, focusing on Medical Case Management (MCM), Outpatient Ambulatory Health Services (OAHS) and Oral Health Care (OHC) service categories.
- Survey data collection was conducted between September and October 2022.







Survey Methodology

- Clients were interviewed by telephone to avoid COVID-19 contact issues.
 - The clients were quota-sampled by MCM Subrecipient site, based on the number of clients currently being seen at each site. A total of 589 MCM clients were interviewed, of whom 311 also qualified for OHC surveys. A representative sample of clients with ACA insurance was sampled from each service site.
 - o 23 RWP MCM sites were sampled.
 - 220 clients had ACA insurance.
 - Clients must have been in MCM care at the site for at least 6 months.
 - Clients were recruited by MCMs from a list of clients receiving MCM services. These clients gave consent for BSR to conduct the interview before BSR could contact them.
- As an incentive to participate, clients were given a \$30 Walmart "e-gift" card, by text, email, or sent by US mail.







Service Utilization among Client Satisfaction Survey Respondents, FY 2020-2022

		202	2020		2021		22
	SERVICE CATEGORY	# Served	% of Total	# Served	% of Total	# Served	% of Total
)rg	Medical Case Management	325	100%	N/A	N/A	589	100%
aldsnet.org	Outpatient Ambulatory Health Services	315	97%	517	100%	553	94%
al	Oral Health Care	133	42%	201	39%	311	53%







Summary of Client Satisfaction Survey Respondent Characteristics (1)

Ethnicity (2% other)			
Hispanic	59%		
Black non-Hispanic	23%		
Haitian	11%		
White non-Hispanic	5%		

Age	
Under 35 years	18%
35-49 years	29%
50-64 years	48%
65 years and above	5%

Preferred Language			
English	42%		
Spanish	48%		
Haitian Creole	10%		

Gender				
Males	78%			
Females	21%			
Transgender	1%			







Summary of Client Satisfaction Survey Respondent Characteristics (2)

Year of HIV/AIDS Diagnosis (5% don't remember)	
Before 1995	11%
1995 – 2004	23%
2005-2014	30%
2015 – present	31%

First Treated in Miami-Dade County (5% don't know)	
Before 1995	4%
1995-2004	18%
2005-2014	27%
2015 – present	46%

Employment Status	
Working full time	41%
Working part time	20%
Sporadic, episodic	11%
Not working	28%

Education	
Less than High School	3%
High School, Trade School	45%
AA or Post-HS certificate	19%
College or post-grad	33%







Summary of Client Satisfaction Survey Respondent Characteristics (3)

Sexual Orientation	1
(1% refused to answer)	
Heterosexual	35%
Gay/Lesbian	53%
Bisexual/Pansexual	10%
Other	1%

Tele-Health Use for MCM	
All visits in person	59%
Most in person, some tele-health	14%
Half in person, half tele-health	13%
Most or all visits tele-health	14%

Mode of Acquisition (10% don't know)	1
Male-Male Sexual Contact (MMSC)	56%
Male heterosexual contact	11%
Female heterosexual contact	15%
Male IDU	3%
Female IDU	<1%
Some other way	4%

Reported Problems	
Signing up for Ryan White Program services?	3%
Language barriers in services?	2%







Summary of Client Satisfaction Survey ACA Usage by 220 Clients

MCM gave full instructions on how to use GAP card? (2% don't remember)	
Yes	92%
No	6%

Was GAP card used at PCP? (1% don't remember)	
GAP Card was used (n = 184)	84%
GAP Card was not used	15%

Of 184 clients who used the GAP Card, were there problems using it?	
Yes (n-41)	22%
No	78%

Of 41 clients with problems, was problem resolved so client did not pay out of pocket? (2% don't remember)	
Yes	37%
No	61%







Percent "Very Satisfied" with Specific Personnel FY 2020-2022

	2020	2021	2022
SERVICE CATEGORY	% Very Satisfied	% Very Satisfied	% Very Satisfied
Medical Case Manager	81%	N/A	80%
Physician (MD, DO), APRN, PA	72%	76%	80%
Dentist	56%	56%	58%
Oral hygienist	55%	66%	62%







Adherence Counseling at Medical Case Management (MCM)/Primary Medical Provider (PMP) Visits

When the client visits their MCM/PMP, how frequently does the provider	For MCMs	For PMPs
Discuss the importance of client making all appointments? (% at every visit)	76%	85%
Information is clear and easy to understand	81%	82%
Discuss the importance of the client taking all required medications? (% at every visit)	74%	89%
Information is clear and easy to understand	81%	81%
Discuss the importance of getting/keeping VLs undetectable? (% at every visit)	71%	88%
Information is clear and easy to understand	79%	81%







Percent "Very Satisfied" with Lagtime to New/Next Appointment 2020-2022

	2020	2021	2022
SERVICE CATEGORY	% Very Satisfied	% Very Satisfied	% Very Satisfied
Medical Case Management	58%	N/A	65%
Outpatient Ambulatory Health Services	51%	46%	51%
Oral Health Care	37%	23%	26%







Percent "Very Satisfied" with the Amount of Time it Takes to Get a Phone Call Returned 2020-2022

	2020	2021	2022
SERVICE CATEGORY	% Very Satisfied	% Very Satisfied	% Very Satisfied
Medical Case Management	55%	N/A	65%
Outpatient Ambulatory Health Services	36%	28%	38%







Percent "Very Easy" to Make New/Next Appointments for Care

	2021	2022
SERVICE CATEGORY	% Very Easy	% Very Easy
Medical Case Management	N/A	64%
Outpatient Ambulatory Health Services	46%	52%
Oral Health Care	26%	32%

Note: 9% reported problems keeping appointments with their OAHS providers. Of those who had problems, the most frequently mentioned reason (27%) was that they had conflicts with their work schedules.







Major Client Satisfaction Survey (CSS) findings to keep in mind ...

- Black/African Americans and persons 50-64 years old were slightly overrepresented in the CSS sample when compared to the RWP as a whole (based on Needs Assessment demographic data, 2022).
- Over half the MCM client base is working full-time (41%) or part-time (20%), potentially complicating access to RWP services.
 - However, only 9% reported problems keeping their medical appointments.
 Of these, only 27% reported that work conflicts were the main obstacle.
- Preferred survey language was English for 42% of the respondents, Spanish for 48%, and Haitian Creole for 10%.
 - Only 2% reported difficulty communicating with agency staff in preferred language.







More Client Satisfaction Survey (CSS) findings ...

- "Ease of making an appointment" is easiest for MCM (64%), over OAHS (52%) and OHC (32%).
 - "Very easy" ratings for OAHS and OHC clients are higher than 2021.
- "Appointment satisfaction" and "contact satisfaction" levels in 2022 are higher for MCM than OAHS and OHC.
 - 64% of MCM clients are "very satisfied" with lagtime to getting a "new or next" appointment (vs. 52% for OAHS, 32% for OHC).
 - MCM client satisfaction with appointment lagtime in 2022 is much higher than the 58% reported in 2020.
 - Satisfaction with the time it takes to get a phone call returned is higher for MCM clients (65% very satisfied) than for OAHS (38%).
 - MCM client satisfaction with returned phone calls in 2022 is much higher than the 55% reported in 2020.







Reported Feelings of (Non)Discrimination Within the Ryan White Program

Discrimination at Medical Provider's Office (2021 CSS) or MCM's Office (2022 CSS)	MCM (% no)	PMP (% no)
Did say or do anything to make you feel uncomfortable or discriminated against?	98%	99%
Were you ever treated unfairly at your office because of your race/ethnicity?	99%	99%
because of your country of origin?	99%	99%
because of your sexual orientation?	99%	99%
because of your HIV infection?	99%	99%
because of your gender?	99%	99%
Did anyone at your office do or say anything to make you feel uncomfortable or discriminated against?	96%	97%







Ryan White Program Testimonials from Qualitative Stigma Study

- "It was a situation that made me cry (acquiring HIV)... they hugged me and told me not to cry... those are beautiful things that made me stay (in the program) and feel at perfect ease."
- "Never ever did I feel that there was someone who had... a type of discrimination or had said a word that hurt me ... on the contrary, everyone received me with great affection and gave me great encouragement."
- "(Other providers outside the RWP) don't inspire confidence. They discriminate against me because of my appearance... (The personnel in the RWP) treat me really well. I don't have any complaints about them."
- "I cannot say anything negative (about the care within the program)... They always welcome me, if I have an urgency to see the doctor and I did not have appointment, they let me in."
- "I am eternally grateful for Ryan White... The care I receive is wonderful, it's familial, very beautiful, very empathetic. I am very grateful to God and to Ryan White."







"Experienced Stigma" Scores by Priority Populations

Total and Component Abbreviated Stigma Scale Scores by Race/Ethnicity/Gender Client Group						
Priority Population	Total Stigma Score	Disclosure Concerns	Negative Self Image	Personalized Stigma	Public Attitudes	
BAA Male Hetero	27.0	8.5	5.5	5.7	7.3	
BAA MSM	28.3	8.6	5.6	6.0	8.0	
BAA Female	28.8	8.7	6.1	6.1	7.8	
Haitian Male	28.7	9.5	6.5	5.8	7.0	
Haitian Female	30.1	10.2	6.2	6.0	7.8	
Hispanic Male Hetero	29.7	9.0	6.3	6.7	7.6	
Hispanic MSM	27.8	8.6	5.9	6.0	7.3	
Hispanic Female	29.6	8.7	6.4	6.6	8.0	
White Male	24.1	7.3	5.1	5.0	6.8	
White Female	27.0	8.0	4.5	6.5	8.0	
Other	25.3	7.9	4.9	5.4	7.1	
All Respondents	28.0	8.7	5.9	6.0	7.4	

^{*} Highlighted cells indicate deviation ≥0.5 points above the average for that section.







^{**}Midpoint for Total Stigma Score is 30.0. Midpoint for each stigma component is 7.5.

"Experienced Stigma" Scores by Age of Clients

Total and Component Abbreviated Stigma Scale Scores by Age of Clients					
Age Categories	Total Stigma Score	Disclosure Concerns	Negative Self-Image	Personalized Stigma	Public Attitudes
18-24 (n=10)	28.4	9.4	5.6	5.2	8.2
25-34 (n=90)	28.2	8.6	5.9	5.9	7.7
35-44 (n=105)	28.6	8.6	6.0	6.2	7.8
45-54 (n=138)	27.2	8.4	5.7	6.0	7.1
55-64 (n=181)	28.3	8.8	6.0	6.0	7.4
65+ (n=26)	27.3	8.8	5.7	5.7	7.1
All Respondents	28.0	8.7	5.9	6.0	7.4

^{*}Highlighted cells indicate deviation ≥0.5 points above the average for that section.







^{**}Midpoint for Total Score is 30.0. Midpoint for each stigma component is 7.5.

Thank you for your attention. Any questions?







UNMET NEEDS IN THE MIAMI-DADE HIV COMMUNITY

PRESENTED AUGUST 17, 2023

UNMET NEED TABLE

Persons With HIV, in Care and Not in Care Florida Department of Health and Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program Miami-Dade County, CY/FY 2021

	FDOH: Epi Data, Persons	FDOH: Epi Data, Persons	FDOH: Epi Data, Persons in Care,	RWP: Provide Miami Data,
	with HIV	Not in Care	Not RWP	Persons in Care
N of cases	27,782	7,572	11,792	8,418
Gender	%	%	%	%
Male	76.0	76.0	72.5	80.9
Female	23.6	23.6	27.6	18.0
Race/Ethnicity	%	%	%	%
White	9.9	10.7	11.3	7.2
Black + Haitian	39.3	44.4	43.2	29.3
Hispanic	50.0	45.5	40.8	62.9
All Other	1.0	0.2	1.7	0.7
Age	%	%	%	%
Under 25	1.9	1.1	1.8	2.7
25-34	12.7	9.2	9.6	20.2
35-49	28.0	26.1	24.2	35.0
50+	57.4	63.5	64.5	42.0

UNMET NEED SUMMARY POINTS

Epidemiological data provided by the Florida Department of Health for CY 2021 show:

27,782 total persons with HIV in Miami-Dade County in CY 2021


7,572 of these persons were not in care in CY 2021 (27% of 27,782)

- 3,445 Hispanic persons with HIV were not in care (25%)
- 3,362 African Americans and Haitians with HIV were not in care (31%)
- 810 non-Hispanic whites with HIV were not in care (30%)


8,418 persons with HIV were in Ryan White Program (RWP) care in FY 2021 (30%)

- 39% of 13,936 Hispanic persons with HIV were in RWP care
- 23% of 10,198 African Americans and Haitians were in RWP care
- 22% of 2,750 non-Hispanic whites with HIV were in RWP care

RACE/ETHNICITY COMPARISON, CY/FY 2021 EPI TOTAL, NOT IN CARE, IN RWP CARE




AGE DISTRIBUTION COMPARISON, CY/FY 2021 EPI TOTAL, NOT IN CARE, IN RWP CARE



UNMET NEED AND DEMOGRAPHICS

Comparisons of Epi data and RWP clients in care show significant demographic differences between the RWP clients in care and the Miami-Dade clients out of care.

- Substantial over-representation of Hispanic clients in RWP care, compared to Miami-Dade total persons with HIV and persons who are out of care
- Substantial under-representation of African American/Haitian clients in RWP care



THE CHALLENGE

What mechanisms does the Ryan White Program have to address unmet community HIV care needs within its existing structure?

THANK YOU!







WWW.AIDSNET.ORG

2022-2023 COMMUNITY INPUT INTEGRATED PLAN DEVELOPMENT AND VIRTUAL TOWN HALL

PRESENTED AUGUST 17, 2023

INTRODUCTION

Concerns gathered from Integrated Plan sessions, the Needs Assessment Town, and other Public Input opportunities are included in this presentation, as well as updates on progress to date

2022 Community Input: 2022-2026 Integrated HIV Prevention & Care Plan

In early 2022, as part of Integrated Plan development, 12 community listening sessions, focus groups, and key informant interviews were conducted across a variety of stakeholder groups.

April 2023 Town Hall

On April 26, 2023, the Partnership hosted a virtual town hall for Ryan White clients. One client attended; all other attendees were subrecipient staff members.

Other Public Input

The Partnership maintains a phone and website feedback line; no comments have been gathered.

HOUSING

Concerns

- There is a severe housing crisis with limited affordability and availability.
- Housing costs have risen, wait lists are long and slow moving, and incomes are limited.
- Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)programs are not sufficient to cover short-term or long-term housing needs.
- Participants expressed panic and frustration about:
 - -Escalating housing costs;
 - -Awful landlords;
 - -Run-down/unsafe housing;
 - -Discrimination based on HIV status; and
 - -Fear of eviction.

Progress

- Additional Ryan White Part B funds have been allocated to housing
- Miami-Dade County released an Ending the Epidemic (EHE)
 Request for Proposal for a Housing Stability Service program,
 "housing as healthcare". Results of the RFP are pending.

TRANSPORTATION

Concerns

- Additional access to transportation is needed and Medical Case Managers (MCM) must make clients aware of available transportation vouchers.
- Getting to and from appointments is vital.
 - -For some, a medical visit is an all-day event if traveling on public transportation.
 - -Some clients choose to travel far from their home area in efforts to avoid the stigma of getting HIV services in their own neighborhood.
- Transportation to pick up prescriptions is vital.
- AIDS Drug Assistant Program (ADAP) locations are not convenient for many.
 - -Participants were not aware of ADAP home delivery.
 - -Participants were not aware of pick-up options at local CVS pharmacies.

Progress

- ADAP has expanded pharmacy access through Magellan to 17 sites.
- Having a three-month supply of medications cuts down on some transportation needs.

COMMUNICATION

Overall, participants indicated they were receiving the medical care needed.

Concerns

Improvements in communication are necessary on every level of service delivery from front office to doctor's office to address:

- -Language barriers in both verbal and print communication;
- -Microaggressions and biases (overt and unrecognized) based on gender, race, ethnic, and economic factors;
- -Self-stigmatizing behavior;
- -Developing a relationship with clients so they don't just feel like a number;
- -Opportunities for using modern technology (appointment reminders, telehealth, etc.);
- -Maintaining confidentiality, particularly for clients who have not disclosed their HIV status; and
- -Making clients aware of all available services (not just those at a single agency).

Progress

The Integrated Plan includes an objective to address stigma by addressing HIV-status bias, trauma-informed care, status neutral care, and patient-centered care however, this is a complex issue.

MENTAL HEALTH

Concerns

- Mental health must be addressed to assist clients with treatment adherence.
- Particularly since the COVID pandemic, clients have increased anxiety and depression.
- Untreated mental health issues and increased substance use was reported.
- Many participants indicated not being aware of eligibility to mental health services. Subrecipients (MCM) need to make all clients aware of access points for mental health service. MCM cannont just refer to inter-agency services.
- Limited appointment times for psychiatric treatment was noted as a barrier to care.

Progress

Ryan White Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services was expanded to allow billing for mental health services (albeit with some restrictions).

DENTAL (ORAL HEALTH CARE)

Concerns

- Dentists have limited appointment availability.
- Appointments for specialty services are difficult to schedule.

Progress

Additional funds have been allocated to oral health care in an effort to increase access.

FOOD INSECURITY

Concerns

- Many participants indicated being in need and not aware of food bank services,
- Subreceipients (MCM) need to make all clients aware of food bank availability.
- With increased financial pressures, cost saving measures may need to be implemented in FY 2023.

Progress

- The Ryan White Program does not require a letter of medical necessity to recieve food bank service.
- Federal Povery Level requirement for Food Bank is 400% so all Ryan White clients are eligible.
- Additional funds were swept into Food Bank.

APPOINTMENTS

Concerns

- For those who are in school or are working fullor part-time, there is difficulty fitting appointments into their schedule
- More appointments are needed outside of conventional business hours.

Progress

- Some subrecipients do offer appointments outside of conventional business hours.
- The EHE RFP includes expansion of telehealth.

THANK YOU!







WWW.AIDSNET.ORG

HRSA SERVICE CATEGORIES

USING MAI FUNDS

SECTION 9

Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program Services: Eligible Individuals & Allowable Uses of Funds

Policy Clarification Notice (PCN) #16-02 (Revised 10/22/18) Replaces Policy #10-02

Scope of Coverage: Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program (RWHAP) Parts A, B, C, and D, and Part F where funding supports direct care and treatment services.

Purpose of PCN

This policy clarification notice (PCN) replaces the HRSA HIV/AIDS Bureau (HAB) PCN 10-02: Eligible Individuals & Allowable Uses of Funds. This PCN defines and provides program guidance for each of the Core Medical and Support Services named in statute and defines individuals who are eligible to receive these HRSA RWHAP services.

Background

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has consolidated, in 2 CFR Part 200, the uniform grants administrative requirements, cost principles, and audit requirements for all organization types (state and local governments, non-profit and educational institutions, and hospitals) receiving federal awards. These requirements, known as the "Uniform Guidance," are applicable to recipients and subrecipients of federal funds. The OMB Uniform Guidance has been codified by the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) in 45 CFR Part 75—Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for HHS Awards. HRSA RWHAP grant and cooperative agreement recipients and subrecipients should be thoroughly familiar with 45 CFR Part 75. Recipients are required to monitor the activities of its subrecipient to ensure the subaward is used for authorized purposes in compliance with applicable statute, regulations, policies, program requirements and the terms and conditions of the award (see 45 CFR §§ 75.351-352).

45 CFR Part 75, Subpart E—Cost Principles must be used in determining allowable costs that may be charged to a HRSA RWHAP award. Costs must be necessary and reasonable to carry out approved project activities, allocable to the funded project, and allowable under the Cost Principles, or otherwise authorized by the RWHAP statute. The treatment of costs must be consistent with recipient or subrecipient policies and procedures that apply uniformly to both federally-financed and other non-federally funded activities.

HRSA HAB has developed program policies that incorporate both HHS regulations

and program specific requirements set forth in the RWHAP statute. Recipients, planning bodies, and others are advised that independent auditors, auditors from the HHS' Office of the Inspector General, and auditors from the U.S. Government Accountability Office may assess and publicly report the extent to which an HRSA RWHAP award is being administered in a manner consistent with statute, regulation and program policies, such as these, and compliant with legislative and programmatic policies. Recipients can expect fiscal and programmatic oversight through HRSA monitoring and review of budgets, work plans, and subrecipient agreements. HRSA HAB is able to provide technical assistance to recipients and planning bodies, where assistance with compliance is needed.

Recipients are reminded that it is their responsibility to be fully cognizant of limitations on uses of funds as outlined in statute, 45 CFR Part 75, the HHS Grants Policy Statement, and applicable HRSA HAB PCNs. In the case of services being supported in violation of statute, regulation or programmatic policy, the use of RWHAP funds for such costs must be ceased immediately and recipients may be required to return already-spent funds to the Federal Government. Recipients who unknowingly continue such support are also liable for such expenditures.

Further Guidance on Eligible Individuals and Allowable Uses of Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program Funds

The RWHAP statute, codified at title XXVI of the Public Health Service Act, stipulates that "funds received...will not be utilized to make payments for any item or service to the extent that payment has been made, or can reasonably be expected to be made under...an insurance policy, or under any Federal or State health benefits program" and other specified payment sources. At the individual client-level, this means recipients must assure that funded subrecipients make reasonable efforts to secure non-RWHAP funds whenever possible for services to eligible clients. In support of this intent, it is an appropriate use of HRSA RWHAP funds to provide case management (medical or non-medical) or other services that, as a central function, ensure that eligibility for other funding sources is vigorously and consistently pursued (e.g., Medicaid, Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP), Medicare, or State-funded HIV programs, and/or private sector funding, including private insurance).

In every instance, HRSA HAB expects that services supported with HRSA RWHAP funds will (1) fall within the legislatively-defined range of services, (2) as appropriate, within Part A, have been identified as a local priority by the HIV Health Services Planning Council/Body, and (3) in the case of allocation decisions made by a Part B State/Territory or by a local or regional consortium, meet documented needs and contribute to the establishment of a continuum of care.

HRSA RWHAP funds are intended to support only the HIV-related needs of

¹ See sections 2605(a)(6), 2617(b)(7)(F), 2664(f)(1), and 2671(i) of the Public Health Service Act.

eligible individuals. Recipients and subrecipients must be able to make an explicit connection between any service supported with HRSA RWHAP funds and the intended client's HIV care and treatment, or care-giving relationship to a person living with HIV (PLWH).

Eligible Individuals:

The principal intent of the RWHAP statute is to provide services to PLWH, including those whose illness has progressed to the point of clinically defined AIDS. When setting and implementing priorities for the allocation of funds, recipients, Part A Planning Councils, community planning bodies, and Part B funded consortia may optionally define eligibility for certain services more precisely, but they may NOT broaden the definition of who is eligible for services. HRSA HAB expects all HRSA RWHAP recipients to establish and monitor procedures to ensure that all funded providers verify and document client eligibility.

Affected individuals (people not identified with HIV) may be eligible for HRSA RWHAP services in limited situations, but these services for affected individuals must always benefit PLWH. Funds awarded under the HRSA RWHAP may be used for services to individuals affected by HIV only in the circumstances described below:

- a. The primary purpose of the service is to enable the affected individual to participate in the care of a PLWH. Examples include caregiver training for in-home medical or support service; psychosocial support services, such as caregiver support groups; and/or respite care services that assist affected individuals with the stresses of providing daily care for a PLWH.
- b. The service directly enables a PLWH to receive needed medical or support services by removing an identified barrier to care. Examples include payment of a HRSA RWHAP client's portion of a family health insurance policy premium to ensure continuity of insurance coverage that client, or childcare for the client's children while they receive HIV-related medical care or support services.
- c. The service promotes family stability for coping with the unique challenges posed by HIV. Examples include psychosocial support services, including mental health services funded by RWHAP Part D only, that focus on equipping affected family members, and caregivers to manage the stress and loss associated with HIV.
- d. Services to affected individuals that meet these criteria may not continue subsequent to the death of the family member who was living with HIV.

Unallowable Costs:

HRSA RWHAP funds may not be used to make cash payments to intended clients of HRSA RWHAP-funded services. This prohibition includes cash incentives and

cash intended as payment for HRSA RWHAP core medical and support services. Where direct provision of the service is not possible or effective, store gift cards,² vouchers, coupons, or tickets that can be exchanged for a specific service or commodity (e.g., food or transportation) must be used.

HRSA RWHAP recipients are advised to administer voucher and store gift card programs in a manner which assures that vouchers and store gift cards cannot be exchanged for cash or used for anything other than the allowable goods or services, and that systems are in place to account for disbursed vouchers and store gift cards.³

Other unallowable costs include:

- Clothing
- Employment and Employment-Readiness Services, except in limited, specified instances (e.g., Non-Medical Case Management Services or Rehabilitation Services)
- Funeral and Burial Expenses
- Property Taxes
- Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP)
- non-occupational Post-Exposure Prophylaxis (nPEP)
- Materials, designed to promote or encourage, directly, intravenous drug use or sexual activity, whether homosexual or heterosexual
- International travel
- The purchase or improvement of land
- The purchase, construction, or permanent improvement of any building or other facility

Allowable Costs:

The following service categories are allowable uses of HRSA RWHAP funds. The HRSA RWHAP recipient, along with respective planning bodies, will make the final decision regarding the specific services to be funded under their grant or cooperative agreement. As with all other allowable costs, HRSA RWHAP recipients are responsible for applicable accounting and reporting on the use of HRSA RWHAP funds.

Service Category Descriptions and Program Guidance

The following provides both a description of covered service categories and program guidance for HRSA RWHAP Part recipient implementation. These service category descriptions apply to the entire HRSA RWHAP. However, for some services, the

² Store gift cards that can be redeemed at one merchant or an affiliated group of merchants for specific goods or services that further the goals and objectives of the HRSA RWHAP are allowable as incentives for eligible program participants.

³ General-use prepaid cards are considered "cash equivalent" and are therefore unallowable. Such cards generally bear the logo of a payment network, such as Visa, MasterCard, or American Express, and are accepted by any merchant that accepts those credit or debit cards as payment. Gift cards that are cobranded with the logo of a payment network and the logo of a merchant or affiliated group of merchants are general-use prepaid cards, not store gift cards, and therefore are unallowable.

HRSA RWHAP Parts (i.e., A, B, C, and D) must determine what is feasible and justifiable with limited resources. There is no expectation that a HRSA RWHAP Part recipient would provide all services, but recipients and planning bodies are expected to coordinate service delivery across Parts to ensure that the entire jurisdiction/service area has access to services based on needs assessment.

The following core medical and support service categories are important to assist in the diagnosis of HIV infection, linkage to and entry into care for PLWH, retention in care, and the provision of HIV care and treatment. HRSA RWHAP recipients are encouraged to consider all methods or means by which they can provide services, including use of technology (e.g., telehealth). To be an allowable cost under the HRSA RWHAP, all services must:

- Relate to HIV diagnosis, care and support,
- Adhere to established HIV clinical practice standards consistent with U.S.
 Department of Health and Human Services' Clinical Guidelines for the
 Treatment of HIV⁴ and other related or pertinent clinical guidelines, and
- Comply with state and local regulations, and provided by licensed or authorized providers, as applicable.

Recipients are required to work toward the development and adoption of service standards for all HRSA RWHAP-funded services to ensure consistent quality care is provided to all HRSA RWHAP-eligible clients. Service standards establish the minimal level of service or care that a HRSA RWHAP funded agency or provider may offer within a state, territory or jurisdiction. Service standards related to HRSA RWHAP Core Medical Services must be consistent with U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' Clinical Guidelines for the Treatment of HIV, as well as other pertinent clinical and professional standards. Service standards related to HRSA RWHAP Support Services may be developed using evidence-based or evidence-informed best practices, the most recent HRSA RWHAP Parts A and B National Monitoring Standards, and guidelines developed by the state and local government.

HRSA RWHAP recipients should also be familiar with implementation guidance HRSA HAB provides in program manuals, monitoring standards, and other recipient resources.

HRSA RWHAP clients must meet income and other eligibility criteria as established by HRSA RWHAP Part A, B, C, or D recipients.

RWHAP Core Medical Services

AIDS Drug Assistance Program Treatments

⁴ https://aidsinfo.nih.gov/guidelines

AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance

Early Intervention Services (EIS)

Health Insurance Premium and Cost Sharing Assistance for Low-Income Individuals

Home and Community-Based Health Services

Home Health Care

Hospice

Medical Case Management, including Treatment Adherence Services

Medical Nutrition Therapy

Mental Health Services

Oral Health Care

Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services

Substance Abuse Outpatient Care

RWHAP Support Services

Child Care Services

Emergency Financial Assistance

Food Bank/Home Delivered Meals

Health Education/Risk Reduction

Housing

Legal Services

Linguistic Services

Medical Transportation

Non-Medical Case Management Services

Other Professional Services

Outreach Services

Permanency Planning

Psychosocial Support Services

Referral for Health Care and Support Services

Rehabilitation Services

Respite Care

Substance Abuse Services (residential)

Effective Date

This PCN is effective for HRSA RWHAP Parts A, B, C, D, and F awards issued on or after October 1, 2016. This includes competing continuations, new awards, and non-competing continuations.

Summary of Changes

August 18, 2016 –Updated *Housing Service* category by removing the prohibition on HRSA RWHAP Part C recipients to use HRSA RWHAP funds for this service.

December 12, 2016 – 1) Updated *Health Insurance Premium and Cost Sharing Assistance for Low-Income Individuals* service category by including standalone dental insurance as an allowable cost; 2) Updated *Substance Abuse Services (residential)* service category by removing the prohibition on HRSA RWHAP Parts C and D recipients to use HRSA RWHAP funds for this service; 3) Updated *Medical Transportation* service category by providing clarification on provider transportation; 4) Updated *AIDS Drug Assistance Program Treatments* service category by adding additional program guidance; and 5) Reorganized the service categories alphabetically and provided hyperlinks in the Appendix.

October, **22**, **2018** – updated to provide additional clarifications in the following service categories:

Core Medical Services: AIDS Drug Assistance Program Treatments; AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance; Health Insurance Premium and Cost Sharing Assistance for Low-income People Living with HIV; and Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services

Support Services: Emergency Financial Assistance; Housing; Non-Medical Case Management; Outreach; and Rehabilitation Services.

Appendix

RWHAP Legislation: Core Medical Services

AIDS Drug Assistance Program Treatments

Description:

The AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP) is a state-administered program authorized under RWHAP Part B to provide U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved medications to low-income clients living with HIV who have no coverage or limited health care coverage. HRSA RWHAP ADAP formularies must include at least one FDA-approved medicine in each drug class of core antiretroviral medicines from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' Clinical Guidelines for the Treatment of HIV.⁵ HRSA RWHAP ADAPs can also provide access to medications by using program funds to purchase health care coverage and through medication cost sharing for eligible clients. HRSA RWHAP ADAPs must assess and compare the aggregate cost of paying for the health care coverage versus paying for the full cost of medications to ensure that purchasing health care coverage is cost effective in the aggregate. HRSA RWHAP ADAPs may use a limited amount of program funds for activities that enhance access to, adherence to, and monitoring of antiretroviral therapy with prior approval.

Program Guidance:

HRSA RWHAP Parts A, C and D recipients may contribute RWHAP funds to the RWHAP Part B ADAP for the purchase of medication and/or health care coverage and medication cost sharing for ADAP-eligible clients.

See PCN 07-03: The Use of Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program, Part B AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP) Funds for Access, Adherence, and Monitoring Services

See PCN 18-01: Clarifications Regarding the use of Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program Funds for Health Care Coverage Premium and Cost Sharing Assistance

See also AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance and Emergency Financial Assistance

AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance

Description:

AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance may be provided through one of two programs, based on HRSA RWHAP Part funding.

 A Local Pharmaceutical Assistance Program (LPAP) is operated by a HRSA RWHAP Part A or B (non-ADAP) recipient or subrecipient as a supplemental means of providing ongoing medication assistance when an HRSA RWHAP ADAP

⁵ <u>https://aidsinfo.nih.gov/guidelines</u>

has a restricted formulary, waiting list and/or restricted financial eligibility criteria.

HRSA RWHAP Parts A or B recipients using the LPAP to provide AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance must establish the following:

- Uniform benefits for all enrolled clients throughout the service area
- A recordkeeping system for distributed medications
- An LPAP advisory board
- A drug formulary that is
 - o Approved by the local advisory committee/board, and
 - Consists of HIV-related medications not otherwise available to the clients due to the elements mentioned above
- A drug distribution system
- A client enrollment and eligibility determination process that includes screening for HRSA RWHAP ADAP and LPAP eligibility with rescreening at minimum of every six months
- Coordination with the state's HRSA RWHAP Part B ADAP
 - A statement of need should specify restrictions of the state HRSA RWHAP ADAP and the need for the LPAP
- Implementation in accordance with requirements of the HRSA 340B Drug Pricing Program (including the Prime Vendor Program)
- 2. A Community Pharmaceutical Assistance Program (CPAP) is provided by a HRSA RWHAP Part C or D recipient for the provision of ongoing medication assistance to eligible clients in the absence of any other resources.

HRSA RWHAP Parts C or D recipients using CPAP to provide AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance must establish the following:

- A financial eligibility criteria and determination process for this specific service category
- A drug formulary consisting of HIV-related medications not otherwise available to the clients
- Implementation in accordance with the requirements of the HRSA 340B Drug Pricing Program (including the Prime Vendor Program)

Program Guidance:

For LPAPs: HRSA RWHAP Part A or Part B (non-ADAP) funds may be used to support an LPAP. HRSA RWHAP ADAP funds may not be used for LPAP support. LPAP funds are not to be used for emergency or short-term financial assistance. The Emergency Financial Assistance service category may assist with short-term assistance for medications.

For CPAPs: HRSA RWHAP Part C or D funds may be used to support a CPAP to routinely refill medications. HRSA RWHAP Part C or D recipients should use the Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services or Emergency Financial Assistance service

categories for non-routine, short-term medication assistance.

See also AIDS Drug Assistance Program Treatments, Emergency Financial Assistance, and Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services

Early Intervention Services (EIS)

Description:

The RWHAP legislation defines EIS for Parts A, B, and C. See § 2651(e) of the Public Health Service Act.

Program Guidance:

The elements of EIS often overlap with other service category descriptions; however, EIS is the combination of such services rather than a stand-alone service. HRSA RWHAP Part recipients should be aware of programmatic expectations that stipulate the allocation of funds into specific service categories.

- HRSA RWHAP Parts A and B EIS services must include the following four components:
 - Targeted HIV testing to help the unaware learn of their HIV status and receive referral to HIV care and treatment services if found to be living with HIV
 - Recipients must coordinate these testing services with other HIV prevention and testing programs to avoid duplication of efforts
 - HIV testing paid for by EIS cannot supplant testing efforts paid for by other sources
 - Referral services to improve HIV care and treatment services at key points of entry
 - Access and linkage to HIV care and treatment services such as HIV Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services, Medical Case Management, and Substance Abuse Care
 - Outreach Services and Health Education/Risk Reduction related to HIV diagnosis
- HRSA RWHAP Part C EIS services must include the following four components:
 - Counseling individuals with respect to HIV
 - High risk targeted HIV testing (confirmation and diagnosis of the extent of immune deficiency)
 - Recipients must coordinate these testing services under HRSA RWHAP Part C EIS with other HIV prevention and testing programs to avoid duplication of efforts
 - The HIV testing services supported by HRSA RWHAP Part C EIS funds cannot supplant testing efforts covered by other sources
 - o Referral and linkage to care of PLWH to Outpatient/Ambulatory Health

Services, Medical Case Management, Substance Abuse Care, and other services as part of a comprehensive care system including a system for tracking and monitoring referrals

Other clinical and diagnostic services related to HIV diagnosis

Health Insurance Premium and Cost Sharing Assistance for Low-Income Individuals

Description:

Health Insurance Premium and Cost Sharing Assistance provides financial assistance for eligible clients living with HIV to maintain continuity of health insurance or to receive medical and pharmacy benefits under a health care coverage program. For purposes of this service category, health insurance also includes standalone dental insurance. The service provision consists of the following:

- Paying health insurance premiums to provide comprehensive HIV
 Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services, and pharmacy benefits that provide
 a full range of HIV medications for eligible clients; and/or
- Paying standalone dental insurance premiums to provide comprehensive oral health care services for eligible clients; and/or
- Paying cost sharing on behalf of the client.

To use HRSA RWHAP funds for health insurance premium assistance (not standalone dental insurance assistance), an HRSA RWHAP Part recipient must implement a methodology that incorporates the following requirements:

- Clients obtain health care coverage that at a minimum, includes at least one
 U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved medicine in each drug class
 of core antiretroviral medicines outlined in the U.S. Department of Health and
 Human Services' Clinical Guidelines for the Treatment of HIV, as well as
 appropriate HIV outpatient/ambulatory health services; and
- The cost of paying for the health care coverage (including all other sources of premium and cost sharing assistance) is cost-effective in the aggregate versus paying for the full cost for medications and other appropriate HIV outpatient/ambulatory health services (HRSA RWHAP Part A, HRSA RWHAP Part B, HRSA RWHAP Part C, and HRSA RWHAP Part D).

To use HRSA RWHAP funds for standalone dental insurance premium assistance, an HRSA RWHAP Part recipient must implement a methodology that incorporates the following requirement:

HRSA RWHAP Part recipients must assess and compare the aggregate cost
of paying for the standalone dental insurance option versus paying for the
full cost of HIV oral health care services to ensure that purchasing
standalone dental insurance is cost effective in the aggregate, and allocate
funding to Health Insurance Premium and Cost Sharing Assistance only

when determined to be cost effective.

Program Guidance:

Traditionally, HRSA RWHAP Parts A and B recipients have supported paying for health insurance premiums and cost sharing assistance. If a HRSA RWHAP Part C or Part D recipient has the resources to provide this service, an equitable enrollment policy must be in place and it must be cost-effective.

HRSA RWHAP Parts A, B, C, and D recipients may consider providing their health insurance premiums and cost sharing resource allocation to their state HRSA RWHAP ADAP, particularly where the ADAP has the infrastructure to verify health care coverage status and process payments for public or private health care coverage premiums and medication cost sharing.

See PCN 14-01: <u>Clarifications Regarding the Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program and Reconciliation of Premium Tax Credits under the Affordable Care Act</u>

See PCN 18-01: Clarifications Regarding the use of Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program Funds for Health Care Coverage Premium and Cost Sharing Assistance

Home and Community-Based Health Services

Description:

Home and Community-Based Health Services are provided to an eligible client in an integrated setting appropriate to that client's needs, based on a written plan of care established by a medical care team under the direction of a licensed clinical provider. Services include:

- Appropriate mental health, developmental, and rehabilitation services
- Day treatment or other partial hospitalization services
- Durable medical equipment
- Home health aide services and personal care services in the home

Program Guidance:

Inpatient hospitals, nursing homes, and other long-term care facilities are not considered an integrated setting for the purposes of providing home and community-based health services.

Home Health Care

Description:

Home Health Care is the provision of services in the home that are appropriate to an eligible client's needs and are performed by licensed professionals. Activities provided under Home Health Care must relate to the client's HIV disease and may include:

- Administration of prescribed therapeutics (e.g. intravenous and aerosolized treatment, and parenteral feeding)
- Preventive and specialty care
- Wound care

- Routine diagnostics testing administered in the home
- Other medical therapies

Program Guidance:

The provision of Home Health Care is limited to clients that are homebound. Home settings do not include nursing facilities or inpatient mental health/substance abuse treatment facilities.

Hospice Services

Description:

Hospice Services are end-of-life care services provided to clients in the terminal stage of an HIV-related illness. Allowable services are:

- Mental health counseling
- Nursing care
- Palliative therapeutics
- · Physician services
- Room and board

Program Guidance:

Hospice Services may be provided in a home or other residential setting, including a non-acute care section of a hospital that has been designated and staffed to provide hospice services. This service category does not extend to skilled nursing facilities or nursing homes.

To meet the need for Hospice Services, a physician must certify that a patient is terminally ill and has a defined life expectancy as established by the recipient. Counseling services provided in the context of hospice care must be consistent with the definition of mental health counseling. Palliative therapies must be consistent with those covered under respective state Medicaid programs.

Medical Case Management, including Treatment Adherence Services *Description:*

Medical Case Management is the provision of a range of client-centered activities focused on improving health outcomes in support of the HIV care continuum.

Activities provided under this service category may be provided by an interdisciplinary team that includes other specialty care providers. Medical Case Management includes all types of case management encounters (e.g., face-to-face, phone contact, and any other forms of communication).

Key activities include:

- Initial assessment of service needs
- Development of a comprehensive, individualized care plan
- Timely and coordinated access to medically appropriate levels of health and support services and continuity of care
- Continuous client monitoring to assess the efficacy of the care plan

- Re-evaluation of the care plan at least every 6 months with adaptations as necessary
- Ongoing assessment of the client's and other key family members' needs and personal support systems
- Treatment adherence counseling to ensure readiness for and adherence to complex HIV treatments
- Client-specific advocacy and/or review of utilization of services

In addition to providing the medically oriented activities above, Medical Case Management may also provide benefits counseling by assisting eligible clients in obtaining access to other public and private programs for which they may be eligible (e.g., Medicaid, Medicare Part D, State Pharmacy Assistance Programs, Pharmaceutical Manufacturer's Patient Assistance Programs, other state or local health care and supportive services, and insurance plans through the health insurance Marketplaces/Exchanges).

Program Guidance:

Activities provided under the Medical Case Management service category have as their objective <u>improving health care outcomes</u> whereas those provided under the Non-Medical Case Management service category have as their objective providing guidance and assistance in <u>improving access</u> to needed services.

Visits to ensure readiness for, and adherence to, complex HIV treatments shall be considered Medical Case Management or Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services. Treatment Adherence services provided during a Medical Case Management visit should be reported in the Medical Case Management service category whereas Treatment Adherence services provided during an Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Service visit should be reported under the Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services category.

Medical Nutrition Therapy

Description:

Medical Nutrition Therapy includes:

- Nutrition assessment and screening
- Dietary/nutritional evaluation
- Food and/or nutritional supplements per medical provider's recommendation
- Nutrition education and/or counseling

These activities can be provided in individual and/or group settings and outside of HIV Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services.

Program Guidance:

All activities performed under this service category must be pursuant to a medical provider's referral and based on a nutritional plan developed by the registered dietitian or other licensed nutrition professional. Activities not provided by a

registered/licensed dietician should be considered Psychosocial Support Services under the HRSA RWHAP.

See also Food-Bank/Home Delivered Meals

Mental Health Services

Description:

Mental Health Services are the provision of outpatient psychological and psychiatric screening, assessment, diagnosis, treatment, and counseling services offered to clients living with HIV. Services are based on a treatment plan, conducted in an outpatient group or individual session, and provided by a mental health professional licensed or authorized within the state to render such services. Such professionals typically include psychiatrists, psychologists, and licensed clinical social workers.

Program Guidance:

Mental Health Services are allowable only for PLWH who are eligible to receive HRSA RWHAP services.

See also Psychosocial Support Services

Oral Health Care

Description:

Oral Health Care activities include outpatient diagnosis, prevention, and therapy provided by dental health care professionals, including general dental practitioners, dental specialists, dental hygienists, and licensed dental assistants.

Program Guidance:

None at this time.

Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services

Description:

Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services provide diagnostic and therapeutic-related activities directly to a client by a licensed healthcare provider in an outpatient medical setting. Outpatient medical settings may include: clinics, medical offices, mobile vans, using telehealth technology, and urgent care facilities for HIV-related visits.

Allowable activities include:

- Medical history taking
- Physical examination
- Diagnostic testing (including HIV confirmatory and viral load testing), as well as laboratory testing
- Treatment and management of physical and behavioral health conditions
- Behavioral risk assessment, subsequent counseling, and referral
- Preventive care and screening
- Pediatric developmental assessment
- Prescription and management of medication therapy

- Treatment adherence
- Education and counseling on health and prevention issues
- Referral to and provision of specialty care related to HIV diagnosis, including audiology and ophthalmology

Program Guidance:

Treatment adherence activities provided during an Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Service visit are considered Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services, whereas treatment adherence activities provided during a Medical Case Management visit are considered Medical Case Management services.

Non-HIV related visits to urgent care facilities are not allowable costs within the Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services Category.

Emergency room visits are not allowable costs within the Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services Category.

See PCN 13-04: Clarifications Regarding Clients Eligible for Private Insurance and Coverage of Services by Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program

See also Early Intervention Services

Substance Abuse Outpatient Care

Description:

Substance Abuse Outpatient Care is the provision of outpatient services for the treatment of drug or alcohol use disorders. Activities under Substance Abuse Outpatient Care service category include:

- Screening
- Assessment
- Diagnosis, and/or
- Treatment of substance use disorder, including:
 - Pretreatment/recovery readiness programs
 - Harm reduction
 - o Behavioral health counseling associated with substance use disorder
 - o Outpatient drug-free treatment and counseling
 - Medication assisted therapy
 - Neuro-psychiatric pharmaceuticals
 - Relapse prevention

Program Guidance:

Acupuncture therapy may be allowable under this service category only when, as part of a substance use disorder treatment program funded under the HRSA RWHAP, it is included in a documented plan.

Syringe access services are allowable, to the extent that they comport with current appropriations law and applicable HHS guidance, including HRSA- or HAB-specific

guidance.

See also Substance Abuse Services (residential)

RWHAP Legislation: Support Services

Child Care Services

Description:

The HRSA RWHAP supports intermittent Child Care Services for the children living in the household of PLWH who are HRSA RWHAP-eligible clients for the purpose of enabling those clients to attend medical visits, related appointments, and/or HRSA RWHAP-related meetings, groups, or training sessions.

Allowable use of funds include:

- A licensed or registered child care provider to deliver intermittent care
- Informal child care provided by a neighbor, family member, or other person (with the understanding that existing federal restrictions prohibit giving cash to clients or primary caregivers to pay for these services)

Program Guidance:

The use of funds under this service category should be limited and carefully monitored. Direct cash payments to clients are not permitted.

Such arrangements may also raise liability issues for the funding source which should be carefully weighed in the decision process.

Emergency Financial Assistance

Description:

Emergency Financial Assistance provides limited one-time or short-term payments to assist an HRSA RWHAP client with an urgent need for essential items or services necessary to improve health outcomes, including: utilities, housing, food (including groceries and food vouchers), transportation, medication not covered by an AIDS Drug Assistance Program or AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance, or another HRSA RWHAP-allowable cost needed to improve health outcomes. Emergency Financial Assistance must occur as a direct payment to an agency or through a voucher program.

Program Guidance:

Emergency Financial Assistance funds used to pay for otherwise allowable HRSA RWHAP services must be accounted for under the Emergency Financial Assistance category. Direct cash payments to clients are not permitted.

Continuous provision of an allowable service to a client must not be funded through Emergency Financial Assistance.

Food Bank/Home Delivered Meals

Description:

Food Bank/Home Delivered Meals refers to the provision of actual food items, hot meals, or a voucher program to purchase food. This also includes the provision of essential non-food items that are limited to the following:

- Personal hygiene products
- Household cleaning supplies
- Water filtration/purification systems in communities where issues of water safety exist

Program Guidance:

Unallowable costs include household appliances, pet foods, and other non-essential products.

See Medical Nutrition Therapy. Nutritional services and nutritional supplements provided by a registered dietitian are considered a core medical service under the HRSA RWHAP.

Health Education/Risk Reduction

Description:

Health Education/Risk Reduction is the provision of education to clients living with HIV about HIV transmission and how to reduce the risk of HIV transmission. It includes sharing information about medical and psychosocial support services and counseling with clients to improve their health status. Topics covered may include:

- Education on risk reduction strategies to reduce transmission such as preexposure prophylaxis (PrEP) for clients' partners and treatment as prevention
- Education on health care coverage options (e.g., qualified health plans through the Marketplace, Medicaid coverage, Medicare coverage)
- Health literacy
- Treatment adherence education

Program Guidance:

Health Education/Risk Reduction services cannot be delivered anonymously.

See also Early Intervention Services

Housing

Description:

Housing provides transitional, short-term, or emergency housing assistance to enable a client or family to gain or maintain outpatient/ambulatory health services and treatment, including temporary assistance necessary to prevent homelessness and to gain or maintain access to medical care. Activities within the Housing category must also include the development of an individualized housing plan, updated annually, to guide the client's linkage to permanent housing. Housing may provide some type of core medical (e.g., mental health services) or support services (e.g., residential substance use disorder services).

Housing activities also include housing referral services, including assessment, search,

placement, and housing advocacy services on behalf of the eligible client, as well as fees associated with these activities.

Program Guidance:

HRSA RWHAP recipients and subrecipients that use funds to provide Housing must have mechanisms in place to assess and document the housing status and housing service needs of new clients, and at least annually for existing clients.

HRSA RWHAP recipients and subrecipients, along with local decision-making planning bodies, are strongly encouraged to institute duration limits to housing activities. HRSA HAB recommends recipients and subrecipients align duration limits with those definitions used by other housing programs, such as those administered by the Department of Housing and Urban Development, which currently uses 24 months for transitional housing.

Housing activities cannot be in the form of direct cash payments to clients and cannot be used for mortgage payments or rental deposits, ⁶ <u>although these may be allowable costs under the HUD Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS grant awards</u>.

Housing, as described here, replaces PCN 11-01.

Legal Services

See Other Professional Services

Linguistic Services

Description:

Linguistic Services include interpretation and translation activities, both oral and written, to eligible clients. These activities must be provided by qualified linguistic services providers as a component of HIV service delivery between the healthcare provider and the client. These services are to be provided when such services are necessary to facilitate communication between the provider and client and/or support delivery of HRSA RWHAP-eligible services.

Program Guidance:

Linguistic Services provided must comply with the National Standards for Culturally and Linguistically Appropriate Services (CLAS).

Medical Transportation

Description:

Medical Transportation is the provision of nonemergency transportation that enables an eligible client to access or be retained in core medical and support services.

Program Guidance:

Medical transportation may be provided through:

⁶ See sections 2604(i), 2612(f), 2651(b), and 2671(a) of the Public Health Service Act.

- Contracts with providers of transportation services
- Mileage reimbursement (through a non-cash system) that enables clients to travel to needed medical or other support services, but should not in any case exceed the established rates for federal Programs (Federal Joint Travel Regulations provide further guidance on this subject)
- Purchase or lease of organizational vehicles for client transportation programs, provided the recipient receives prior approval for the purchase of a vehicle
- Organization and use of volunteer drivers (through programs with insurance and other liability issues specifically addressed)
- Voucher or token systems

Costs for transportation for medical providers to provide care should be categorized under the service category for the service being provided.

Unallowable costs include:

- Direct cash payments or cash reimbursements to clients
- Direct maintenance expenses (tires, repairs, etc.) of a privately-owned vehicle
- Any other costs associated with a privately-owned vehicle such as lease, loan payments, insurance, license, or registration fees.

Non-Medical Case Management Services

Description:

Non-Medical Case Management Services (NMCM) is the provision of a range of client-centered activities focused on improving access to and retention in needed core medical and support services. NMCM provides coordination, guidance, and assistance in accessing medical, social, community, legal, financial, employment, vocational, and/or other needed services. NMCM Services may also include assisting eligible clients to obtain access to other public and private programs for which they may be eligible, such as Medicaid, Children's Health Insurance Program, Medicare Part D, State Pharmacy Assistance Programs, Pharmaceutical Manufacturer's Patient Assistance Programs, Department of Labor or Education-funded services, other state or local health care and supportive services, or private health care coverage plans. NMCM Services includes all types of case management encounters (e.g., face-to-face, telehealth, phone contact, and any other forms of communication). Key activities include:

- Initial assessment of service needs
- Development of a comprehensive, individualized care plan
- Timely and coordinated access to medically appropriate levels of health and support services and continuity of care
- Client-specific advocacy and/or review of utilization of services
- Continuous client monitoring to assess the efficacy of the care plan

- Re-evaluation of the care plan at least every 6 months with adaptations as necessary
- Ongoing assessment of the client's and other key family members' needs and personal support systems

Program Guidance:

NMCM Services have as their objective providing coordination, guidance and assistance in <u>improving access</u> to and retention in needed medical and support services to mitigate and eliminate barriers to HIV care services, whereas Medical Case Management Services have as their objective <u>improving health care outcomes</u>.

Other Professional Services

Description:

Other Professional Services allow for the provision of professional and consultant services rendered by members of particular professions licensed and/or qualified to offer such services by local governing authorities. Such services may include:

- Legal services provided to and/or on behalf of the HRSA RWHAP-eligible PLWH and involving legal matters related to or arising from their HIV disease, including:
 - Assistance with public benefits such as Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI)
 - Interventions necessary to ensure access to eligible benefits, including discrimination or breach of confidentiality litigation as it relates to services eligible for funding under the HRSA RWHAP
 - o Preparation of:
 - Healthcare power of attorney
 - Durable powers of attorney
 - Living wills
- Permanency planning to help clients/families make decisions about the placement and care of minor children after their parents/caregivers are deceased or are no longer able to care for them, including:
 - Social service counseling or legal counsel regarding the drafting of wills or delegating powers of attorney
 - Preparation for custody options for legal dependents including standby guardianship, joint custody, or adoption
- Income tax preparation services to assist clients in filing Federal tax returns that are required by the Affordable Care Act for all individuals receiving premium tax credits.

Program Guidance:

Legal services exclude criminal defense and class-action suits unless related to access to services eligible for funding under the RWHAP.

See 45 CFR § 75.459

Outreach Services

Description:

The Outreach Services category has as its principal purpose identifying PLWH who either do not know their HIV status, or who know their status but are not currently in care. As such, Outreach Services provide the following activities: 1) identification of people who do not know their HIV status and/or 2) linkage or re-engagement of PLWH who know their status into HRSA RWHAP services, including provision of information about health care coverage options.

Because Outreach Services are often provided to people who do not know their HIV status, some activities within this service category will likely reach people who are HIV negative. When these activities identify someone living with HIV, eligible clients should be linked to HRSA RWHAP services.

Outreach Services must:

- 1) use data to target populations and places that have a high probability of reaching PLWH who
 - a. have never been tested and are undiagnosed,
 - b. have been tested, diagnosed as HIV positive, but have not received their test results, or
 - c. have been tested, know their HIV positive status, but are not in medical care:
- 2) be conducted at times and in places where there is a high probability that PLWH will be identified; and
- 3) be delivered in coordination with local and state HIV prevention outreach programs to avoid duplication of effort.

Outreach Services may be provided through community and public awareness activities (e.g., posters, flyers, billboards, social media, TV or radio announcements) that meet the requirements above and include explicit and clear links to and information about available HRSA RWHAP services. Ultimately, HIV-negative people may receive Outreach Services and should be referred to risk reduction activities. When these activities identify someone living with HIV, eligible clients should be linked to HRSA RWHAP services.

Program Guidance:

Outreach Services provided to an individual or in small group settings cannot be delivered anonymously, as some information is needed to facilitate any necessary follow-up and care.

Outreach Services must not include outreach activities that exclusively promote HIV prevention education. Recipients and subrecipients may use Outreach Services funds for HIV testing when HRSA RWHAP resources are available and where the testing would not supplant other existing funding.

Outreach Services, as described here, replaces PCN 12-01.

See also Early Intervention Services

Permanency Planning

See Other Professional Services

Psychosocial Support Services

Description:

Psychosocial Support Services provide group or individual support and counseling services to assist HRSA RWHAP-eligible PLWH to address behavioral and physical health concerns. Activities provided under the Psychosocial Support Services may include:

- Bereavement counseling
- Caregiver/respite support (HRSA RWHAP Part D)
- Child abuse and neglect counseling
- HIV support groups
- Nutrition counseling provided by a non-registered dietitian (see Medical Nutrition Therapy Services)
- Pastoral care/counseling services

Program Guidance:

Funds under this service category may not be used to provide nutritional supplements (See Food Bank/Home Delivered Meals).

HRSA RWHAP-funded pastoral counseling must be available to all eligible clients regardless of their religious denominational affiliation.

HRSA RWHAP Funds may not be used for social/recreational activities or to pay for a client's gym membership.

For HRSA RWHAP Part D recipients, outpatient mental health services provided to affected clients (people not identified with HIV) should be reported as Psychosocial Support Services; this is generally only a permissible expense under HRSA RWHAP Part D.

See also Respite Care Services

Rehabilitation Services

Description:

Rehabilitation Services provide HIV-related therapies intended to improve or maintain a client's quality of life and optimal capacity for self-care on an outpatient basis, and in accordance with an individualized plan of HIV care.

Program Guidance:

Allowable activities under this category include physical, occupational, speech, and

vocational therapy.

Rehabilitation services provided as part of <u>inpatient</u> hospital services, nursing homes, and other long-term care facilities are not allowable.

Referral for Health Care and Support Services

Description:

Referral for Health Care and Support Services directs a client to needed core medical or support services in person or through telephone, written, or other type of communication. Activities provided under this service category may include referrals to assist HRSA RWHAP-eligible clients to obtain access to other public and private programs for which they may be eligible (e.g., Medicaid, Medicare Part D, State Pharmacy Assistance Programs, Pharmaceutical Manufacturer's Patient Assistance Programs, and other state or local health care and supportive services, or health insurance Marketplace plans).

Program Guidance:

Referrals for Health Care and Support Services provided by outpatient/ambulatory health care providers should be reported under the Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services category.

Referrals for health care and support services provided by case managers (medical and non-medical) should be reported in the appropriate case management service category (i.e., Medical Case Management or Non-Medical Case Management).

See also Early Intervention Services

Respite Care

Description:

Respite Care is the provision of periodic respite care in community or home-based settings that includes non-medical assistance designed to provide care for an HRSA RWHAP-eligible client to relieve the primary caregiver responsible for their day-to-day care.

Program Guidance:

Recreational and social activities are allowable program activities as part of a Respite Care provided in a licensed or certified provider setting including drop-in centers within HIV Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services or satellite facilities.

Funds may be used to support informal, home-based Respite Care, but liability issues should be included in the consideration of this expenditure. Direct cash payments to clients are not permitted.

Funds may not be used for off premise social/recreational activities or to pay for a client's gym membership.

See also Psychosocial Support Services

Substance Abuse Services (residential)

Description:

Substance Abuse Services (residential) activities are those provided for the treatment of drug or alcohol use disorders in a residential setting to include screening, assessment, diagnosis, and treatment of substance use disorder. Activities provided under the Substance Abuse Services (residential) service category include:

- Pretreatment/recovery readiness programs
- Harm reduction
- Behavioral health counseling associated with substance use disorder
- Medication assisted therapy
- Neuro-psychiatric pharmaceuticals
- Relapse prevention
- Detoxification, if offered in a separate licensed residential setting (including a separately-licensed detoxification facility within the walls of an inpatient medical or psychiatric hospital)

Program Guidance:

Substance Abuse Services (residential) is permitted only when the client has received a written referral from the clinical provider as part of a substance use disorder treatment program funded under the HRSA RWHAP.

Acupuncture therapy may be an allowable cost under this service category only when it is included in a documented plan as part of a substance use disorder treatment program funded under the HRSA RWHAP.

HRSA RWHAP funds may not be used for inpatient detoxification in a hospital setting, unless the detoxification facility has a separate license.

HIV/AIDS Bureau (HAB) Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program (RWHAP) Policy Clarification Notice 16-02: Eligible Individuals and Allowable Uses of Funds

Frequently Asked Questions

HIV/AIDS Bureau (HAB) Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program (RWHAP)

Policy Clarification Notice 16-02: Eligible Individuals and Allowable Uses of Funds Frequently Asked Questions

GENERAL:

1. Are practitioners who provide RWHAP services required to have a professional license?

When licensure/certification is required by state and/or local regulations, providers must be appropriately licensed and in compliance with those regulations.

2. Do subrecipients have to adhere to the service category descriptions?

Yes, subrecipients must adhere to the service category descriptions. RWHAP recipients must ensure that subrecipients adhere to the service categories descriptions when developing contracts or memorandums of understanding and through their monitoring processes and procedures.

CORE MEDICAL SERVICES:

3. Which service categories can be used to purchase medications?

Purchasing of medications can be done through many service categories. To determine the appropriate category, review the program guidance under: AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP) Treatments, Outpatient Ambulatory Health Services (OAHS), Emergency Financial Assistance (EFA), AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance (i.e., Local Pharmaceutical Assistance Program (LPAP), Community Pharmaceutical Assistance), Substance Abuse Outpatient Care, Substance Abuse Services (residential), and/or Hospice Services.

4. During a medical care visit, there are immediate needs by the client to obtain a medication. Can a provider dispense this medication as part of that medical care visit and have the service categorized under Outpatient Ambulatory Health Services or EFA?

RWHAP recipients should not make the dispensing of medications a standard practice. When this does occur, on a rare occasion, the recipient should document such service under EFA. If EFA is not available (due to lack of contract or processes in place), the service can be documented under OAHS if the medication is dispensed as part of a medical visit and there is an immediate and urgent medical need.

5. As a direct medical care provider funded by Part C, which category should be used to capture the dispensing of medication?

Depending on the model of care, a direct provider of care could provide services under three different categories: AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance (Community Pharmaceutical Assistance), OAHS (prescription and management of prescription therapy), or EFA. Availability of pharmaceutical resources will influence which category is used.

6. Under OAHS, does prescription and management of medication include dispensing?

When the medications are not funded by any other source (such as ADAP or LPAP as part of AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance), OAHS is an option if resources are available until such time that the client can be enrolled in other programs to pay for medications. The dispensing of medication should be in the context of a medical visit. This should be on a short term basis until recipients enroll clients in ADAP, AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance or EFA.

7. What is the difference between a local pharmaceutical assistance program for indigent populations that is run and funded by a state or local government and the AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance/LPAP service category described by HRSA/HAB?

HAB's use of the term LPAP is intended to differentiate this service from the state ADAP. It is a supplemental means of providing medication assistance for people living with HIV (PLWH) where there are various limits on the state ADAP; it is created and supported by the RWHAP recipient, although, in some instances, the RWHAP-supported LPAP may also receive state or local funding. HAB recognizes that many governments fund and provide, with their own generated resources, more general pharmaceutical assistance to a wide range of indigent populations within their jurisdiction, some of which are called local pharmaceutical assistance programs. To the extent that such programs are available to PLWH, they should be utilized, but the term "LPAP" under RWHAP does not constitute a reference to such programs.

8. Can I provide targeted HIV testing and referral services under Early Intervention Services (EIS)?

Yes, in conjunction with the other required components of EIS. RWHAP Parts A and B EIS must include the following four components: targeted HIV testing, referral services, access and linkage to HIV care and treatment services, and health education/risk reduction related to HIV diagnosis. Part C EIS services must include the following four components: counseling individuals with respect to HIV, high risk targeted HIV testing (confirmation and diagnosis of the extent of immune deficiency), referral and linkage to care of HIV-infected clients, and other clinical and diagnostic services related to HIV diagnosis.

9. I am a Part C recipient. Can I use the Health Insurance Premium and Cost-Sharing Assistance for Low-Income Individuals service category?

Traditionally, RWHAP Parts A and B funding support health insurance premiums and cost-

sharing assistance. If a RWHAP Part C or D recipient has the resources to provide this service, an equitable enrollment policy must be in place and it must be cost-effective. Equitable is a systematic approach that is fair.

10. How are medical case management and non-medical case management services different?

Medical Case Management (MCM) services help clients improve health care outcomes. MCM providers should be able to analyze the care that a client receives to ensure that the client is obtaining the services necessary to improve his/her health outcomes. Non-Medical Case Management (NMCM) services provide guidance and assistance to clients to help them to access needed services (medical, social, community, legal, financial, and other needed services), but may not analyze the services to enhance their care toward improving their health outcomes.

Both MCM and NMCM services may also include assisting eligible clients to obtain access to other public and private programs for which they may be eligible, such as Medicaid, Medicare Part D, State Pharmacy Assistance Programs, Pharmaceutical Manufacturer's Patient Assistance Programs, other state or local health care and supportive services, or health insurance Marketplace plans.

Both service categories include several methods of communication including face-to-face, phone contact, and any other forms of communication deemed appropriate by the RWHAP Part recipient.

11. How do I know which service category should be used for treatment adherence?

Treatment adherence services are provided conjointly with many service categories such as OAHS, MCM, or ADAP. As such, recipients may choose to record treatment adherence within the service category during which the adherence service was given. In addition, if treatment adherence services are provided as a stand-alone activity, it can be reported under Health Education/Risk Reduction.

12. Who are authorized to provide Home Health Care services to RWHAP clients?

Home health care services must be prescribed by a licensed medical provider and can be performed by licensed medical professionals, such as physicians, mid-level providers, nurses, and certified medical assistants. This does not include non-licensed, in-home care providers.

SUPPORT SERVICES:

13. If there is another professional service that clients need, can I include it under other professional services?

Other Professional Services allow for the provision of professional and consultant services rendered by members of particular professions licensed and/or qualified to offer such services by local governing authorities. Such services may include: legal services, permanency planning, and income tax preparation services. Recipients should work with their project officer to discuss other allowable professional services that may fall within this category.

14. Can I include vocational therapy under the rehabilitation services category?

Yes, this is an allowable activity, but a recipient should establish policies regarding the use of this service, and ensure it is cost effective.

15. How do recipients define the length of life expectancy an individual must have in order to receive hospice care?

Recipients have the flexibility to define life expectancy, but must establish that criterion and implement it consistently.

16. Can a RWHAP recipient support intermittent child care services for the children living in the house of HIV-infected clients?

Recipients may use funds to cover child care services for HIV-infected clients to enable their attendance at medical visits, related appointments, and/or RWHAP and HIV-related meetings, groups, or training sessions. Direct cash payments to clients are not permitted. Funds used for this service should be limited and carefully monitored.

17. Should EFA funds that are used for allowable services (food, housing, transportation, etc.) be accounted under the corresponding service category or the specific category of EFA?

The funds should be counted under EFA regardless of how the funds were used.

18. Is transitional housing an allowable service under the RWHAP?

Yes. Recipients and local decision making planning bodies are strongly encouraged to institute duration limits to provide transitional and emergency housing services. HAB recommends that recipients consider using the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development's definition of transitional housing as 24 months.

19. Can linguistic services be used to pay for translating printed materials such as ADAP application?

Yes, this activity would facilitate discussion between the provider and client regarding their service needs through a language that is understood.

HIV/AIDS Bureau (HAB) Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program (RWHAP) Policy Clarification Notice 16-02: Eligible Individuals and Allowable Uses of Funds Housing Services Frequently Asked Questions

1. What service category should be used if the housing service is a one-time payment for a utility bill? Is a housing assessment required for this one-time payment?

The housing service category covers transitional, short-term, or emergency housing assistance to enable a client or family to gain or maintain outpatient/ambulatory health services and treatment that extends beyond a one-time service. If a RWHAP recipient makes a one-time payment for a client's utility or housing bill, this should be categorized as emergency financial assistance. A housing assessment and individualized housing plan would not be required for a one-time housing payment provided under emergency financial assistance.

2. A client comes in to receive services and it is determined that their housing needs extend beyond a one-time payment. If the client's housing needs were previously assessed, would that client need an additional assessment?

If a RWHAP client's housing needs extend beyond a one-time payment, and there is a need for additional housing services, this service should be categorized as housing. Clients receiving housing services must have their housing needs assessed annually and an individualized written housing plan developed to determine if there is a need for new or additional housing services.

3. Can RWHAP funds be used for rental deposits?

No, RWHAP funds may not be used for rental deposits. Because rental deposits are typically returned to clients as cash, this would violate the prohibition on providing cash payments to clients. In some instances, deposits may be retained as payment (e.g., damage to the property). As such costs would additionally be unallowable, recipients cannot pay for a rental deposit using federal funds, program income generated from federal funds, or pharmaceutical rebates generated from federal funds.

HIV/AIDS Bureau (HAB) Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program (RWHAP) Policy Clarification Notice 16-02: Eligible Individuals and Allowable Uses of Funds

Standalone Dental Insurance Frequently Asked Questions

 Can recipients offer both standalone dental insurance premiums and/or cost sharing assistance under the service category Health Insurance Premiums and Cost Sharing Assistance and RWHAP Oral Health Care services in their program?

Recipients and subrecipients are able to provide both service categories within their programs as long as the standalone dental insurance premium and/or cost sharing assistance and Oral Health Care services are provided in compliance with the requirements for each described in PCN #16-02 Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program Services: Eligible Individuals & Allowable Uses of Funds.

2. Can recipients/subrecipients use RWHAP funds to pay for oral health care services that exceed annual expenditure caps established by standalone dental insurance plans?

RWHAP recipients and subrecipients are in the best position to understand the unique needs of their client populations, determine which costs are cost-effective to pay, and ensure availability of the resources equitably for eligible clients. It is up to the recipient and subrecipient to identify which costs they will cover related to standalone dental insurance, which can include: premiums, deductibles, co-payments, and/or costs above the cap. The recipient or subrecipient must have policies and procedures in place to ensure these services are available to all eligible RWHAP clients.

3. Can ADAP funds or pharmaceutical rebates be used to purchase standalone dental insurance premiums and/or cost sharing assistance?

ADAP funds cannot be used to purchase standalone dental insurance premiums and cost sharing assistance because standalone dental insurance does not cover the cost of medications necessary in treatment for people living with HIV. See PCN #13-05 Clarifications Regarding Use of Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program Funds for Premium and Cost Sharing Assistance for Private

Health Insurance for requirements for ADAPs to pay for Health Insurance Premiums and Cost Sharing Assistance for Individuals.

However, as <u>PCN #15-04 Utilization and Reporting of Pharmaceutical Rebates</u> explains, "the RWHAP legislation requires that rebates collected on ADAP medication purchases be applied to the RWHAP Part B Program with a priority, but not a requirement, that the rebates be placed back into ADAP. These rebates must be used for the statutorily permitted purposes under the RWHAP Part B Program which are limited to core medical services including ADAP, support services, clinical quality management, and administrative expenses (including planning and evaluation) as part of a comprehensive system of care for low-income individuals living with

1 6/13/2017

- HIV." Pharmaceutical rebates earned by the RWHAP Part B Program may be used to pay for standalone dental insurance premiums and/or cost sharing assistance.
- 4. When does the addition of standalone dental insurance to the Health Insurance Premiums and Cost Sharing Assistance for Low-Income Individuals service category take effect?

PCN #16-02 is in effect for all awards made on or after October 1, 2016, including competing continuations, noncompeting continuations, supplements, and new awards.

2 6/13/2017

Minority AIDS Initiative (MAI)

USING MAI FUNDS EFFECTIVELY:

TAILORING SERVICES FOR LOCALLY IDENTIFIED SUBPOPULATIONS



This resource explains the history and goals of the Minority AIDS Initiative (MAI), describes allowable uses of MAI funds, offers sound practices for planning councils allocating MAI funds, identifies challenges, and gives examples of how planning councils have used MAI funds to support responsive, tailored services.

Resource Overview

Goals/Purpose of MAI funding

The Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program's (RWHAP) Minority AIDS Initiative (MAI) provides additional funding under RWHAP Parts A, B, C, D, and F to improve access to HIV care and health outcomes for racial and ethnic minority populations disproportionately affected by HIV. Under RWHAP Part A, MAI formula grants are used to fund core medical and support services that will improve access and reduce disparities in health outcomes for minority populations in metropolitan areas hardest hit by HIV/AIDS.

Populations of focus for MAI-funded services

RWHAP Part A jurisdictions are expected to identify specific minority subpopulations to focus on as they work to strengthen the local HIV service system. Planning councils use local data to identify population-based differences in linkage to care, retention in care, and viral suppression, as well as barriers to access for different groups. In identifying populations of focus, planning councils may go beyond race and ethnicity (e.g., all African Americans or all Latinos) to consider additional characteristics that affect service needs, such as gender/ gender identity, sexual orientation, and age.

Types of services that can be supported with MAI funds

RWHAP Part A MAI funds should be used to support "population-tailored services" specially designed, culturally responsive medical or support services that will improve treatment access and outcomes for the jurisdiction's particular minority subpopulations of focus. In addition, services supported with MAI funding should employ innovative approaches or interventions that address the unique needs of the different subpopulations of focus.

Separate allocation process for MAI funds

In priority setting and resource allocation (PSRA), planning councils are expected to separately allocate RWHAP Part A and MAI funds, and to report separately on priorities, allocations, expenditures, and number of clients served. A separate allocation process helps to ensure that MAI funds are used to implement tailored services or new service models that will improve access and treatment outcomes for the jurisdiction's identified subpopulations of focus.

Using MAI Funds Effectively: Tailoring Services for Locally Identified Subpopulations

Introduction

The Minority AIDS Initiative (MAI) provides funding through agencies within the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) to reduce disparities in HIV access, treatment, care, and outcomes for racial and ethnic minorities. Under Part A of the Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program (RWHAP), the HIV/AIDS Bureau expects MAI funds to be used to support culturallyresponsive core medical and related support services designed to address the unique barriers and challenges faced by disproportionately impacted racial and ethnic minority subpopulations as identified by each jurisdiction. It is not sufficient for MAI funds to be used to pay for services to racial and ethnic minorities. These services should be "populationtailored" so that they contribute to positive treatment outcomes, including increased levels of sustained viral suppression among subpopulations of focus.

This resource summarizes the history and purpose of MAI and then focuses on use of MAI funds under RWHAP Part A. It explains the continuing need for MAI, describes expectations for use of MAI funds, provides examples of MAI projects, identifies challenges, and describes the MAI-related roles of RWHAP Part A planning councils/planning bodies (PC/PBs). It is designed to help PC/PBs ensure that such funds improve HIV treatment outcomes and reduce HIV-related health disparities for racial and ethnic minorities.

History

In March of 1998, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) brought together a group of African American community leaders and service providers for a briefing that presented new surveillance data showing the extremely high and disproportionate rates of HIV infection among African Americans. The data led the leaders to declare a "state of emergency" in the African American community regarding HIV. They called upon the federal government to declare a public health state of emergency. Both the Congressional Black Caucus (CBC) and the President's Advisory Council on HIV/AIDS (PACHA) endorsed this action. In October 1998, President Bill Clinton described HIV as a "severe and ongoing health care crisis" in racial and ethnic minority communities and announced a new initiative to address it. Initially known as the CBC Initiative, it received FY 1999 funding of about \$165 million, including newly appropriated and reprogrammed funds. The name later became the Minority AIDS Initiative (MAI) to reflect a broader focus on racial and ethnic minority communities, including African Americans, Alaska Natives, Latinos, American Indians, Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians, and Pacific Islanders.1

Congressional intent for use of MAI funds was specified in FY 2002:

These funds are for activities that are designed to address the trends of the HIV/AIDS epidemic in communities of color based on the most recent estimated living AIDS cases, HIV infections and AIDS mortality among ethnic and racial minorities as reported by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.²

MAI implementation is decentralized, with funds going to various parts of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), including the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), CDC, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), and the Office of the Secretary. By FY 2004, MAI funds totaled about \$400 million and were supporting over 50 separate projects in prevention, care and treatment, and research. Total MAI funding across the four agencies totaled about \$416 million in FY 2011.

The MAI program within the RWHAP was codified in Section 2693 of the 2006 reauthorization: "to evaluate and address the disproportionate impact of HIV/AIDS on, and the disparities in access, treatment, care, and outcomes for, racial and ethnic minorities."3 The 2009 reauthorization called for synchronization of the schedules for MAI and the applications for each Part. MAI is a component of Part F, with funds allocated to each grant recipient on a formula basis. To receive an MAI grant, an entity must have received a grant under the relevant RWHAP Part. In FY 2021, MAI funding under Part A totaled almost \$51.7 million.

Strategies and uses of MAI funds have changed over the years. For example, MAI was restructured in 2010, with the release of the National HIV/AIDS Strategy (NHAS). The intent remains unchanged: to reduce HIV-related disparities and improve outcomes for disproportionately impacted racial and ethnic minorities.

Allowable Uses of MAI Funds under RWHAP


Several HHS agencies receive MAI funding, and each agency and each RWHAP Part uses funds differently. Use of funds under each RWHAP Part is summarized below. Expectations for other agencies are provided in Attachment A and may help PC/PBs in developing resource inventories covering other funding streams.


MAI funding under RWHAP is legislatively authorized, and the HIV/AIDS Bureau has specified allowable uses by Part: 4

- Part A: for "core medical and related support services to improve access and reduce disparities in health outcomes in metropolitan areas hardest hit by HIV/AIDS."
- **Part B:** to "fund outreach and education services designed to increase minority access to needed HIV/AIDS medications," including the AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP). Part B recipients receive MAI funding only if they choose to request it and provide the required narrative in their application.
- Part C: for "the provision of culturally and linguistically appropriate care for racial and ethnic minority populations."
- Part D: for "eliminating racial and ethnic disparities in the delivery of comprehensive, culturally and linquistically appropriate HIV/AIDS care services for women, infants, children, and youth."
- Part F: for "increasing the training capacity of AIDS Education and Training Centers to expand the number of health care professionals with treatment expertise and knowledge about the most appropriate standards of HIV-related treatments and medical care for racial and ethnic minority adults, adolescents, and children with HIV."

Continuing Need


CDC data show that HIV-related racial and ethnic disparities remain – in new diagnoses, access to care including medications, viral suppression, and deaths. Three-fourths of new HIV diagnoses in the U.S. in 2018 and in 2019 were among racial and ethnic minorities. African Americans and Latinos together accounted for more than 70% -- 42% were African American and 29% Latino.⁵





In 2019, rates of HIV infection were 8.1 times as high among African Americans, 3.6 times as high among Hispanics/Latinos, and 1.9 times as high among American Indians/Alaska Natives as among White non-Hispanics.⁶

Contributing to the rate of new infections, racial and ethnic minorities are less likely than White Americans to use Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP). As the figure below shows, while nearly two-thirds of eligible White Americans receive PrEP, the proportion is under 15% for racial and ethnic minorities.⁷



New HIV infections declined by 8% overall between 2015 and 2019, but there was no decline among African Americans. They are still less likely than White Americans to be virally suppressed within six months of diagnosis or to have sustained viral suppression. Death rates are falling for all groups but remain highest among African Americans, who accounted for 43% of HIV-related deaths in 2019.8

MAI under RWHAP Part A

Applications and Funding

The amount of MAI funding awarded each RWHAP Part A jurisdiction is calculated annually based on "the number of people with HIV and AIDS who are minorities in a jurisdiction" and their proportion of all minorities with HIV in Part A service areas. In the FY 2022 RWHAP Part A Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO), MAI allocations by jurisdiction ranged from about \$150,000 to \$8.6 million. Jurisdictions are expected to separately allocate RWHAP Part A and Part A MAI funds, and to report separately on priorities, allocations, expenditures, and number of unduplicated clients served with MAI funds.

Applicants prepare an MAI narrative as part of the RWHAP Part A application. Focusing on identified "minority subpopulations of focus" (groups that are "disproportionately affected by HIV, as a result of specific needs"), applicants describe "how MAI services will be implemented to address the needs" of each identified subpopulation of focus, and how the planned MAI services "may prevent new HIV infections, improve health outcomes, and decrease health disparities and inequities" among those subpopulations. 10

HIV/AIDS Bureau Expectations

All RWHAP Part A funds serve racial and ethnic minority subpopulations, who are a majority of RWHAP clients – 73.6% in 2020. 11 Part A MAI funds should support "population-tailored" services" – specially designed, culturally appropriate services that improve treatment access and outcomes for the jurisdiction's particular minority populations of focus. As stated in the FY 2022 RWHAP Part A NOFO:

"MAI funds must be used to deliver services designed to address the unique barriers and challenges faced by hard-to-reach, disproportionately impacted individuals within the EMA/TGA" (Eligible Metropolitan Area/Transitional Grant Area) [Emphasis added] [p 21]

"MAI services must be consistent with the epidemiologic data and the identified need, and be *culturally appropriate*. Furthermore, effective MAI service provision should employ the use of population-tailored, innovative approaches or interventions by specifically addressing the unique needs of MAI subpopulations most disproportionately impacted by HIV. Similar to the other components of RWHAP Part A, the goal of the MAI is viral suppression among *identified minority subpopulations*. [Emphasis added] [p 23]

RWHAP Part A jurisdictions are expected to identify specific minority subpopulations to focus on. They can design MAI services for both broadly and narrowly defined subpopulations. Recent RWHAP Part A NOFOs have asked applicants to identify three subpopulations of focus in the Demonstrated Need section, and these are typically, though not always, the populations of focus for MAI. One large EMA simply notes "Blacks and Hispanics." Another has identified the following subpopulations: MSM of color aged 18-29, MSM of color aged 30 and older, and transgender women of color. Following are some other examples of groups identified for MAI services; African immigrants, Asian Americans, recently diagnosed Latinos, Black women of childbearing age, transgender Latinas, African American women living in outlying counties, immigrants who have dropped out of care, and African American men over age 55. The choices typically reflect the local epidemic, needs assessment findings, HIV care continuum data, and client outcomes data.

Inappropriate Use of MAI Funds under RWHAP Part A

Some Part A jurisdictions have used Part A MAI funds to support any core medical-related and support services delivered to people with HIV who are racial or ethnic minorities. For example, one TGA described how it used to put funds into service categories based on overall need, and direct providers to charge racial and ethnic minority clients receiving those services to MAI instead of regular Part A. This approach is not considered acceptable, since it does not involve designing or refining services to meet subpopulation needs.

Examples of MAI Activities in RWHAP Part A EMAs/TGAs

Following are examples of strategies and activities supported with RWHAP Part A MAI funds. Many involve use of peers – people from similar backgrounds to the individuals they serve, often people with HIV who have direct lived experience with the local system of HIV care and/or other provider staff of the same racial/ethnic background as the subpopulations of focus.

- Tailored Early Intervention Services (EIS). MAI funds have been used to implement a variety of EIS models. For example:
 - One jurisdiction hired personnel from its subpopulations of focus to work with testing sites, linking individuals with a new HIV diagnosis to care and providing support for the first 3-6 months following linkage. They help ensure that these individuals feel fully connected to their medical provider and know how to request other services when needed.
 - Another used peers to locate people with HIV who had been diagnosed at least six months before but were not in care, and linked or re-linked them to services, accompanying them to the first few medical, case management, and other HIVrelated appointments.
- **Specialized case management.** Jurisdictions have tailored case management models and strategies for specific racial and ethnic subpopulations. Some examples:
 - A TGA initiated strength-based Case Management for African American women.
 - Several jurisdictions added peers as "case management assistants" who provide navigation and treatment adherence services for clients who need extra support either long- or short-term.
 - Another jurisdiction assigned bilingual non-medical case managers to Spanishdominant Latinos, with a focus on helping clients obtain the full range of needed services, apply for entitlements or other financial assistance, and identify non-RWHAP services to address other aspects of their lives that affect treatment outcomes, such as job training and placement.
- Culturally competent navigation services. Navigators, often linked to case managers and matched to subpopulations of focus in race/ethnicity, gender/gender identity, sexual orientation, and/or age, support linkage to care, retention and treatment adherence, and re-engagement in care. Services are intensive but time limited.
- Clusters of coordinated services. Sometimes MAI funds support a group of linked and coordinated services for the same group of clients. For example, one jurisdiction has used MAI funds to support a cluster of linked and coordinated core medical-related and support services designed to meet the needs of Latino and African immigrants.

MAI funds support a combination of outpatient ambulatory health services, medical case management, mental health services, medical transportation, outreach services, psychosocial support services, and linguistic services that support interpreters where providers are unable to hire bilingual staff.

Services to address social determinants. MAI funds can be used for support services that address various social determinants of health and contribute to HIV-related disparities. For example, one jurisdiction's needs assessment highlighted racially-based disparities in housing and access to non-medical services, from childcare to nutritional support. To respond, it allocated MAI funds to housing and to non-medical case management, to help clients access needed services beyond HIV care.

PC/PB MAI-related Roles

Part A planning councils/planning bodies (PC/PBs) have many roles related to MAI. For example:

Needs assessment: Epidemiologic and HIV care continuum data can identify populationbased differences in linkage to care, retention in care, adherence to treatments, and viral suppression. Surveys, focus groups, or special needs assessment studies can collect and analyze data about service barriers by race and ethnicity, and identify disproportionately affected subpopulations. This can be a multi-step process, as described in the box.



Using Needs Assessment in MAI Planning

Step 1: Survey people with HIV, asking about their experience with services and barriers to care, and collecting demographic data; if possible, use trained peers to maximize response rates and obtain frank responses.

Step 2: Analyze findings by race/ethnicity and identify racial and ethnic populations with the greatest barriers to care.

Step 3: Do additional analyses of the same survey data by subpopulations defined by multiple characteristics, including race/ethnicity, age, gender, sexual orientation, and/ or other locally-defined factors – for example, African American MSM under 30; limited-English-proficient Latinx immigrants; recently incarcerated African American men; African American women experiencing homelessness. Determine which subpopulations appear to face the greatest barriers and HIV-related disparities.

Step 4: The following year, do specialized needs assessment – e.g., focus groups, analysis of service utilization data, review of Clinical Quality Management data -- that looks at these identified subpopulations, to better understand barriers they face and strategies that can help overcome them.

Step 5: Use this information to inform MAI priority setting and resource allocation.

Integrated planning: Integrated HIV prevention and care planning provides an opportunity to document the need for improving viral suppression or other service outcomes for particular racial/ethnic subpopulations, and to lay out objectives and tasks for refining services to address those subpopulation-specific needs.

- Care strategies: The PC/PB can work with the recipient to identify or refine service strategies or develop innovative service models to help overcome barriers to care and improve treatment outcomes for identified racial/ethnic subpopulations.
- **Priority setting and resource allocation (PSRA):** PC/PBs are responsible for setting service priorities and allocating resources, including MAI funds, to prioritized service categories. The expectation is for separately allocating Part A and Part A MAI funds to serve subpopulations of focus and implement tailored services or new service models that the data indicate are most needed to improve their treatment outcomes.
- **Directives:** As a part of PSRA, PC/PBs can provide directives to the recipient on how best to meet each priority. Once a new service model or strategy is identified or developed, a directive may call for testing it with a specific subpopulation. The recipient then uses the directive in contracting for services. The box below provides an example of such a process.



Using Allocations and Directives to Improve Subpopulation Treatment Outcomes

Available data show that Latinas with HIV in your jurisdiction have high rates of viral suppression when retained in care but are less likely than other subpopulations to be linked to care promptly after diagnosis and

much more likely to drop out of care in the first few months after linkage. A special study including focus groups found that this subpopulation includes many recent immigrants with limited English proficiency and identified two key problems: (1) current EIS staff do not speak Spanish; and (2) none of the current medical providers focus on women, and the only one with Spanish-speaking medical personnel is overbooked and has not been accepting new patients for almost two years. The PC/PB and recipient agree on the need for tailored services and cost out some options. The PC/PB allocates MAI funds to EIS, OAHS, and Language Services, and adopts two directives. One calls for a coordinated pilot project including a Latina-focused, peer-based EIS project to link newly diagnosed and out-of-care Latinas to care and provide support for up to six months and support more Spanish-speaking medical personnel. The second requires all medical providers without bilingual staff to use trained interpreter/navigators. The recipient uses the model, allocations, and directives in putting out a Request for Proposals (RFP) to implement the new model. The recipient also redesigns Language Services under MAI to involve trained interpreter/navigators. Careful monitoring and evaluation of linkage, retention in care, and viral suppression data are planned, as well as a Spanish-language client satisfaction study for Latinas.

Challenges in Using MAI Funds Effectively

PC/PBs have identified a number of challenges in developing and implementing MAI projects that can demonstrate success. They include the following:

Amount of MAI funding. MAI funding for Part A jurisdictions for FY 2021 ranged from about \$146,000 to \$8.6 million. The median amount was about \$554,000, but seven

jurisdictions received less than \$300,000, and nine others less than \$400,000. Smaller allocations make it harder for PC/PBs to support potentially effective strategies for multiple minority subpopulations. Some smaller jurisdictions may need to focus on one or two disproportionately impacted subpopulations.

- **Demonstrating increased viral suppression.** Jurisdictions are expected to demonstrate that MAI funds are contributing to improved health outcomes, with a focus on viral suppression. This can be challenging with some strategies. For example, an MAI EIS project that focuses on getting people into care – and hands them off to case managers after the first few medical visits - may find it hard to demonstrate increased viral suppression for the clients served by that initiative. It may, however, be able to demonstrate that clients from that subpopulation have high rates of viral suppression if they are retained in care, and to show that their model increases retention in care.
- Lack of PC/PB familiarity with MAI expectations. Jurisdictions, including their PC/PBs, vary in their knowledge of the history and development of MAI and its intended use to help address HIV-related disparities. They may need a better understanding of HIV/AIDS Bureau expectations and assistance in establishing processes to meet these expectations through a combination of priority setting, resource allocation, directives, and service design.
- Knowledge and experience in designing tailored projects. Some jurisdictions have been providing subpopulation-tailored services for many years. Others have far less experience in designing services for specific groups - or may need to focus on a different subpopulation due to changing epidemiologic trends. Review of completed Special Projects of National Significance (SPNS) initiatives can help increase PC/ PB familiarity with models and strategies that have been effective with specific subpopulations.
- **Staffing.** Racial and ethnic minority staff play an extremely important role in providing culturally and linguistically appropriate services. Some PC/PBs have used directives to encourage hiring of staff from disproportionately impacted subpopulations, but providers may find that a variety of factors – such as limits on salaries and benefits combined with challenging jobs – make it hard to compete successfully for minority social workers, mental health counselors, and other professional staff. Providers in one TGA said that young professionals often stay only a year or two, then use their experience to move on to higher-paid, less-demanding positions.
- **Providers.** In the early days of MAI, a key focus was providing capacity-building services to enable minority-focused providers with strong program skills but limited federal funding experience to compete for MAI funds and meet federal subrecipient management requirements. This has become less common. Many jurisdictions have been funding the same group of providers for a long time. PC/PBs can use directives to encourage efforts to broaden the provider network, and recipients can encourage new applicants. However, the number of minority-focused providers varies considerably by jurisdiction. EHE funding has encouraged community health center engagement, and some jurisdictions have used EHE funds to support additional providers and try new approaches.

Sound Practices for PC/PBs in Using MAI Funds

- Understand MAI purposes and HIV/AIDS Bureau expectations. This requires including MAI in new member orientation and/or as a topic for a mini-training session during a PC/PB meeting. The appropriate PC/PB committee should receive and review any new guidance or clarifications provided to the recipient, including findings from a comprehensive site visit or changes in the Notice of Funding Opportunity NOFO) instructions for preparing the MAI narrative in the Part A application. Many PC/PBs provide refresher sessions at the beginning of the PSRA process; MAI should be a part of such discussions.
- Regularly collect, receive, and review MAI-relevant data. This includes analyzing and reviewing available epi, client utilization, outcomes, and needs assessment data (usually provided by the recipient) by race and ethnicity, with special attention to HIV care continuum data for Part A clients. The PC/PB should work with the recipient to identify subpopulations that have lower rates of viral suppression, as well as longer delays between testing and linkage to care, lower retention rates or less frequent doctor visits, and lower rates of adherence to medications, using a combination of quantitative and qualitative data.
- Participate in discussions about the jurisdiction's subpopulations of focus. The needs assessment section of the Part A application typically asks each EMA or TGA to identify three disproportionately affected subpopulations of focus, based on local data. In identifying these subpopulations, it is usually best to go beyond race and ethnicity (e.g., all African Americans or all Latinos) to consider additional characteristics that affect service needs, such as gender/gender identity, sexual orientation, and age. Local data may indicate that other characteristics may also be important. For example, the jurisdiction may have a large subpopulation of people with HIV who are immigrants that speak primarily a language other than English (like Spanish) or come from a particular country (like Haiti). In a jurisdiction that includes urban, suburban, and rural areas, place of residence within the EMA or TGA may be important. A jurisdiction may identify subpopulations based on multiple characteristics, like young African American MSM aged 13-34, transgender Latinas, Haitian immigrants with limited English proficiency, recently incarcerated African American men, or Latinas living in the outlying counties.
- Engage people from your subpopulations of focus in developing service models. In addition to PC/PB members, input to design of MAI service strategies can be obtained through "roundtables" that focus on particular subpopulations, task forces or work groups, and community listening sessions. For example, one PC/PB obtained specific service model recommendations from an African American Task Force of people with lived experience. Another held listening sessions with disproportionately impacted subpopulations (e.g., Latino immigrants and aging/older African American adults with HIV) as a basis for service design or redesign.
- Have a process in place to guide the allocation of MAI funds. MAI allocations should be done separately from other Part A allocations, and with some different considerations. Since non-MAI Part A funds already support many people of color with HIV, MAI funds can be focused on a limited number of service categories that require special strategies

to better serve a specific subpopulation. Often the appropriate PC/PB committee (e.g., Care Strategy) works closely with the recipient to ensure the availability of information needed to make such decisions. For example, the PC/PB's process may call for identifying service categories that need to be tailored to better serve identified subpopulations. This may require allocations to more than one service category (for example, EIS and medical case management to improve linkage and retention, or nonmedical case management and housing to address homelessness and food insecurity); development of directives; and consultation with the recipient to estimate the cost for implementing a new or refined service model. Having a clearly defined process helps ensure an efficient, data-driven process.

- Ask for and review progress and outcomes data on MAI services. MAI requires evaluation of outcomes. Regular - perhaps twice annual - review and discussion of such data enable the PC/PB to consider what service categories and strategies should continue to receive support and whether refinements or new models are needed.
- Maintain ongoing collaboration with the recipient. The PC/PB and recipient share responsibility for establishing and maintaining a comprehensive, culturally appropriate system of care and for the many tasks to accomplish that. For example, the PC/PB is responsible for PSRA including directives; the recipient contracts for services. Year-round cooperation on MAI-related tasks - e.g., sharing of epi and client data, discussion of service needs and barriers for specific subpopulations, review of Quality Management findings, agreement on strategies to refine and improve viral suppression -- is necessary for maintaining a system of care that meets the needs of all people with HIV, including disproportionately impacted racial and ethnic minorities.

Putting It All Together: A Comprehensive Scenario

The scenario that follows describes a process that can be used by a PC/PB for identifying a subpopulation in need of MAI funds, learning more about their needs and service barriers, and working with the recipient to design, implement, and evaluate an appropriate strategy or service model.

Tailoring Services to Improve Subpopulation Treatment Outcomes



Two years ago, an analysis of HIV care continuum data by subpopulation showed that young African American MSM aged 13-29 in your jurisdiction had the lowest rate of viral suppression among identified subgroups. Overall, 67% of people diagnosed with HIV had achieved viral suppression, compared with 57% of young African American men. To better understand the situation, the PC/PB and recipient analyzed RWHAP Part A client data

on viral suppression and found that overall viral suppression among clients was much higher at 88%, but the rate for African American MSM aged 13-29 was 79%. Further analysis of service utilization and Clinical Quality Management (CQM) data found that members of this subpopulation were also less likely to see a medical provider regularly or to adhere to prescribed medications. Young African American MSM were noted as a subpopulation of

focus in the Part A application that year.

Last year, your PC/PB did a survey of people with HIV as part of its needs assessment and analyzed the data by race/ethnicity, risk factor, gender, and age. The survey explored barriers to care and found that young African American MSM were especially likely to report unstable housing, incomes below the poverty level, frequent periods of unemployment, and lack of health insurance.

A special study as part of the needs assessment this past winter, including focus groups with young African American MSM and with key informants (several of them peers) who work with this subpopulation, confirmed these findings and identified some issues with the local system of care. They included the following: few African American medical personnel or case managers, some provider facilities where these clients didn't feel comfortable due to their age and race, and not enough use of peers with similar life experiences. Those living outside the central city found it especially difficult to access culturally appropriate care, with the only medical provider facility nearby described as "not welcoming." Getting into town to another provider was challenging given the distance and the lack of evening and weekend hours. Many clients were unaware that they could receive transportation assistance for medical appointments.

Based on the available data, the PC/PB asked the Care Strategy Committee to work with the recipient to identify service strategies to improve retention in care and viral suppression in this subpopulation, develop a directive if needed, and provide advice on resource allocations.

The Committee held a roundtable with people from the focus subpopulation and several provider staff to discuss how to address the identified barriers, and also explored approaches used in other jurisdictions for improving treatment adherence and viral suppression. They identified an EMA and a TGA that reported improved outcomes through a combination of tailored medical services from providers that have African American and relatively young staff, along with the use of peer navigators/case management assistants who help ensure that new clients are aware of available medical and support services and assist them for about six months by providing information, referrals, and adherence counseling. The Committee and recipient studied and refined the model and estimated the cost of implementation. The Committee drafted a directive calling for testing the model by at least one medical provider that would either provide case management directly or work with a medical case management provider able to use peer navigator assistants.

To support the model, the PC/PB allocated MAI funds to OAHS and medical case management and approved the directive. The recipient used the model, allocations, and directive in putting out a Request for Proposals (RFP), and eventually selected two providers to implement the model, one in the central city, the other in an outlying county. Careful monitoring and evaluation of service utilization, retention in care, viral suppression, and client satisfaction were arranged.

Attachment A:

Uses of Minority AIDS Initiative Funds by Agencies Other than the HRSA HIV/AIDS Bureau

SAMHSA: MAI funds are used for activities including:

- Service Integration to "help reduce the co-occurring epidemics of HIV, Hepatitis, and mental health disorders through accessible, evidence-based, culturally appropriate mental and co-occurring disorder treatment that is integrated with HIV primary care and prevention services" and focuses on racial and ethnic minorities living with or at risk for HIV and/or hepatitis.12
- Substance Use Disorder Treatment to "increase engagement in care for racial and ethnic underrepresented individuals with substance use disorders (SUD) and/or cooccurring substance use and mental disorders (COD) who are at risk for, or are living with, HIV/AIDS and receive HIV/AIDS services/treatment."13

CDC: MAI funds support various prevention activities tailored to specific racial and ethnic groups, and for the Minority HIV/AIDS Research Initiative (MARI), which helps to build capacity for HIV epidemiologic and prevention research among mostly African American and Hispanic/Latino communities and investigators.¹⁴

Office of the Secretary: Managed by the Office of Infectious Disease Policy (OIDP) as what is now the Minority HIV/AIDS Fund, resources are used to improve "prevention, care, and treatment for racial and ethnic minorities across federal programs through innovation, systems change, and strategic partnerships and collaboration,"15 and to "reduce HIV-related disparities among racial/ethnic minority populations."16 Funds are distributed to up to 10 other HHS agencies, which award the grants. Projects are aligned with National HIV/AIDS Strategy (NHAS) priorities, including cross-agency collaboration. Some Minority HIV/AIDS Fund resources help support Ending the HIV Epidemic (EHE).

Other HHS agencies: Some MAI funds from the Minority HIV/AIDS Fund are provided to other HHS agencies.

References

- ¹ Regina Aragon and Jennifer Kates, "The Minority AIDS Initiative," Policy Brief, Kaiser Family Foundation, June 2004; https://www.kff.org/racial-equity-and-health-policy/issue-brief/policy-brief-minority-aids-initiative/
- ² FY 2002 Labor and Health and Human Services, and Education appropriations report language for the MAI; quoted in Aragon and Kates, Ibid.
- ³ Section 2693(b)(2)(A) of the Public Health Service Act.
- ⁴ HRSA Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program, About the Program, Program Parts & Initiatives, Part F: Minority AIDS Initiative, at https://ryanwhite.hrsa.gov/about/parts-and-initiatives/part-f-minority-aids-initiative.
- ⁵ CDC, "HIV in the United States by Race/Ethnicity: HIV Diagnoses," 2019 data, https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/group/ racialethnic/other-races/diagnoses.html.
- ⁶ HIV.gov, What Is the Impact of HIV on Racial and Ethnic Minorities in the U.S.?" 2019 data, accessed from website October 2022, https://www.hiv.gov/hiv-basics/overview/data-and-trends/impact-on-racial-and-ethnic-minorities.
- ⁷ CDC, "HIV In the United States by Race/Ethnicity: PrEP Coverage," accessed from website October 2022, https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/group/racialethnic/other-races/prep-coverage.html.
- 8 KFF, "The HIV/AIDS Epidemic in the United States: The Basics," https://www.kff.org/hivaids/fact-sheet/thehivaids-epidemic-in-the-united-states-the-basics, based on data from Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, HIV Surveillance Report, 2019; vol.32, May 2021; http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/library/reports/hiv-surveillance. html.
- 9 Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program Part A HIV Emergency Relief Grant Program Notice of Funding Opportunity, Fiscal Year 2022, p 8; see https://www.hrsa.gov/grants/find-funding/HRSA-22-018.
- ¹⁰ *Ibid*, p 24.
- ¹¹ HRSA, "Clients Served by the Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program 2020: Overview 2020," released December 2021; https://ryanwhite.hrsa.gov/data/reports.
- ¹² See, for example, SAMHSA Notice of Funding Opportunity No. SM-22-005, Minority AIDS Initiative Service Integration, announced February 24, 2022; https://www.samhsa.gov/grants/grant-announcements/sm-22-005.
- ¹³ See, for example, SAMHSA Notice of Funding Opportunity No. TI-22-004, Minority AIDS Initiative: Substance Use Disorder Treatment for Racial/Ethnic Minority Populations at High Risk for HIV/AIDS, announced February 28, 2022; https://www.samhsa.gov/grants/grant-announcements/ti-22-004.
- 14 "What CDC is Doing," CDC website; https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/group/racialethnic/other-races/cdc-efforts. html.
- ¹⁵ "Minority HIV/AIDS Fund Activities," HIV.gov; https://www.hiv.gov/federal-response/smaif/current-activities.
- ¹⁶ Ronald O. Valdiserri and Timothy P. Harrison, "The Evolution of the Secretary's Minority AIDS Initiative Fund: The US Department of Health and Human Services Responds to the National HIV/AIDS Strategy," Public Health Report: 2018 Nov-Dec: 133(2 Suppl): 3S-5S; https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6262522/

PRIORITIES, ALLOCATIONS, AND BUDGETS

SECTION 10

Ryan White Program (Part A/MAI) Last Four Year's (FY 2020-2024) Priority Ranking

Ryan White Program Part A Priorities, sorted by FY 2023-2024

FY 2020-2021	FY 2021-2022	FY 2022-2023	FY 2023-24
			1
1	1	1	2
3	9	4	3
12	12	11	4
2	2	2	5
6	4	5	6
8	5	8	7
5	6	6	8
4	3	3	9
9	8	7	10
			11
7	7	9	12
10	10	10	13
11	11	12	14
13	13	13	15
			16
			17
			18
			19
			20
			21
			22
			23
			24
			25
			26
			27
			28
	1 3 12 2 6 8 5 4 9	1 1 9 12 12 12 2 2 6 4 8 5 5 6 4 3 9 8 8 7 7 7 10 10 11 11	1 1 1 3 9 4 12 12 11 2 2 2 6 4 5 8 5 8 5 6 6 4 3 3 9 8 7 7 7 9 10 10 10 11 11 12

C=core services S=support services

Priorities YR 2020-2024 Needs Assessment 2023

Ryan White Program (Part A/MAI) Last Four Year's (FY 2020-2024) Priority Ranking

Ryan White Program MAI Priorities, sorted by FY 2023-2024

Services	FY 2020-2021	FY 2021-2022	FY 2022-2023	FY 2023-24
Medical Case Management, including Treatment Adherence Services[C]	1	1	1	1
AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP) Treatment [C]				2
AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance (Prescription Drugs) [C]				3
Mental Health Services [C]	3	3	3	4
Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services [C]	2	2	2	5
Emergency Financial Assistance [S]	7	7	7	6
Oral Health Care [C]				7
Substance Abuse Outpatient Care [C]	4	4	4	8
Medical Transportation	6	6	5	9
Outreach Services [S]	5	5	6	10
Substance Abuse Services (residential) [S]				11
Food Bank/Home-Delivered Meals [S]				12
Health Insurance Premium and Cost-Sharing Assistance for Low-Income Individuals [C]				13
Housing Services [C]				14
Health Education/Risk Reduction [S]				15
Home and Community Based Health Care [C]				16
Medical Nutrition Therapy [C]				17
Non-Medical Case Management [S]				18
Psychosocial Support [S]				19
Home Health Care [C]				20
Early Intervention Services [C]				21
Referral for Health Care and Support Services [S]				22
Child Care Services [S]				23
Rehabilitation Services [S]				24
Hospice Services [C]				25
Other Professional Services (Legal Assistance and Permanency Planning) [S]				26
Linguistic Services [S]				27
Respite Care [S]				28

C=core services S=support services

Priorities YR 2020-2024 Needs Assessment 2023

Ryan White Program Part A Priorities

FY 2024-2025

As Part of the annual Needs Assessment process and keeping in mind all the presentations made during the Needs Assessment, use this survey to rank all 28 service categories for Part A from highest priority (1) to lowest priority (28) for people living with HIV in Miami-Dade County. Please see HRSA Policy Clarification Notice #16-02 for additional details.

1= first most important, 2= second most important, and so on down to 28=least important

Rank	Services							
	AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP) Treatment [C]							
	AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance (Local Pharmacy Assistance Program) [C]							
	Child Care Services [S]							
	Early Intervention Services [C]							
	Emergency Financial Assistance [S]							
	Food Bank/Home-Delivered Meals [S]							
	Health Education/Risk Reduction [S]							
	Health Insurance Premium and Cost-Sharing Assistance for Low-Income Individuals [C]							
	Home and Community Based Health Care [C]							
	Home Health Care [C]							
	Hospice Services [C]							
	Housing Services [C]							
	Linguistic Services [S]							
	Medical Case Management, including Treatment Adherence Services [C]							
	Medical Nutrition Therapy [C]							
	Medical Transportation (Vouchers) [S]							
	Mental Health Services [C]							
	Non-Medical Case Management [S]							
	Oral Health Care [C]							
	Other Professional Services (Legal Assistance and Permanency Planning) [S]							
	Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services [C]							
	Outreach Services [S]							
	Psychosocial Support [S]							
	Referral for Health Care and Support Services [S]							
	Rehabilitation Services [S]							
	Respite Care [S]							
	Substance Abuse Outpatient Care [C]							
	Substance Abuse Services (Residential) [S]							
	C=core services S=support services							

Ryan White Program Minority AIDS Initiative (MAI) Priorities

FY 2024-2025

Minority AIDS Initiative (MAI) funds support innovative models to improve health outcomes for <u>people with HIV in racial and ethnic minority communities</u>. Keeping in mind all the presentations made during the Needs Assessment, use this survey to rank all 28 service categories from highest priority (1) to lowest priority (28) for people living with HIV who are racial and ethnic minority communities in Miami-Dade County. Please see HRSA Policy Clarification Notice #16-02 for additional details.

1= first most important, 2= second most important, and so on down to 28=least important

Rank	Services							
	AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP) Treatment [C]							
	AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance (Local Pharmacy Assistance Program) [C]							
	Child Care Services [S]							
	Early Intervention Services [C]							
	Emergency Financial Assistance [S]							
	Food Bank/Home-Delivered Meals [S]							
	Health Education/Risk Reduction [S]							
	Health Insurance Premium and Cost-Sharing Assistance for Low-Income Individuals [C]							
	Home and Community Based Health Care [C]							
	Home Health Care [C]							
	Hospice Services [C]							
	Housing Services [C]							
	Linguistic Services [S]							
	Medical Case Management, including Treatment Adherence Services [C]							
	Medical Nutrition Therapy [C]							
	Medical Transportation (Vouchers) [S]							
	Mental Health Services [C]							
	Non-Medical Case Management [S]							
	Oral Health Care [C]							
	Other Professional Services (Legal Assistance and Permanency Planning) [S]							
	Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services [C]							
	Outreach Services [S]							
	Psychosocial Support [S]							
	Referral for Health Care and Support Services [S]							
	Rehabilitation Services [S]							
	Respite Care [S]							
	Substance Abuse Outpatient Care [C]							
	Substance Abuse Services (Residential) [S]							
	C=core services S=support services							

RYAN WHITE PART A GRANT AWARD (Grant #: BURW3201)

EARMARK ALLOCATION AND EXPENDITURE RECONCILIATION SCHEDULE YR32 FORMULA, SUPPLEMENTAL AND MAI FUNDING

Per Resolution #S: R-1162-21, R-246-20, R-247-20 & R-817-19

Project #: BURW3201	А	WARD AMOUNTS	ACTIVITIES	FY 2022 Award
Grant Award Amount Formula		16,141,380.00	FORMULA	W/out C/O
Grant Award Amount Supplemental		4,121,835.00	SUPPLEMENTAL	Form + Supp
Grant Award Amount FY'20 Supplemental		4,268,879.00	PY_SUPPLEMENTAL	\$24,532,094
Carryover Award FY'21 Formula		4,076,477.00	CARRYOVER	
Grant Award Amount MAI		1,089,480.00	MAI	MAI
Grant Award Amount FY'20 MAI		1,623,771.00	PY_MAI	2,713,251
Carryover Award FY'21 MAI		1,212,670.00	MAI_CARRYOVER	<u> </u>
Total Award	\$	32,534,492.00		

CONTRACT ALLOCATIONS/ FOR	RMULA, SU	JPPLEMENTAL & CARR	YOVER			CU	CURRENT CONTRACT EXPENDITURES			
DIRECT SERVICES:			Carryover			DIRECT SERVICES:		Carryover		
Core Medical Services		Allocations	Allocations		Account	Core Medical Services	Expenditures	Expenditures		
AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance		84,492.00		!	5606970000		3,954.10			
lealth Insurance Services		335,776.00	259,924.00	595,700	5606920000	Health Insurance Services	297,151.61	0.00	297,151.61	
Medical Case Management		6,730,657.00	400,000.00	7,130,657	5606870000		6,031,337.35	0.00	6,031,337.35	
lental Health Therapy/Counseling		70,197.00	91,457.00	161,654	5606860000		52,244.50	12,333.00	64.577.50	
Oral Health Care		2,864,445.00	1,000,000.00	3,864,445	5606900000		2,864,445.00	409,199.50	3,273,644.50	
Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Svcs		10,052,424.00	600,000.00	10,652,424	5606610000		8,724,251.44	0.00	8,724,251.44	
Substance Abuse - Outpatient		36,157.00	17,369.00	53,526		Substance Abuse - Outpatient	4,971.00	0.00	4,971.00	
	C	ORE Services Totals:	22,542,898.00				CORE Services Totals:	18,399,887.50		
			Carryover					Carryover		
Support Services		Allocations	Allocations		Account	Support Services	Expenditures	Expenditures		
mergency Financial Assistance		9,853.00		!	5606940000	Emergency Financial Assistance	0.00			
Food Bank		1,660,108.00	1,000,000.00	2,660,108	5606980000		1,540,864.00	1,000,000.00	2,540,864.00	
Medical Transportation		217,540.00	,,	,,	5606460000		159,552.49	,,	,,	
Other Professional Services		154,449.00			5606890000	•	67,581.00			
Outreach Services		217,902.00			5606950000		151,422.86			
Substance Abuse - Residential		1,338,406.00	200,000.00	1,538,406	5606930000	Substance Abuse - Residential	1,053,590.00	0.00	1,053,590.00	
	SUPPO	ORT Services Totals:	4,798,258.00				SUPPORT Services Totals	3,973,010.35		
DIRECT SERVICES TOTAL:		\$	27,341,156.00			TOTAL EXPENDITURES DIRECT S	VCS & % :	\$	22,372,897.85	81
Total Core Allocation		20,174,148.00				Formula Expenditure %	95.52%			
arget at least 80% core service allocation		19,017,924.80					Part A	MAI		
Current Difference (Short) / Over	\$	1,156,223.20				Available Funds Carryover	\$722,594.00	\$1,074,294.00	\$1,796,888.00	
Recipient Admin. (GC, GTL, BSR Staff)	\$	2,724,534.00			5606710000	Recipient Administration	2,149,629.91			
Quality Management	\$	748,405.00			5606880000	Quality Management	727,374.00		2,877,003.91	
(+) Unobligated Funds / (-) Over Obligated: Unobligated Funds (Formula, Supp & MAI) Unobligated Funds (Carry Over)	\$ \$	- 1,720,397.00	5,193,336.00	32,534,492.00		Grant Unexpended Balance	FY 2022 Award 3,416,975.74	<u>Carryover</u> 3,867,614.50	7,284,590.24	
,	Ť	.,,	5,725,525	,,		Total Grant Expenditures & %		\$	25,249,901.76	77
Core medical % against Total Direct Service A Cannot be under 75%	Allocation	(Not including C/O): 84.86%	Within Limit			Core medical % against Total Dire Cannot be under 75%	ct Service Expenditures (Not	including C/O):	85.81%	Within Lir
Quality Management % of Total Award (Not in	cluding C					Quality Management % of Total Av	vard (Not including C/O):			Marie I
Cannot be over 5%		2.75%	Within Limit			Cannot be over 5%			2.67%	Within Li
MB-GC Administrative % of Total Award (Ca	nnot inclu					OMB-GC Administrative % of Tota	Award (Cannot include C/O)			10001
		10.00%	Within Limit			Cannot be over 10%			7.89%	Within Li

RYAN WHITE PART A GRANT AWARD (Grant #: BURW3201)

EARMARK ALLOCATION AND EXPENDITURE RECONCILIATION SCHEDULE YR32 FORMULA AND SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDING

AWARD AMOUNTS

16,141,380.00

4,121,835.00

736,658.60

2,453,209.00

641,522.00

507,727.00

10.00%

ACTIVITIES

FORMULA SUPPLEMENTAL

FY 2022 Award

3,602,458.00 28,608,571.00

Within Limit

Per Resolution #S: R-1162-21, R-246-20, R-247-20 & R-817-19

Project #: BURW3201

Grant Award Amount Formula

Grant Award Amount Supplemental

Current Difference (Short) / Over

Quality Management

annot be over 10%

Recipient Admin. (GC, GTL, BSR Staff)

(+) Unobligated Funds / (-) Over Obligated:

Unobligated Funds (Formula & Supp)

Unobligated Funds (Carry Over)

Grant Award Amount FY'20 Supplemental Carryover Award FY'21 Formula	4,268,879.00 PY_ 4,076,477.00	SUPPLEMENTAL CARRYOVER	\$24,532,094						
Total Award	\$ 28,608,571.00								
CONTRACT ALLOCATIONS/ FO	ORMULA, SUPPLEMENTAL & CARRYO	OVER				CURRENT CONTRACT EXPEN	DITURES		
DIRECT SERVICES:					DIRECT SERVICES:				
		Carryover	-			<u></u>	Carryover		
Core Medical Services	Allocations	Allocations		Account	Core Medical Services	Expenditures	Expenditures		
AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance	84,492.00		-	5606970000	AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance	3,954.10			
Health Insurance Services	335,776.00	259,924.00	595,700	5606920000	Health Insurance Services	297,151.61	0.00	297,151.61	
Medical Case Management	5,826,737.00	400,000.00	6,226,737	5606870000	Medical Case Management	5,415,024.15	0.00	5,415,024.15	
Mental Health Therapy/Counseling	51,237.00	91,457.00	142,694	5606860000	Mental Health Therapy/Counseling	51,237.00	12,333.00	63,570.00	
Oral Health Care	2,864,445.00	1,000,000.00	3,864,445	5606900000	Oral Health Care	2,864,445.00	409,199.50	3,273,644.50	
Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Svcs	8,695,763.00	600,000.00	9,295,763	5606610000	Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Svcs	8,063,884.64	0.00	8,063,884.64	
Substance Abuse - Outpatient	28,099.00	17,369.00	45,468	5606910000	Substance Abuse - Outpatient	4,401.00	0.00	4,401.00	
	CORE Services Totals:	20,255,299.00				CORE Services Totals:	17,121,630.00		
		Carryover					Carryover		
Support Services	Allocations	Allocations		Account	Support Services	Expenditures	Expenditures		
Emergency Financial Assistance	9,853.00	,	•	5606940000	Emergency Financial Assistance	0.00			
Food Bank	1,660,108.00	1,000,000.00	2,660,108	5606980000	Food Bank	1,540,864.00	1,000,000.00	2,540,864.00	
Medical Transportation	209,912.00			5606460000	Medical Transportation	153,904.90			
Other Professional Services	154,449.00			5606890000	Other Professional Services	67,581.00			
Outreach Services	178,086.00			5606950000	Outreach Services	114,924.86			
Substance Abuse - Residential	1,338,406.00	200,000.00	1,538,406	5606930000	Substance Abuse - Residential	1,053,590.00	0.00	1,053,590.00	
	SUPPORT Services Totals:	4,750,814.00				SUPPORT Services Total:	3,930,864.76		
DIRECT SERVICES TOTAL:	\$	25,006,113.00			TOTAL EXPENDITURES DIRECT S	SVCS & %:	\$	21,052,494.76	84.
Total Core Allocation	17,886,549.00								
Target at least 80% core service allocation	17,149,890.40								
. 3									

Formula Expenditure %

Cannot be over 10%

Grant Unexpended Balance

5606710000 Recipient Administration

5606880000 Quality Management

Cannot be under 75%	83.44%	Within Limit
	101 (1 1 1 1 00)	
Quality Management % of Total Awar	d (Not including C/O):	
Cannot be over 5%	2.62%	Within Limit

\$

\$

\$

Total Grant Expenditures & %	\$ 23,610,945.27	82.53%
Core medical % against Total Direct Service Expenditures (Not including C/O):		
Cannot be under 75%	81.57%	Within Limit
Quality Management % of Total Award (Not including C/O):		
Cannot be over 5%	2.53%	Within Limit

Carryover 2,654,944.50 2,558,450.51

4,997,625.73

7.90% Within Limit

95.52%

1,937,959.51

620,491.00

FY 2022 Award

2,342,681.23

Printed on: 6/1/2023 Page 2

RYAN WHITE PART A GRANT AWARD (Grant#: BURW3201)

EARMARK ALLOCATION AND EXPENDITURE RECONCILIATION SCHEDULE YR32 MINORITY AIDS INITIATIVE (MAI) FUNDING

AWARD AMOUNTS

ACTIVITIES

WINORIT AIDS INITIATIVE (MAI) I GIDDING

PROJECT #: BURW3201

Per Resolution #S: R-1162-21, R-246-20, R-247-20 & R-817-19

david Grant Award Amount FY'20 MAI Carryover Award FY'21 MAI	1,089,48 1,623,77 1,212,67		FY 2022 Award 2,713,251.00						
Total Award	\$ 3,925,921	.00							
CONTRACT A	ALLOCATIONS]		cu	RRENT CONTRACT EXPEND	ITURES		
DIRECT SERVICES:			•		DIRECT SERVICES:	7	Carryover		
Core Medical Services	Allocations			Account	Core Medical Services	Expenditures	Expenditures		
AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance					AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance				
Health Insurance Services					Health Insurance Services				
Medical Case Management	903,92				Medical Case Management	616,313.20			
Mental Health Therapy/Counseling	18,96	0.00			Mental Health Therapy/Counseling	1,007.50			
Oral Health Care					Oral Health Care				
Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Svcs	1,356,66	1.00		5606610000	Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Svcs	660,366.80			
Substance Abuse - Outpatient	8,05	8.00 2,287,599.00	ı	5606910000	Substance Abuse - Outpatient	570.00			1,278,257.5
							Carryover		
Support Services	Allocations			Account	Support Services	Expenditures	Expenditures		
Emergency Financial Assistance		0.00		5606940000		0.00			
Food Bank		5.00		5606980000		0.00			
Medical Transportation	7,62	9.00			Medical Transportation	5,647.59			
Other Professional Services	7,02	3.00			Other Professional Services	5,047.59			
Outreach Services	20.04	6.00		5606950000		36.498.00			
Substance Abuse - Residential	39,81				Substance Abuse - Residential	36,498.00			42.145.5
Substance Abuse - Residential		47,444.00		5606930000	Substance Abuse - Residential				42,145.5
DIRECT SERVICES TOTAL:		\$ 2,335,043.00	- -		TOTAL EXPENDITURES DIRECT S	VCS & %:	\$	1,320,403.09	56.55
Total Come Allocation	0.007.50	0.00							
Total Core Allocation	2,287,59								
Target at least 80% core service allocation	1,868,03								
Current Difference (Short) / Over	\$ 419,564	60							
Recipient Admin. (OMB-GC)	\$ 271,325	i.00		5606710000	Recipient Administration	211,670.40			
			3 925 921 00			211,070.40			
Quality Management	\$ 106,883	t. 00	3,925,921.00		Quality Management	106,883.00		318,553.40	
(+) Unobligated Funds / (-) Over Obligated:	,		, ,	5606880000	·	,	<u>Carryover</u> 1,212,670.00	318,553.40 2,286,964.51	
(+) Unobligated Funds / (-) Over Obligated: Unobligated Funds (MAI)	\$	- 378,208.00	, ,	5606880000	Quality Management Grant Unexpended Balance	106,883.00 <u>FY 2022 Award</u> 1,074,294.51	1,212,670.00	2,286,964.51	
(+) Unobligated Funds / (-) Over Obligated:	,	- 378,208.00	, ,	5606880000	Quality Management	106,883.00 <u>FY 2022 Award</u> 1,074,294.51			41.7
(+) Unobligated Funds / (-) Over Obligated: Unobligated Funds (MAI)	\$ \$ 1,212,670	- 378,208.00 0.00	, ,	5606880000	Quality Management Grant Unexpended Balance	106,883.00 FY 2022 Award 1,074,294.51 uding C/O):	1,212,670.00	2,286,964.51 1,638,956.49	
(+) Unobligated Funds / (-) Over Obligated: Unobligated Funds (MAI) Unobligated Funds (Carry Over) Core medical % against Total Direct Service All Cannot be under 75%	\$ 1,212,670	- 378,208.00 0.00	, ,	5606880000	Quality Management Grant Unexpended Balance Total Grant Expenditures & % (Incl Core medical % against Total Direct Cannot be under 75%	106,883.00 FY 2022 Award 1,074,294.51 uding C/O): ct Service Expenditures (Not in the control of the control	1,212,670.00	2,286,964.51 1,638,956.49	
(+) Unobligated Funds / (-) Over Obligated: Unobligated Funds (MAI) Unobligated Funds (Carry Over) Core medical % against Total Direct Service All	\$ 1,212,670	- 378,208.00 0.00	, ,	5606880000	Quality Management Grant Unexpended Balance Total Grant Expenditures & % (Incl	106,883.00 FY 2022 Award 1,074,294.51 uding C/O): ct Service Expenditures (Not in the control of the control	1,212,670.00	2,286,964.51 1,638,956.49	41.75 Within Limit
(+) Unobligated Funds / (-) Over Obligated: Unobligated Funds (MAI) Unobligated Funds (Carry Over) Core medical % against Total Direct Service All Cannot be under 75%	\$ 1,212,670	- 378,208.00 0.00	, ,	5606880000	Quality Management Grant Unexpended Balance Total Grant Expenditures & % (Incl Core medical % against Total Direct Cannot be under 75%	106,883.00 FY 2022 Award 1,074,294.51 uding C/O): ct Service Expenditures (Not in the control of the control	1,212,670.00	2,286,964.51 1,638,956.49 96.81%	
(+) Unobligated Funds / (-) Over Obligated: Unobligated Funds (MAI) Unobligated Funds (Carry Over) Core medical % against Total Direct Service All Cannot be under 75% Quality Management % of Total Award (Not incl	\$ 1,212,670 Continue of the	- 378,208.00 0.00 C/O): Within Limit	, ,	5606880000	Core medical % against Total Direction to be under 75% Quality Management Core medical % against Total Direction to be under 75% Quality Management % of Total Award Cannot be over 5%	106,883.00 FY 2022 Award 1,074,294.51 uding C/O): ct Service Expenditures (Not including C/O):	1,212,670.00 \$ ncluding C/O):	2,286,964.51 1,638,956.49 96.81%	Within Limit
(+) Unobligated Funds / (-) Over Obligated: Unobligated Funds (MAI) Unobligated Funds (Carry Over) Core medical % against Total Direct Service All Cannot be under 75% Quality Management % of Total Award (Not incl	\$ 1,212,670 llocation (Not including 97.97% cluding C/O): 3.94%	- 378,208.00 0.00 C/O): Within Limit	, ,	5606880000	Total Grant Expenditures & % (Incl.) Core medical % against Total Direct Cannot be under 75% Quality Management % of Total Aw	106,883.00 FY 2022 Award 1,074,294.51 uding C/O): ct Service Expenditures (Not including C/O):	1,212,670.00 \$ ncluding C/O):	2,286,964.51 1,638,956.49 96.81%	Within Limit

Printed on: 6/1/2023 Page 3

ADDITIONAL MATERIALS

SECTION 11

2023 HHS FEDERAL POVERTY GUIDELINES

Annual Income Ranges (Gross Household Income)

(approximate calculations)

(Effective March 1, 2023 through February 29, 2024 for Ryan White Part A & MAI Services in Miami-Dade County, FL)

Family Size	A 100-135%	B 136-150%	C 151-200%	D 201-250%	E 251-300%	F 301-400%	G ≥401%
1	< or equal to \$14,580 - \$19,828	\$19,829 - \$22,015	\$22,016 - \$29,305	\$29,306 - \$36,595	\$36,596 - \$43,885	\$43,886 - \$58,46 5	\$58,466 +
2	< or equal to \$19,720 - \$26,818	\$26,819 - \$29,776	\$29,777 - \$39,636	\$39,637 - \$49,496	\$49,497 - \$59,356	\$59,357 - \$ 79,076	\$79,077 +
3	< or equal to \$24,860 - \$33,809	\$33,810 - \$37,538	\$37,539 - \$49,968	\$49,969 - \$62,398	\$62,399 - \$74,828	\$74,829 - \$99,688	\$99,689 +
4	< or equal to \$30,000 - \$40,799	\$40,800 - \$45,299	\$45,300 - \$60,299	\$60,300 - \$75,299	\$75,300 - \$90,299	\$90,300 - \$120,299	\$120,300 +
5	< or equal to \$35,140 - \$47,789	\$47,790 - \$53,060	\$53,061 - \$70,630	\$70,631 - \$88,200	\$88,201 - \$105,770	\$105,771 - \$140,910	\$140,911 +
6	< or equal to \$40,280 - \$54,780	\$54,781 - \$ 60,822	\$60,823 - \$80,962	\$80,963 - \$101,102	\$101,103 - \$121,242	\$121,243 - \$161,52 2	\$161,523 +
7	< or equal to \$45,420 - \$61,770	\$61,771 - \$68,583	\$68,584 - \$91,293	\$91,294 - \$114,003	\$114,004 - \$136,713	\$136,714 - \$ 182,133	\$182,134 +
8	< or equal to \$50,560 - \$68,761	\$68,762 - \$76,345	\$76,346 - \$101,625	\$101,626 - \$126,905	\$126,906 - \$152,185	\$152,186 - \$202,74 5	\$202,746 +
+1	\$5,140	\$7,710	\$10,280	\$12,850	\$15,420	\$20,560	\$20,611 +

SOURCE: HHS Poverty Guidelines for 2023. https://aspe.hhs.gov/topics/poverty-economic-mobility/poverty-guidelines (Based on the table titled, "2023 Poverty Guidelines for the 48 Contiguous States and the District of Columbia")

IMPORTANT NOTES:

- 1) Using the table above as a guide for families/households with more than eight (8) members, add \$5,140 for EACH additional family/household member.
- 2) The Miami-Dade County Ryan White Program Provide® Enterprise Miami data management system will be programmed according to these guidelines, effective March 1, 2023 through February 29, 2024.
- 3) Income eligibility for the following Ryan White Part A and Minority AIDS Initiative (MAI) Program-funded services in Miami-Dade County is limited to program-eligible clients who have a gross household income at or below 400% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL). The 400% FPL income limit applies to all locally-funded Ryan White Part A and MAI Program service categories.
- 4) Please be advised that this document is simply an internal reference tool and the rounding calculations may be slightly off. Percentage calculations in the table above are rounded to avoid gaps between whole number dollar amounts. The first number for each household size in column A is exact per the 2023 HHS Poverty Guidelines, as are the calculations in the Provide® Enterprise Miami data management system.

Rev. 2/15/2023

REMINDERS AND NEXT STEPS

July 13, 2023 version

MATERIALS ONLINE-BOOK

Needs Assessment book is posted online-review **all** items!



2121 PONCE DE LEON BLVD, STE. 240 CORAL GABLES, FL 33134 WWW.AIDSNET.ORG

JULY 13, 2023 VERSION

MATERIALS ONLINE-LINK

www.aidsnet.org/partners/annual-needs-assessment/



REMAINING TOPICS

- Co-occurring Conditions
- Unmet Need/Gaps and Projections
- Community Input/Town Hall Results
- Service Categories
- Summary
- Directives
- Priorities
- Allocations

REMAINING DATES

August 17, 2023 10 a.m. to 1 p.m.

September 14, 2023 10 a.m. to 1 p.m.

THANK YOU!







WWW.AIDSNET.ORG

SUMMARY AND NEXT STEPS


August 17, 2023 version

EPI DATA (SECTION 3)

BASED ON FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH (FDOH) DATA, CY 2021, FROM 2020 TO 2021, MIAMI-DADE SHOWS SIGNIFICANT INCREASES IN:

- Both HIV and AIDS prevalence
- % of persons with HIV acquired through male-to-male sexual contact
- % of persons with HIV who are Hispanic
- Number of persons with HIV who are transgender
- % of persons with HIV over 50 years of age
- % of persons with HIV who are co-infected with STIs

HIV CONTINUUM (SECTION 3)



Clients in medical care, retained in care and with suppressed VL are higher in 2022 than in 2020.

Black/African-American male-to-male sexual contact (formerly MSM) have the lowest VL suppression rates.

Hispanic male-to-male sexual contact have the highest VL suppression rates.

DEMOGRAPHICS (SECTION 4)

Demographic characteristics of RWP clients

- Increase in number of clients served, from 8,127 in 2020 to 8,590 in 2022
- Over 40% are 50 years old and older
- Over 80 % are Male
- Over 60% are Hispanic
- Transgender clients account for a little over 1% (1.2%) of clients
- Male-to-male sexual contact accounts for 74% of male HIV acquisition
- Spanish as primary language accounts for 57% of clients, up from 45% in FY 2018
- Most clients (49.2%) have an FPL of 135% or less
- Affordable Care Act insurance coverage among clients has risen to 36% of clients, up from 23% in FY 2018.

CO-OCCURRING CONDITIONS (SECTION 4)

Special Need Groups (SNG):

Hispanic MMSC (48% of total clients) has the highest VL suppression rate (85%).

Black MMSC (6% of total clients) had the lowest VL suppression rate (74%).

Co-Occurring Conditions (COC):

Clients with Hepatitis B or C and clients with Sexually Transmitted Infections (STI) had an average VL suppression rate higher than the RWP average.

Homeless had the lowest VL suppression rate, 75%.

Clients with mental illness and homeless accounted for the two highest annual costs per client, \$3,362 and \$4,195 respectively.

UTILIZATION (SECTION 5)-CLIENTS

Service Categories Sort by Total Number of Unduplicated Clients in FY 2022

SERVICE CATEGORIES	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Medical Case Management, inc. Treatment Adherence (includes Peer Support)	8,496	8,116	7,378	7,842	8,085
Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services	5,447	5,317	4,281	4,422	4,540
Oral Health Care	3,381	3,170	1,711	2,237	2,577
Health Insurance Premium & Cost Sharing Assist	1,307	1,335	1,125	1,255	1,440
Food Bank	701	715	735	712	1,130
Medical Transportation Services	638	720	94	645	743
Outreach Services	624	472	130	116	158
AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance (Local)	697	605	185	183	157
Mental Health Services	327	274	95	121	107
Other Professional Services - Legal Services	76	66	48	44	103
Substance Abuse Services (Residential)	169	95	70	66	72
Substance Abuse Services Outpatient	115	55	0	17	22
Emergency Financial Assistance	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

UTILIZATION (SECTION 5)-EXPENSES

Service Categories Sort by Total Expenditures in FY 2022

SERVICES CATEGORIES	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
CORE SERVICES					
Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services	\$9,112,521	\$9,391,615	\$7,397,592	\$7,729,584	\$8,724,251
Medical Case Management, inc. Treatment Adherence (includes Peer Support)	\$5,308,840	\$5,776,806	\$5,283,942	\$5,744,512	\$6,031,337
Oral Health Care	\$2,841,838	\$3,547,495	\$1,645,879	\$2,533,062	\$3,273,644
Health Insurance Premium & Cost Sharing Assistance	\$502,536	\$372,895	\$289,193	\$298,950	\$297,152
Mental Health Services	\$133,790	\$135,505	\$90,019	\$60,239	\$64,577
AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance (Local)	\$86,210	\$57,843	\$5,993	\$4,379	\$3,954
Substance Abuse Services Outpatient	\$55,390	\$23,970	\$23,556	\$1,356	\$4,971
SUPPORT SERVICES					
Food Bank	\$1,451,528	\$1,851,369	\$1,303,702	\$1,338,778	\$2,540,864
Substance Abuse Services (Residential)	\$1,854,140	\$1,237,830	\$1,320,120	\$968,310	\$1,053,590
Outreach Services	\$307,380	\$332,602	\$148,155	\$140,761	\$151,423
Medical Transportation	\$139,855	\$140,937	\$5,642	\$100,956	\$159,552
Other Professional Services - Legal Services Emergency Financial Assistance	\$140,599 N/A	\$115,976 N/A	\$146,336 N/A	\$97,371 N/A	\$67,581 N/A
Zinergene) z zianem z zoosemee	11/11	11/11	14/11	14/11	14/11

OTHER FUNDING (SECTION 6)

Other funding data showed there are several other sources for services with the Medicaid program being the largest program.

Dashboard cards provide data on priorities (current and historical) and expenditures/allocations (current and historical) to assist the Committee in its decision-making process.

DASHBOARD CARDS (SECTION 7)

SERVICES TRENDING UP BY CLIENTS SINCE 2021

Food Bank

Health Insurance

Legal Services

Medical Case Management

Medical Transportation

Oral Health Care

Outpatient/Ambulatory Health

Outreach

Substance Abuse Services*

SERVICES
TRENDING
DOWN BY
CLIENTS
SINCE 2021

AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance Mental Health

*outpatient and residential

CLIENT SATISFACTION (SECTION 8)

Many clients working-have issues getting appointments.

Most clients speak Spanish so it important for providers to have multilingual staff at provider sites.

For those clients with ACA plans, 15% did not use the GAP card.

Service satisfaction has improved in outpatient ambulatory health services but continues to be low for oral health.

While stigma is felt by a number of client groups in a number of dimensions, particularly among younger clients, instances of discriminatory or stigmatizing behavior among subrecipients are extremely rare.

REMAINING STEPS

All data presentations are **complete**.

FINAL NEEDS ASSESSMENT MEETING-SEPTEMBER 14, 2023

Three items remain in the needs assessment/priority setting resource allocation (PSRA) process: **directives** (as applicable), **priority setting**, and **resource allocation**.

DIRECTIVES

Provide guidance to the Recipient on desired ways to respond to identified service needs, priorities, and/or shortfalls.

Often specify use or non-use of a particular service model, or address geographic access to services, language issues, or specific populations.

May have cost implications.

Usually only a small number are developed.

Must be followed by Recipient in procurement, contracting, or other service planning.

PRIORITY SETTING

Determining what service categories are most important for people living with HIV in Miami-Dade County and place them in priority order.

Priorities are **not** tied to funding or to service providers.

Decisions should be data-based.

All **28** service categories will be prioritized for Part A and MAI. See section 9 of your needs assessment book for PCN#16-02.

A survey will be sent to members and guests after the meeting whose results will be tallied and presented in aggregate at the following meeting.

RESOURCE ALLOCATION

Data such as other funding streams, cost per client, and anticipated numbers of new clients coming into care should be considered in how money is allocated to each service category.

Resource allocation is not tied to priorities; some lower-ranked service categories may receive disproportionate funding because they are expensive to provide.

Per Committee request, two budgets will be developed, one flat and one NOFA (notice of funding allowable).

RESOURCE ALLOCATION-CONFLICT OF INTEREST

If a member is the sole provider in a service category and funds are being allocated, the conflicted member must **recuse** him/herself from voting. The member will follow a formal disclosure process, complete form 8B, and will step outside of the room both during discussion of and voting on the conflicted item. He/she may return to the meeting once the discussion and voting are concluded.

Conflicted providers on the **Care and Treatment Committee** under Ryan White Program Part A *Food for Life* (**Food Bank**) and under Minority AIDS Initiative(MAI) *Borinquen Medical Centers* (**Mental Health, Outreach**, and **Substance Abuse Outpatient**).

REMAINING STEPS-FINAL REMINDERS

REVIEW ALL ITEMS POSTED ONLINE AT WWW. AIDSNET.ORG

SEPTEMBER 14, 2023
10 A.M. TO 1 P.M.
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY MAIN LIBRARY
101 WEST FLAGLER STREET,
AUDITORIUM
MIAMI, FL 33130

RSVP for the meeting!

THANK YOU for participating in one of the central task of the planning council!

THANK YOU!







WWW.AIDSNET.ORG

AGENDA AND MINUTES

SECTION 12



Care and Treatment Thursday, May 4, 2023

10:00 a.m. − 12:00 p.m.

Miami-Dade County Main Library 101 West Flagler Street, Auditorium Miami, FL 33130

AGENDA

I.	Call to Order	Dr. Diego Shmuels
II.	Introductions	All
III.	Meeting Housekeeping and Rules	Marlen Meizoso
IV.	Floor Open to the Public	Dr. Diego Shmuels
V.	Review/Approve Agenda	All
VI.	Review/Approve Minutes of March 2, 2023	All
VII.	Reports	
	• Grantee reports (Part A, Part B, and ADAP)	All
	 Vacancy 	Marlen Meizoso
	Medical Care Subcommittee Report	Marlen Meizoso
VIII.	Standing Business	
	Vice-Chair Election	All
	• FCPN Representative	All
	Outreach Service Description	All
IX.	New Business	
	 Planning Council Responsibilities and Needs Assessment 	Marlen Meizoso
	 2022 Client Satisfaction Survey Results 	Robert Ladner
X.	Open Discussion and Announcements	All
XI.	Next Meeting: June 1, 2023 at Main Library- Auditorium	Dr. Diego Shmuels
XII.	Adjournment	Dr. Diego Shmuels

Meeting documents available at: http://aidsnet.org/meeting-documents/#docsct

Please turn off or mute cellular devices - Thank you

For more information, regarding the Miami-Dade HIV/AIDS Partnership's Care and Treatment Committee please contact Marlen Meizoso at 305-445-1076 or marlen@behavioralscience.com



Care and Treatment Committee Meeting Miami-Dade Public Library, Auditorium 101 West Flagler, Miami, FL 33130 March 2, 2023

#	Committee Members	Present	Absent
1	Alcala, Etelvina	X	
2	Downs, Frederick		X
3	Grant, Gena		X
4	Henriquez, Maria	X	
5	Iadarola, Dennis	X	
6	Kubilus, Barbara	X	
7	Mills, Vanessa	X	4
8	Roelans, Ryan	X	
9	Siclari, Rick		X
10	Shmuels, Diego	X	
11	Trepka, Mary Jo	X	
12	Wall, Dan		X
Ouc	orum: 5		

Gu	ests
Mester, Brad	
Poblete, Karen	
Valle-Schwenk, Carla	
	*
Staff	
Robert Ladner	Marlen Meizoso

Note that all documents referenced in these minutes were accessible to members and the public prior to (and during) the meeting, at www.aidsnet.org/meeting-documents.

I. Call to Order

Dr. Diego Shmuels

Dr. Diego Shmuels, the Chair, called the meeting to order at 10:15 a.m.

II. Meeting Housekeeping and Rules

Dr. Diego Shmuels

Dr. Shmuels reviewed the Housekeeping and Rules presentation (copy on file), which reviewed the environmental reminders, parking, and meeting decorum for all participants.

III. Introductions

Dr. Diego Shmuels

Members and guests introduced themselves around the room.

IV. Floor Open to the Public

Dr. Diego Shmuels

Dr. Shmuels read the following:

Pursuant to Florida Sunshine Law, I want to provide the public with a reasonable opportunity to be heard on any items on our agenda today. If there is anyone who wishes to be heard, I invite you to

speak now. Each person will be given three minutes to speak. Please begin by stating your name and address for the record before you talk about your concerns.

BSR has a dedicated line for statements to be read into the record. No statements were received.

There were no comments, so the floor was closed.

V. Review/Approve Agenda

All

The committee reviewed the agenda and made to motion approved it as presented.

Motion to accept the agenda, as presented.

Moved: Vanessa Mills Seconded: Barbara Kubilus Motion: Passed

VI. Special Presentation: HIV Epidemiology in Miami-Dade County, 2021

Dr. Anthoni Llau

Dr. Anthoni Llau from the Department of Health presented "HIV Epidemiology in Miami-Dade County, 2021" which provided information on the HIV and AIDS cases, co-morbidity data, HIV care continuum, deaths, and prevention populations (copy on file). For 2021 (as of June 30, 2022) there were 1,204 HIV cases, 388 AIDS cases, and 27,782 living with HIV. Black people (African Americans and Haitians) are disproportionately affected within the epidemic.

VII. Review/Approve Minutes of January 12, 2023

All

The committee reviewed the minutes of January 12, 2023, and accepted them as presented.

Motion to accept the minutes from January 12, 2023, as presented.

Moved: Vanessa Mills Seconded: Dennis Iadarola Motion: Passed

VIII. Reports

• Part A

Carla Valle-Schwenk for Dan Wall

Carla Valle-Schwenk reviewed the January Recipient report on expenditures, which provided data on Part A/MAI reimbursements through March 1, 2023 (copy on file). Thus far, the program has served 8,472 unduplicated clients. An enhanced Service Utilization report is now being used with a definitions list on the last page. The expenditures have important items highlighted and reflect service though January 2023. Since its inception, TTRA has served over 3,369 clients. Amendments were executed prior to the close of February 28, 2023. All reports are up to date. The Ryan White Program Services Report (RSR) had an internal deadline of the end of February, and submissions are being reviewed. Monitoring site visits for Part A, MAI, and EHE subrecipients were conducted prior to the end of the fiscal year. Some findings from the site visits include missing documentation, insufficient documentation to support time and effort, and missing updates to policy and procedures. The final award notice has not been received but a partial notice of award (\$4,723,294) was received in January 2023. The County is working on a new Ending the Epidemic (EHE) RFP. Programing

Miami-Dade HIV/AIDS Partnership/Care and Treatment Committee March 2, 2023 Minutes

enhancements have been made to Provide Enterprises for EHE subrecipients. Technical assistance continues to be provided by HRSA's provider on the Housing First Model to be included in the upcoming EHE RFP. The FCPN statewide Needs Assessment survey deadline has been extended until the end of March. Thus far, Miami-Dade has only provided 304 of the 2,700 surveys requested. Ms. Valle-Schwenk encouraged persons present to share the survey information with clients, and if a client could not complete the survey through the FCPN survey portal, they client could fill out a paper copy and BSR would input the data into the portal. The Medication Access Committee continues to meet. The Florida Part A and B recipients met for a coordination meeting February 27-28 to review statewide data to care initiatives, standardized self-attestation form, and standardized notice of eligibility.

Part B
 Marlen Meizoso

Marlen Meizoso reviewed the December 2022 (copy on file) report. Over \$1.6 million has been allocated but only \$63,343.93 has been spent on 93 clients.

• ADAP Marlen Meizoso

Mrs. Meizoso reviewed the January 2023 (copy on file) report, including data on enrollments, pharmacy and insurance expenditures, program updates and current pharmacy listings.

General Revenue
 Marlen Meizoso

Mrs. Meizoso reviewed the General Revenue report for December 2022 (copy on file) which indicated 760 clients were served and \$476,316.30 was expended for the month.

• Vacancies Marlen Meizoso

Mrs. Meizoso reviewed the February 22, 2023, vacancy report (copy on file) which indicated there are eleven vacancies for members of the affected community on the Partnership. There are 12 members on the Care and Treatment Committee, with four vacancies for members of the affected community since Travis Neff has resigned. Staff urged members to share vacancy information with clients and staff and to invite clients to trainings and meetings.

• Medical Care Subcommittee Report

Marlen Meizoso

The Medical Care Subcommittee (MCSC):

- Met on January 27 and February 24, 2023.
- Heard updates from the Ryan White Program and the ADAP Program.
- Re-elected Dr. Robert Goubeaux (chair) and James Dougherty (vice-chair).
- Welcomed a new member, Cristhian Ysea, to the Subcommittee.

The Subcommittee had previously reviewed the Oral Health Service standards and suggested additional language. The Subcommittee reviewed the item again and maintained the motion to accept the revisions.

1. Motion to accept the changes to the Oral Health Services Standards, as discussed. (Attachment 1).

Moved: Ryan Roelans Second: Maria Henriquez Motion: Passed

The Subcommittee concluded its review and updates to the Primary Medical Care Standards.

2. Motion to approve the Minimum Primary Care Standards, as presented. (Attachment 2) Moved: Dennis Iadarola Second: Ryan Roelans Motion: Passed

The Subcommittee reviewed the Mental Health and Substance Abuse service description. Some revisions are being worked on, but the Subcommittee approved the revisions to the Substance Abuse service description. Revisions are in track changes.

3. Motion to approve the Substance Abuse service description, as presented. (Attachment 3) Moved: Barbara Kubilus Second: Etelvina Alcala Motion: Passed

The Subcommittee began review of the medications approved on the ADAP formulary. The first 44 were reviewed for pricing, other options or payor sources, medication interactions, and if the medications were either lifesaving or cost effective. The Subcommittee recommended all but nine medications which have been shaded in grey on the attachment. Two medications were restricted to tablets only.

4. Motion to add all the medications included in the December 2022 ADAP formulary additions to the Ryan White Prescription Drug Formulary Items #1-44 sheet to the Ryan White Formulary with the restriction on #18 levonorgestrel and #22 clonidine to tablets only but excluding the following nine items: #2 diclofenac, #3 nepafenac, #10 rifapentine, #20 bempedoic acid, #24 olmesartan, #28 olmesartan/hydrochlorothiazide, #36 ezetimibe/rosuvastatin, #39 evolocumab, and #40 alirocumab. (Attachment 4)

Moved: Barbara Kubilus Second: Etelvina Alcala Motion: Passed

The Subcommittee requested data on utilization of four letters of medical necessity: the data showed very low utilization, and the Subcommittee voted to discontinue usage of the four letters to reduce paperwork.

5. Motion to discontinue the Letters of Medical Necessity for 1) Roxicodone and Percocet, 2) Neupogen, 3) Procrit or Epogen, and 4) the (lab test) for the Highly Sensitive Tropism Assay required to prescribe Maraviroc.

Moved: Barbara Kubilus Second: Ryan Roelans Motion: Passed

The Subcommittee continued work on revisions to the Allowable Conditions listing, including formatting and additions.

The next Subcommittee meeting was scheduled for March 24, 2023, but not take place because of a lack of quorum.

IX. Standing Business

All

• 2023 Officer Elections

All

Dr Shmuels indicated that a memo announcing eligible candidates for vice-chair was included in the meeting materials and posted online (copy on file) indicating the four qualified candidates for the position: Dr. Mary Jo Trepka, Vanessa Mills, Ryan Roelans, and Barbara Kubilus. The only interested member was Ms. Kubilus, whom the committee voted into the vice-chair position.

Motion to elect Barbara Kubilus as vice-chair of the Care and Treatment Committee. Moved: Dr. Mary Jo Trepka Seconded: Etelvina Alcala Motion: Passed

• Service Descriptions: Outreach

All

Staff distributed copies of the Outreach service description, which was updated for content and reviewed by CQM staff and an outreach worker. Updated language was included along with suggestions. There was a suggestion to strike a section on one-time referrals, but the Committee indicated instead that additional language should be included to have outreach workers connect a client to a medical case management site. Staff will provide some language, but the Committee also wanted the item to reviewed again.

Motion to send the Outreach service description back to staff and relevant parties to review.

Moved: Barbara Kubilus

Second: Dennis Iadarola

Motion: Passed

X. New Business

No new business.

XI. Announcements All

Meeting announcements should be forwarded to Staff for distribution through the aidsnet.org website and weekly Community Notices.

Mrs. Meizoso indicated that annual source of income forms were located in member packets. She reviewed how to complete the forms. All forms must be completed before July 1, 2023, to comply with local and state rules. Penalties for non-completion include fees and removal from the committee.

A new candidate for the FCPN will be needed with Mr. Neff's resignation. Staff will contact FCPN for application materials and a memo will be sent out with requirements so that a vote can be made at the next meeting.

XII. Next Meeting Dr. Diego Shmuels

The next meeting is scheduled for Thursday, April 6, 2023, at the Miami-Dade County Main Library Auditorium, 101 West Flagler Street, Miami, FL 33130, from 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.

XIII. Adjournment

Dr. Diego Shmuels

With business concluded, Dr. Shmuels thanked the members for participating in today's meeting and adjourned the meeting at 11:45 a.m.





Care and Treatment Thursday, June 8, 2023

10:00 a.m. − 1:00 p.m.

Miami-Dade County Main Library 101 West Flagler Street, Auditorium Miami, FL 33130

AGENDA

I.	Call to Order	Dr. Diego Shmuels
II.	Introductions	All
III.	Meeting Housekeeping and Rules	Dr. Mary Jo Trepka
IV.	Floor Open to the Public	Dr. Mary Jo Trepka
V.	Review/Approve Agenda	All
VI.	Review/Approve Minutes of May 4, 2023	All
VII.	Reports	
	• Grantee reports (Part A, B, ADAP, and General Revenue)	Recipients
	• Vacancies	Marlen Meizoso
	• Report to Committees (reference only)	All
VIII.	Standing Business	
	• None	All
IX.	New Business	
	• Setting Priorities and Allocating Resource Process (Section 1)	All
	• Summary of HIV Epi Profile Data, 2020-2021 (Section 3)	Marlen Meizoso
	• 2022 EIIHA data (Section 3)	Dr. Robert Ladner
	• 2022 Ryan White Program HIV Care Continuum (Section 3)	Dr. Robert Ladner
	• 2022 Ryan White Demographics (Section 4)	Dr. Robert Ladner
X.	Open Discussion and Announcements	All
XI.	Next Meeting: July 13, 2023 at Main Library- Auditorium	Dr. Mary Jo Trepka
XII.	Adjournment	Dr. Diego Shmuels

Meeting documents available at: http://aidsnet.org/meeting-documents/#docsct

Please turn off or mute cellular devices - Thank you

For more information, regarding the Miami-Dade HIV/AIDS Partnership's Care and Treatment Committee please contact Marlen Meizoso at 305-445-1076 or marlen@behavioralscience.com



Care and Treatment Committee Meeting Miami-Dade Public Library, Community Room 101 West Flagler, Miami, FL 33130 May 4, 2023

#	Committee Members	Present	Absent
1	Alcala, Etelvina	X	
2	Downs, Frederick		X
3	Grant, Gena		X
4	Henriquez, Maria	X	
5	Iadarola, Dennis		X
6	Mills, Vanessa		X
7	Roelans, Ryan		X
8	Siclari, Rick		X
9	Shmuels, Diego	X	
10	Trepka, Mary Jo	X	
11	Wall, Dan	X	
One	rum· 5		

Gu	ests
Jose Camino	
	*
Staff	
Robert Ladner	Marlen Meizoso

All documents referenced in these minutes were accessible to members and the public prior to (and during) the meeting, at www.aidsnet.org/meeting-documents.

I. Call to Order Dr. Diego Shmuels

Dr. Diego Shmuels, the Chair, called the meeting to order at 10:27 a.m.

II. Introductions Dr. Diego Shmuels

Members and guests introduced themselves around the room.

III. Meeting Housekeeping and Rules

Dr. Diego Shmuels

Dr. Shmuels reviewed the Housekeeping and Rules presentation (copy on file), which reviewed the environmental reminders, parking, and meeting decorum for all participants.

IV. Floor Open to the Public

Dr. Diego Shmuels

Dr. Shmuels read the following:

Pursuant to Florida Sunshine Law, I want to provide the public with a reasonable opportunity to be heard on any items on our agenda today. If there is anyone who wishes to be heard, I invite you to

speak now. Each person will be given three minutes to speak. Please begin by stating your name and address for the record before you talk about your concerns.

BSR has a dedicated line for statements to be read into the record. No statements were received.

There were no comments, so the floor was closed.

V. Review/Approve Agenda

All

The committee reviewed the agenda and made a motion to approve it as presented.

Motion to accept the agenda, as presented.

Moved: Dr. Mary Jo Trepka Seconded: Dan Wall

VI. Review/Approve Minutes of March 2, 2023

All

Motion: Passed

The committee reviewed the minutes of March 2, 2023, and accepted them as presented.

Motion to accept the minutes from March 2, 2023, as presented.

Moved: Dr. Mary Jo Trepka Seconded: Dan Wall Motion: Passed

VII. Reports

• Part A Dan Wall

Dan Wall reviewed the February Recipient report on expenditures, which provided data on Part A/MAI reimbursements as of May 3, 2023 (copy on file). As of the time of the report, the Part A/MAI program has served 8,584 unduplicated clients. Final figures are being worked on and the report to-date is posted online indicated 80% expenditures under Part A and 40% under MAI. Since its inception, TTRA has served over 3,496 clients with over 75% being virally suppressed. Annual Ryan White reports are in process and are due to HRSA at the end of May. The final award notice for this fiscal year has been received, totaling \$27,558,848. Reallocation/sweeps will need to be done in June. The EHE RFP will be released next week and includes four components: HealthTec, Quick Connect, Housing Stability Support, and Mobil Go-Team. HRSA is scheduling an EHE site visit July 12-14, 2023. Jimmy Llaque is the interim care director at the Florida Department of Health in Tallahassee with the transfer of the former director to another department.

Part B
 Marlen Meizoso

Marlen Meizoso reviewed the January and February 2022 (copy on file) report. In the month of January, 119 clients were served for \$76,852.38 and in February, 122 clients were served for \$75,587.32. A question was asked regarding why the housing line item was not expended. Staff will follow up with the Part B representative.

• .ADAP Marlen Meizoso

Mrs. Meizoso reviewed the April 2023 (copy on file) report as of May 1, 2023, including data on enrollments, pharmacy and insurance expenditures, program updates, medication additions, and current pharmacy listings. A Committee member indicated that there have been challenges for clients using Magellan to access medications. Staff will inquire from the ADAP representative if other providers have been having problems with access.

• Vacancies Marlen Meizoso

Mrs. Meizoso reviewed the April 2023 vacancy report (copy on file) which indicated there are eleven vacancies for members of the affected community on the Partnership. Barbara Kubilus has resigned, so there are eleven members on the Care and Treatment Committee and five vacancies including seats for members of the affected community. Staff urged members to share vacancy information with clients and invite them to upcoming training and meetings.

• Medical Care Subcommittee Report

Marlen Meizoso

Mrs. Meizoso reviewed the Medical Care Subcommittee report.

The Medical Care Subcommittee (MCSC):

Met on April 28, 2023 and heard updates from the Ryan White Program and the ADAP Program.

Reviewed and approved a request to add two codes, D5284-D5284-Removal unilateral partial denture and D3221-Pulpal debridement to the Oral Health Care formulary since the codes would be beneficial to clients.

1. Motion to add D5284-Removal unilateral partial denture and D3221-Pulpal debridement to the Ryan White Oral Health Care formulary.

Moved: Dr. Mary Jo Trepka Seconded: Dan Wall Motion: Passed

After numerous revisions, the Subcommittee approved the revised Allowable Conditions as presented.

2. Motion to approve the revised Allowable Conditions list as presented. (Attachment 1)

Moved: Dan Wall Seconded: Dr. Mary Jo Trepka Motion: Passed

After numerous revisions, the Subcommittee approved the Mental Health service description. Additional language was added to clarify certain issues and allow for certain mental health services provided by licensed mental health professionals to be reimbursed under the outpatient/ambulatory health services description. References highlighted in yellow will need to be updated (see attachment).

3. Motion to accept the Mental Health service description as discussed (and presented). [Attachment 2]

Moved: Dan Wall Seconded: Maria Henriquez Motion: Passed

Along with the edits to the Mental Health service description, the Subcommittee requested language in the Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services be amended to include the language included in the mental health service description regarding mental health services provided by select licensed mental health professionals.

4. Motion to amend the Outpatient/Ambulatory Health service description to include the following language "Additional mental health services may be provided under Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services when delivered by a licensed psychiatrist or other doctor, clinical psychologist, clinical social worker, clinical nurse specialist, nurse practitioner or physician assistant."

Moved: Dan Wall Seconded: Dr. Mary Jo Trepka Motion: Passed

Continued review of the medications approved on the ADAP formulary for items #45-75 for pricing, other options or payor sources, medication interactions, and if the medications were either lifesaving or cost effective. The Subcommittee recommended all the medications be added except for #72-75, which are not allowed because they are over-the-counter products. Comments and restrictions are listed on the form.

5. Motion to add medications #45-71 included in the December 2022 ADAP formulary additions to the Ryan White Prescription Drug Formulary Items sheet to the Ryan White Formulary with comments and restrictions, as indicated. (Attachment 3)

Moved: Dan Wall Seconded: Dr. Mary Jo Trepka Motion: Passed

The ADAP program also recently added five new medications to the ADAP Formulary. The Subcommittee discussed these additions and decided to also add them to the Ryan White Prescription Drug Formulary.

6. Motion to add betamethasone/clotrimazole, ciprofloxacin/dexamethasone, dextromethorphan/promethazine, fluticasone/salmeterol, and budesonide to the Ryan White prescription drug formulary.

Moved: Dan Wall Seconded: Etelvina Alcala Motion: Passed

The next subcommittee meeting is scheduled for May 26, 2023.

VIII. Standing Business

Vice-Chair Elections
 All

Dr. Shmuels indicated that with the resignation of Ms. Kubilus an election for vice-chair was needed. A memo announcing eligible candidates for vice-chair was included in the meeting materials, emailed ahead of the meeting, and posted online (copy on file) indicating the qualified candidates for the position: Dr. Mary Jo Trepka, Vanessa Mills, and Ryan Roelans. The only interested member was Dr. Trepka, whom the committee voted into the vice-chair position.

Motion to elect Dr. Mary Jo Trepka as vice-chair of the Care and Treatment Committee.

Moved: Dan Wall Seconded: Etelvina Alcala Motion: Passed

All

Dr. Shmuels reported that the prior area 11A representative, Travis Neff, resigned earlier this year, creating a vacancy. A memo announcing the vacancy was emailed to members, was posted online, and is located in member meeting packets on the reverse of the prior memo. There are five members who qualify but only Dr. Mary Jo Trepka has expressed interest in the position. The Committee made a motion to recommend Dr. Trepka as the area 11A FCPN planning care representative.

Motion to recommend Dr. Mary Jo Trepka as the area 11A Patient Care representative to the Florida Comprehensive Planning Network.

Moved: Dan Wall Seconded: Etelvina Alcala Motion: Passed

• Service Descriptions: Outreach

All

At the last meeting, the Committee reviewed the comments, updates, and changes recommended to the Outreach service description. Clarifying language was requested under the section "one-time referral." The Committee reviewed the language and made a motion to accept the revisions to the outreach service description.

Motion to approve the Outreach service description as presented.

Moved: Dan Wall Second: Dr. Mary Jo Trepka Motion: Passed

IX. New Business

• Planning Council Responsibility and Needs Assessment

Marlen Meizoso

Mrs. Meizoso, with the assistance of the Committee, reviewed the Planning Council Responsibilities and Needs Assessment presentation which serves as the foundation of the work that the Committee will engage in over the next few months (copy on file). The committee's responsibilities and the requirement to use data throughout the process for priority setting, resource allocations, and in establishing directives were reviewed. The diverse types of data types that will be presented throughout the process were also reviewed as well as to apply data to decision making.

• 2022 Client Satisfaction Results

Dr. Robert Ladner

Dr. Ladner reviewed the 2022 Client Satisfaction Survey results (copy on file). For the 2022 survey, 589 quota sampled client interviews were completed via phone. The full sample were medical case management clients, 311 qualified for the oral health survey, and 220 clients had Affordable Care Act (ACA) insurance. Seventy percent (Spanish 59% and Creole 11%) spoke a language other than English so it important for providers to have multilingual staff at provider sites. Fifty-three percent were 50-years old and older. Overall, 61% of those sampled worked either full-time (41%) or part-time (26%) so their biggest obstacle to care was work conflicts for appointments. For those clients with ACA plans, 15% did not use the GAP card. Service satisfaction has improved in outpatient ambulatory health services but continues to be low for oral health. An additional component of the 2022 survey was a qualitative stigma section. Overall, although stigma is felt by a number of client

groups in a number of dimensions, particularly among younger clients, instances of discriminatory or stigmatizing behavior among subrecipients are extremely rare.

X. Open Discussion and Announcements

All

Open discussion is a new item on the agenda to encourage members of the community to speak up or out about issues they wish to share. Members indicated they had no issues to raise.

XI. Next Meeting

Dr. Diego Shmuels

The next meeting is scheduled for Thursday, June 1, 2023, at the Miami-Dade County Main Library Auditorium, 101 West Flagler Street, Miami, FL 33130, from 10:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.

XII. Adjournment

Dr. Diego Shmuels

With business concluded, Dr. Shmuels thanked the members for participating in today's meeting and adjourned the meeting at 11:57 a.m.



Care and Treatment Thursday, July 13, 2023

10:00 a.m. – 1:00 p.m.

Miami-Dade County Main Library 101 West Flagler Street, Auditorium Miami, FL 33130

AGENDA

I.	Call to Order	Dr. Diego Shmuels
II.	Meeting Housekeeping and Rules	Dr. Mary Jo Trepka
III.	Introductions	All
IV.	Floor Open to the Public	Dr. Mary Jo Trepka
V.	Review/Approve Agenda	All
VI.	Review/Approve Minutes of June 8, 2023	All
VII.	Reports	
	• Grantee reports (Part A, B, ADAP, and General Revenue)	Recipients
	• Vacancy	Marlen Meizoso
VIII.	Standing Business	
	• none	All
IX.	New Business	
	 YR 2023 Sweeps 2 Reallocation 	All
	• YR 2022 Carryover	All
	• 2022 Ryan White Utilization (Section 5)	Dr. Robert Ladner
	• Other Funding (Section 6)	Marlen Meizoso
	• Dashboard Guide and Cards (Section 7)	Marlen Meizoso
	• Reminders and Next Steps (Section 11)	Marlen Meizoso
X.	Announcements and Open Discussion	All
XI.	Next Meeting: August 17, 2023 at Main Library- Auditorium	Dr. Mary Jo Trepka
XII.	Adjournment	Dr. Diego Shmuels

Please turn off or mute cellular devices - Thank you

For more information, regarding the Miami-Dade HIV/AIDS Partnership's Care and Treatment Committee please contact Marlen Meizoso at 305-445-1076 or marlen@behavioralscience.com



Care and Treatment Committee Meeting Miami-Dade Public Library, Auditorium 101 West Flagler, Miami, FL 33130 June 8, 2023

#	Committee Members	Present	Absent
1	Alcala, Etelvina	X	
2	Downs, Frederick		X
3	Grant, Gena	X	
4	Henriquez, Maria	X	
5	Iadarola, Dennis		X
6	Mills, Vanessa		X
7	Roelans, Ryan		X
8	Siclari, Rick		X
9	Shmuels, Diego		X
10	Trepka, Mary Jo	X	
11	Wall, Dan	X	
Quorum: 5			

Guests		
Brad Mester		
Karen Poblete		
Javier Romero		
Carla Valle-Schwenk		
Jennifer William		
Staff		
Robert Ladner	Marlen Meizoso	

All documents referenced in these minutes were accessible to members and the public prior to (and during) the meeting, at www.aidsnet.org/meeting-documents.

I. Call to Order Dr. Mary Jo Trepka

Dr. Mary Jo Trepka, the Vice-Chair, called the meeting to order at 10:14 a.m. in the absence of the Chair.

II. Introductions Dr. Mary Jo Trepka

Members and guests introduced themselves around the room.

III. Meeting Housekeeping and Rules

Dr. Mary Jo Trepka

Dr. Trepka reviewed the Housekeeping and Rules presentation (copy on file), which reviewed the environmental reminders, parking, and meeting decorum for all participants.

IV. Floor Open to the Public

Dr. Mary Jo Trepka

Dr. Trepka read the following:

Pursuant to Florida Sunshine Law, I want to provide the public with a reasonable opportunity to be heard on any items on our agenda today. If there is anyone who wishes to be heard, I invite you to

speak now. Each person will be given three minutes to speak. Please begin by stating your name and address for the record before you talk about your concerns.

BSR has a dedicated line for statements to be read into the record. No statements were received.

There were no comments, so the floor was closed.

V. Review/Approve Agenda

All

The committee reviewed the agenda and made a motion to approve it as presented.

Motion to accept the agenda, as presented.

Moved: Dennis Iadarola Seconded: Dan Wall Motion: Passed

VI. Review/Approve Minutes of May 4, 2023

A11

The committee reviewed the minutes of May 4, 2023, and accepted them as presented.

Motion to accept the minutes from May 4, 2023, as presented.

Moved: Dan Wall Seconded: Dennis Iadarola Motion: Passed

VII. Reports

• Part A/Minority AIDS Initiative (MAI)

Dan Wall

Dan Wall reviewed the End of Fiscal Year Recipient report on expenditures, which provided data on Part A/MAI reimbursements as of June 1, 2023. The Part A/MAI program served 8,590 unduplicated clients (8,526 under Part A and 1,351 under MAI). The current client count is 5,968. The full expenditure report is posted online and indicates expenditures of over \$22 million in direct services. The first Sweeps were done in June at the Partnership to meet Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) deadlines. Carryover and Sweeps #2 will need to be conducted in July. The full grant award for the current year was received and totaled \$27,558,848. All reports have been submitted. Test and Treat/Rapid Access has served 3,558 to-date with 2,700 (76%) being virally suppressed. The Ending the HIV Epidemic (EHE) Request for Proposals (RFP) has been released and is due by 2:00 p.m. on June 28, 2023. Four components are funded under the EHE RFP: HealthTec, Quick Connect, Housing Stability Support, and Mobile GO Team. HRSA has rescheduled the EHE site visit to August 8-10, 2023.

• Part B Marlen Meizoso

Marlen Meizoso reviewed the Final 2022-2023 Part B report. For the fiscal year ending March 2023, 1,337 clients were served at an expense of \$1,679,849.

• .ADAP Javier Romero

Dr. Javier Romero reviewed the May 2023 report as of June 5,2023, including data on enrollments, pharmacy and insurance expenditures, program updates, medication additions, and current pharmacy listings. There have been few former-Medicaid clients accessing the program. CHI is now a pharmacy under Magellan and has two sites for prescription drug access. As of February 1, Care Resource is no longer participating with Magellan. Clients must be open in ADAP in order to participate in Magellan. There may be issues with clients going to multiple sites (Florida Department of Health and Magellan pharmacy). Tracking of compliance with program rules is done through reported pharmacy pickups. A brief email will be provided by Dr. Romero with ADAP reminders to be forwarded to the County to share with medical case managers.

• General Revenue Marlen Meizoso

Mrs. Meizoso reviewed the March 2023 General Revenue report. In the month of March, 2,025 clients were served for \$554,844.82 in expenditures. Year-to-date expenditure totals \$3,781,261.54.

Vacancies
 Marlen Meizoso

Mrs. Meizoso reviewed the May 2023 vacancy report which indicated there are eleven vacancies for members of the affected community on the Partnership. Current vacancies on the Care and Treatment Committee total five including seats for members of the affected community. Staff urged members to share vacancy information with clients or invite them to upcoming training and meetings.

• Report to Committees (reference only)

All

A copy of the report to committees is posted online. The report details the actions taken at the last Partnership meeting. Any questions can be directed to staff.

VIII. Standing Business

None

IX. New Business

• Setting Priorities and Allocating Resource Process

Marlen Meizoso

Mrs. Meizoso presented the Process for Setting Priorities and Allocating Resources document which indicated the step-by-step guide the Committee would be following. The Committee voted to adopt the process.

Motion to adopt the Process for Setting Priorities and Allocating Resources, as presented.

Moved: Dan Wall Seconded: Gena Grant Motion: Passed

Mrs. Meizoso reviewed the Summary of HIV Epi Profile Data, 2020-2021. The full epi profile was presented by the Florida Department of Health in Miami-Dade County (FDOH-MDC) in March. Today's presentation provided highlights of incidence and prevalence from 2020 to 2021. HIV and AIDS cases have increased. Overall, prevalence has increased 2%. Male-to-male sexual contact (MMSC) continues to be the primary vector for new cases. Cases among transgenders have been increasing significantly from 2017 to 2021. Cases of co-occurring HIV with sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) have also been increasing significantly. Awareness of STDs should be shared with medical case managers. In the Integrated Plan, Men who have sex with men (MSM) with STDs is a special group of interest which will be tracked on the Clinical Quality Management Committee report card.

• 2022 Early Identification of Individuals with HIV/AIDS (EIIHA) Data Dr. Robert Ladner

Dr. Robert Ladner reviewed the 2022 EIIHAdata for calendar year 2022. This data presents an overview of testing events funded by the FDOH-MDC. There were 22% more tests conducted in calendar year 2022. Testing data for black females, black MMSC, and Hispanic/Latinx MMSC were reviewed. Comparing 2021 to 2022 linked to care rates for newly diagnosed and previously diagnosed improved.

• 2022 Ryan White Program (RWP) HIV Care Continuum Ladner

Dr. Robert

Dr. Ladner reviewed the 2022 Ryan White HIV Care Continuum. Comparing 2021 to 2022, there have been improvements in retained in care figures from 68% to 72%. Comparing race/ethnicity, black/non-Hispanics have the lowest suppressed viral load rates. Comparing gender, suppressed viral load rates are similar for females, males, and transgender persons. Comparing by exposure category, rates are similar for viral suppression rates.

• 2022 Ryan White Program (RWP) Demographics

Dr. Robert Ladner

Dr. Ladner reviewed the 2022 RWP Demographics Data. In fiscal year 2022, there was a 2% increase in overall clients from 8,418 to 8,599. Clients over 50 years of age make up over 40% of all RWP clients.. More men are served (81.7%) than women (17.1%). Hispanics account for the largest ethnic group (64.9%). Of the transgender clients in the program, the majority are Hispanic. Primary language of choice for clients is Spanish (57%) and English (31.8%); this trend has been steadily growing since fiscal year 2018. New clients entering the system are poorer (under 135% FPL) compared to established clients. There has been a steady increase in the number of clients with Affordable Care Act insurance from FY 2018 (23%) to FY 2022 (36%).

X. Open Discussion and Announcements

All

Open discussion is a novel item on the agenda to encourage members of the community to speak up or out about issues they wish to share. A member indicated that testing everyone is important since this knowledge may have been helpful to get care early. They had an acquaintance who was recently

diagnosed positive in their 60s and recently passed away. While the acquaintance had other health factors which may or may not have contributed to their demise, it is important to focus on overall health. Clients who have HIV under control still need to go to the doctor to address other co-occurring conditions, e.g., diabetes, hypertension, mental health issues. HIV positive clients age 'faster' so it important to do preventive testing and care early.

XI. Next Meeting

Dr. Mary Jo Trepka

The next meeting is scheduled for Thursday, July 13, 2023, at the Miami-Dade County Main Library Auditorium, 101 West Flagler Street, Miami, FL 33130, from 10:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.

XII. Adjournment

Dr. Mary Jo Trepka

With business concluded, Dr. Trepka thanked the members for participating in today's meeting and requested a motion to adjourn.

Motion to adjourn.

Moved: Dan Wall Moved: Etelvina Alcala Motion: Passed

The Vice Chair adjourned the meeting at 12:50 p.m.



Care and Treatment Thursday, August 17, 2023

10:00 a.m. - 1:00 p.m.

Miami-Dade County Main Library 101 West Flagler Street, Auditorium Miami, FL 33130

AGENDA

I.	Call to Order	Dr. Diego Shmuels
II.	Introductions	All
III.	Meeting Housekeeping and Rules	Dr. Mary Jo Trepka
IV.	Floor Open to the Public	Dr. Mary Jo Trepka
V.	Review/Approve Agenda	All
VI.	Review/Approve Minutes of July 13, 2023	All
VII.	Reports	
	 Grantee/Recipient reports (Part A, Part B, and ADAP) 	Recipients
	 Vacancies 	Marlen Meizoso
	 Report to Committees (reference only) 	All
VIII.	Standing Business	
	 FY 2022 MAI Carryover Adjustment 	All
IX.	New Business	
	• 2022 Ryan White Co-Occurring Conditions (Section 4)	Dr. Robert Ladner
	• Unmet Needs in Miami-Dade HIV Community (Section 8)	Dr. Robert Ladner
	• 2022-23 Community Input/Town Hall Results (Section 8)	Marlen Meizoso
	 Service Categories (Section 9) 	Marlen Meizoso
	 August Summary and Next Steps (Section 11) 	Marlen Meizoso
	 Planning Council Meeting Survey 	Marlen Meizoso
X.	Announcements and Open Discussion	All
XI.	Next Meeting: Sept. 14, 2023 at Main Library- Auditorium	Dr. Mary Jo Trepka
XII.	Adjournment	Dr. Diego Shmuels

Please turn off or mute cellular devices - Thank you

For more information, regarding the Miami-Dade HIV/AIDS Partnership's Care and Treatment Committee please contact Marlen Meizoso at 305-445-1076 or marlen@behavioralscience.com



Care and Treatment Committee Meeting8 Miami-Dade Public Library, Auditorium 101 West Flagler, Miami, FL 33130 July 13, 2023

#	Committee Members	Present	Absent
1	Alcala, Etelvina	X	
2	Grant, Gena		X
3	Henriquez, Maria	X	
4	Iadarola, Dennis		X
5	Mills, Vanessa		X
6	Siclari, Rick	X	
7	Shmuels, Diego	X	
8	Trepka, Mary Jo	X	
9	Wall, Dan	X	
Quorum: 4			

Guests			
Jose Camino			
Jennifer Ellison			
Keri Kratofil			
Javier Romero			
Staff			
Robert Ladner	Marlen Meizoso		

All documents referenced in these minutes were accessible to members and the public prior to (and during) the meeting, at www.aidsnet.org/meeting-documents. Copies were also provided to attendees.

I. Call to Order Dr. Diego Shmuels

Dr. Diego Shmuels, the Chair, introduced himself and called the meeting to order at 10:17 a.m. Dr. Shmuels indicated that long-standing member, Frederick Downs, Jr. passed away last month and requested a moment of silence.

II. Introductions Dr. Diego Shmuels

Members and guests introduced themselves around the room.

III. Meeting Housekeeping and Rules

Dr. Mary Jo Trepka

Dr. Trepka reviewed the Housekeeping and Rules presentation, which reviewed the environmental reminders, parking, and meeting decorum for all participants.

IV. Floor Open to the Public

Dr. Mary Jo Trepka

Dr. Trepka read the following:

Pursuant to Florida Sunshine Law, I want to provide the public with a reasonable opportunity to be heard on any items on our agenda today. If there is anyone who wishes to be heard, I invite you to

speak now. Each person will be given three minutes to speak. Please begin by stating your name and address for the record before you talk about your concerns.

BSR has a dedicated line for statements to be read into the record. No statements were received.

There were no comments, so the floor was closed.

V. Review/Approve Agenda

All

The Committee reviewed the agenda. There was a suggestion to swap items three and two on the agenda and combine the reallocation and carryover item since they were on one sheet. Staff also indicated they had an announcement. The Committee made a motion to approve the agenda as discussed.

Motion to accept the agenda with changes.

Moved: Maria Henriquez Seconded: Etelvina Alcala Motion: Passed

VI. Review/Approve Minutes of June 8, 2023

All

The Committee reviewed the minutes of June 8, 2023, and accepted them as presented.

Motion to accept the minutes from June 8, 2023, as presented.

Moved: Dan Wall Seconded: Maria Henriquez Motion: Passed

VII. Reports

• Part A/Minority AIDS Initiative (MAI)

Dan Wall

Dan Wall indicated the End of Fiscal Year Recipient expenditure reports are posted online. No new expenditure reports are available since contracts are being executed. Current client counts are over 6,000, which is 1,000 clients higher than last year. Under Test and Treat Rapid Access, Mr. Wall inquired if the Committee wanted to change the reporting criteria. Currently reported totals are a running total from program inception. The Committee indicated that having year-to-date data would be more helpful. The AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP) would like month to month data to match clients, but this is accessible in the Provide Enterprise system if authorization is granted. Mr. Wall indicated he will look into getting the necessary authorization.

The Ending the HIV Epidemic (EHE) Request for Proposals (RFP) closed in late June and the County is in the Cone of Silence.

Next week (Monday-Thursday) the Florida Comprehensive Planning Network (FCPN) will be meeting in Tama. Once again there were some delays with communication and planning due to staffing shortfalls at the Florida Department of Health.

• Part B Marlen Meizoso

Marlen Meizoso reviewed the April Part B report. For the month of April 2023, 107 clients were served at an expense of \$51,939.44.

• .ADAP Dr. Javier Romero

Dr. Javier Romero reviewed the June 2023 ADAP report, as of July 5, 2023, including data on enrollments, pharmacy and insurance expenditures, program updates, medication additions, and current pharmacy listings. The client figures are low because of the changes to the enrollment eligibility period to 366 days. The next reporting period is November. Program updates were reviewed. There has been no news on any additional pharmacies being added in July. As a reminder, changes to pick up at a Magellan pharmacy are a client's choice.

• General Revenue Marlen Meizoso

Mrs. Meizoso reviewed the May 2023 General Revenue report. In the month of May 2023, 2,047 clients were served for \$614,267.53 in expenditures. Year-to-date expenditures total were \$3,732,552.93.

• Vacancies Marlen Meizoso

Mrs. Meizoso reviewed the June 2023 vacancy report which indicated there are twelve vacancies for members of the affected community on the Partnership, with Mr. Downs' passing. Current vacancies on the Care and Treatment Committee total seven although six are listed including seats for members of the affected community since Ryan Roelans resigned. Mr. Roelans suggested Jennifer Ellison, who works in the Outreach Department at Better Way as a member. Ms. Ellison was present and indicated her interest. The Committee voted to accept Ms. Ellison as a member. Staff urged members and guests to share vacancy information with clients or invite them to upcoming trainings and meetings.

Motion to accept Jennifer Ellison as a member of the Care and Treatment Committee.

Moved: Dan Wall Seconded: Etelvina Alcala Motion: Passed

VIII. Standing Business

None

IX. New Business

• YR 2023 Sweeps 2 Reallocation and YR 2022 Carryover

All

The Committee reviewed the FY 2023-24 Minority AIDS Initiative (MAI) Carryover and Reallocation funding sheets. There were reductions of \$90,000 from one service category and proposed reallocations to two service categories, Medical Case Management, and

Outpatient/Ambulatory Health, which are high use categories. The Committee made a motion to reallocate the funds as indicated below.

Motion to reallocate FY 2023-24 (YR 33) MAI funding for total proposed allocations as follows, \$943,920 to Medical Case Management and \$1,241,041 to Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services.

Moved: Dan Wall Seconded: Rick Siclari Motion: Passed

Under MAI Carryover funding there was \$1,074,304 available to reallocate, and the Committee divided the evenly between Medical Case Management and Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services.

Motion to allocate MAI Carryover funds as follows: \$537,152 to Medical Case Management and \$537,152 to Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services.

Moved: Dan Wall Seconded: Rick Siclari Motion: Passed

Under Ryan White Part A funding there was \$2,773,261 swept out of several categories and over \$5 million dollars in requested funds. It is expected that with current expenditure patterns and client loads, the program may expend the majority of the funding. The Committee opted to fund the categories as indicated below.

Motion to reallocate FY 2023-24 (YR 33) Formula and Supplemental grant funding for total proposed allocations as follows:

- \$6,174,853 to Medical Case Management;
- \$14,555 to AIDS Pharmaceutical Assistance;
- \$8,503,003 to Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services;
- **\$3,388,975** to Oral Health Care;
- **\$345,700** to Health Insurance Services:
- \$107,844 to Mental Health Services;
- \$1,701,206 to Substance Abuse Residential;
- \$38,128 to Substance Abuse Outpatient;
- \$186,688 to Medical Transportation;
- \$230,896 to Outreach; and
- \$122,449 Other Professional Services (Legal).

Moved: Dan Wall Seconded: Dr. Mary Jo Trepka Motion: Passed

Because there was a conflicted member under the Food Bank categories these motions were made separately. The conflicted member stated his conflict and left the meeting for the duration of the vote. Additional money was added to the Food Bank service category since it is currently underfunded and last fiscal year spent over \$2 million dollars. If expenditures continue to grow, some cost savings measures may need to be reimplemented (e.g., letter of medical necessity).

Motion to reallocate FY 2023-24 (YR 33) Formula and Supplemental grant funding of \$1,179,244 to Food Bank.

Moved: Dan Wall Seconded: Maria Henriquez Motion: Passed

Motion to allocate 100% of Part A Carryover funding (\$723,098) to Food Bank. Moved: Dan Wall Seconded: Maria Henriquez Motion: Passed

Following the Food Bank votes returned to the meeting and will fill out form 8B.

• 2022 Ryan White Program (RWP) Utilization

Dr. Robert Ladner

Dr. Robert Ladner reviewed the Ryan White Program FY 2022 Ryan White Program Utilization Data. In FY 2022, the program served 8,590 clients, expended over \$22 million dollars, and the average cost per client was \$2,604 (highest in the last five years). Tables sorted alphabetically and by highest clients and expenditures were included. Additional summary information and details of access to each service category by ethnicity/race/gender were also reviewed.

• Other Funding

Marlen Meizoso

Mrs. Meizoso reviewed the Other Funding presentation which provided background on other funding for services using information from the annual Women, Infants, Children and Youth (WICY) survey which request HIV specific funding for Parts B-D, General Revenue, and the other providers. This information was distributed and is included in the lower portion of the Dashboard cards.

Medicaid expenditure and demographics were also provided. There has been an increase of 12% in clients served and 10% in total expenditures from FY 2019-20 to FY 2021-22. As in prior years, Medicaid demographic data from the past three years were presented. Black/African Americans continue to be the largest ethnic group served by Medicaid (44%). Also, the program served slightly more men (54%) than women.

• Dashboard Guide and Cards

Marlen Meizoso

Mrs. Meizoso reviewed the Tools for Needs Assessment: 2023 Guide to Dashboard Cards. This document explained how to read the Dashboard Cards, new items for 2023, and how to use the document. She reviewed the different sections of the dashboard cards and explained the sources of the various data, combining information from five years of utilization and priorities, a trend designation (e.g., up, down), other funders for HIV direct and support services, and notes on important items to consider for each service.

• Reminders and Next Steps

Marlen Meizoso

Mrs. Meizoso reviewed the Reminders and Next Steps presentation which detailed the location of materials, remaining topics, and next meeting dates. The Committee was urged to review the online needs assessment book, which contains all materials and is updated for each meeting.

All

Mrs. Meizoso announced that the Library is not available on the first Thursday of the month for the remainder of the calendar year.so meetings have been moved to the second Thursday, for August-October and December. In November, the meeting will be the second Wednesday. Dates are still subject to change, but members should take note. Also, at the end of today's meeting an evaluation survey will be emailed to all to complete.

Rick Siclari presented Keri Kratofil who will be replacing him shortly as CEO of Care Resource, once he retires.

No open discussion items were suggested.

XI. Next Meeting

Dr. Mary Jo Trepka

The next meeting is scheduled for Thursday, August 17, 2023, at the Miami-Dade County Main Library Auditorium, 101 West Flagler Street, Miami, FL 33130, from 10:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.

XII. Adjournment

Dr. Diego Shmuels

With business concluded, Dr. Shmuels thanked the members for participating in today's meeting and adjourned the meeting at 12:41 p.m.